

CHAPTER 2

CHAPTER 2

NEUTROSOPHIC SEMI CONTINUOUS AND NEUTROSOPHIC ALMOST CONTINUOUS MAPPING

To study topological groups and almost topological groups, continuous mapping, semi-continuous mapping, and almost continuous mapping are important. For that, in this chapter, the properties of the NSOS, NSCoS, NROS, NRCoS, NSCM, and NACM are studied.

Definition 2.0.1

Let \mathcal{A} be a NS of NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , then \mathcal{A} is called a NSOS of X if \exists a $\mathcal{B} \in \tau_{X_N}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}))$.

Definition 2.0.2

Let \mathcal{A} be a NS of NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , then \mathcal{A} is called a NSCoS of X if \exists a $\mathcal{B}^c \in \tau_{X_N}$ such that $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

The results discussed in this chapter has published in the journal, Basumatary, B., & Wary, N., *et al.* (2022). On some properties of neutrosophic semi continuous and almost continuous mapping. *Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences*, 130(2), 1017-1031.

Lemma 2.0.1

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and \mathcal{A}_α be a family of NSs of Y , then

$$(i) \phi^{-1}(\cup \mathcal{A}_\alpha) = \cup \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \phi^{-1}(\cap \mathcal{A}_\alpha) = \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha).$$

The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 2.0.2

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be NSs of X and Y respectively, then

$$1_{X_N} - \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{B}^c).$$

Proof

Let (p, q) be any element of $X \times Y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{X_N} - \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})(p, q) &= \max(1_{X_N} - \mathcal{A}(p), 1_{X_N} - \mathcal{B}(q)) \\ &= \max\{(\mathcal{A}^c \times 1_{X_N})(p, q), (\mathcal{B}^c \times 1_{X_N})(p, q)\} \\ &= \{(\mathcal{A}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{B}^c)\}(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

for each $(p, q) \in X \times Y$.

Lemma 2.0.3

Let $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ and \mathcal{A}_i be NSs of Y_i , $i = 1, 2$; then

$$(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2) = \phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_2).$$

Proof

For each $(p_1, p_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)(p_1, p_2) &= (\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)(\phi_1(p_1), \phi_2(p_2)) \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{A}_1\phi_1(p_1), \mathcal{A}_2\phi_2(p_2)\} \\ &= \min\{\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1)(p_1), \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_2)(p_2)\} \\ &= (\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_2))(p_1, p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.0.4

Let $\psi : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the graph of a mapping $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$. Then, if \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be NSs of X and Y , $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof

For each $p \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})(p) &= (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})\psi(p) \\ &= (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})(p, \phi(p)).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.0.5

For a family $\{\mathcal{A}\}_\alpha$ of NSs of NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , $\cup \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\cup (\mathcal{A}_\alpha))$. In case \mathcal{B} is a finite set, $\cup \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\cup (\mathcal{A}_\alpha))$. Also, $\cup \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\cup (\mathcal{A}_\alpha))$, where a subfamily \mathcal{B} of (X, τ_{X_N}) is said to be subbase for (X, τ_{X_N}) if the collection of all intersections of members of \mathcal{B} forms a base for (X, τ_{X_N}) .

Lemma 2.0.6

For a NS \mathcal{A} of NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , then

- (a) $1_{X_N} - \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(1_{X_N} - \mathcal{A})$, and
- (b) $1_{X_N} - \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N} \sim Int(1_{X_N} - \mathcal{A})$.

The proof is straightforward.

Theorem 2.0.1

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{A} is a NSCoS,
- (ii) \mathcal{A}^c is a NSOS,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and

(iv) $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}^c)) \supseteq \mathcal{A}^c$.

Proof

(i) and (ii) are equivalent follows from Lemma 2.0.6, since for a NS \mathcal{A} of NTS $(X, \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$ such that $1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} - \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} - \mathcal{A})$, and $1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} - \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N} \sim Int(1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} - \mathcal{A})$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). By definition \exists NCoS \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and hence $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B})$ is the greatest NOS contained in \mathcal{B} , we have $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows by taking $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) can similarly be proved.

Theorem 2.0.2

(i) *Arbitrary union of NSOSs is a NSOS, and*

(ii) *Arbitrary intersection of NSCoSs is a NSCoS.*

Proof

(i) Let $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha\}$ be a collection of NSOSs of NTS $(X, \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$. Then \exists a $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \in \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B}_\alpha)$, for each α . Thus, $\bigcap \mathcal{B}_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\bigcup (\mathcal{B}_\alpha))$ [Lemma 2.0.5], and $\bigcup \mathcal{B}_\alpha \in \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$, this shows that $\bigcup \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is a NSOS.

(ii) Let $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha\}$ be a collection of NSCoSs of NTS $(X, \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$. Then \exists a $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \in \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$ such that $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\alpha$, for each α . Thus, $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\bigcap (\mathcal{B}_\alpha)) \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ [Lemma 2.0.5], and $\bigcap \mathcal{B}_\alpha \in \top_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$, this shows that $\bigcap \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is a NSCoS.

Remark 2.0.1

It is clear that every NOS (NCoS) is a NSOS (NSCoS). The converse

is false, it is seen in Example 2.0.1. It also shows that the intersection (union) of any two NSOSs (NSCoSs) need not be a NSOS (NSCoS). Even the intersection (union) of a NSOS (NSCoS) with a NOS (NCoS) may fail to be a NSOS (NSCoS). It should be noted that the ordinary topological setting the intersection of a NSOS with an NOS is a NSOS.

Further, the closure of NOS is a NSOS and the interior of NCoS is a NSCoS.

Example 2.0.1

Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be neutrosophic subsets of X such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.6, 0.3, 0.2)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.5, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.5, 0.4, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.4, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\}.$$

Then $\tau_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} = \{1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}, 0_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}\}$ is a NTS on X .

Let $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.8, 0.2, 0.1)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.7, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\}$ be any neutrosophic set $\tau_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$, then $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{P}) = \cup \left\{ G : G \text{ is open set, } G \subseteq \mathcal{P} \right\} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{P}) = \cap \left\{ K \supseteq \mathcal{P} : K \text{ is closed set in } \tau_{X_{\mathcal{N}}} \right\} = 1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$. Therefore, \mathcal{P} is a NSOS, which is not a NOS and also by Theorem 2.0.1, \mathcal{P}^c is a NSCoS, which is not an NCS.

Theorem 2.0.3

If $(X, \tau_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$ and $(Y, \tau_{Y_{\mathcal{N}}})$ are NTSs. Then the product $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ of a NSOS \mathcal{A} of X and a NSOS \mathcal{B} of Y is NSOS of the neutrosophic product space $X \times Y$.

Proof

Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q})$ where $\mathcal{P} \in \tau_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \in \tau_{Y_{\mathcal{N}}}$. Then $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{P}) \times \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q})$. For NSs \mathcal{P} 's of X and \mathcal{Q} 's of Y , we have

$$(a) \inf \{ \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \} = \min \{ \inf \mathcal{P}, \inf \mathcal{Q} \},$$

$$(b) \inf \{ \mathcal{P} \times 1_{X_N} \} = (\inf \mathcal{P}) \times 1_{X_N}, \text{ and}$$

$$(c) \inf \{ 1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q} \} = 1_{X_N} \times (\inf \mathcal{Q}).$$

It is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B})$. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{T}_{X_N}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{T}_{Y_N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) &= \inf \{ (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q})^c | (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q})^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) \supseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{P}^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ or } \mathcal{Q}^c \supseteq \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= \min \left[\begin{array}{l} \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{P}^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \}, \\ \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{Q}^c \supseteq \mathcal{B} \} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since, } \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{P}^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\supseteq \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) | \mathcal{P}^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \} \\ &= \inf \{ \mathcal{P}^c | \mathcal{P}^c \supseteq \mathcal{A} \} \times 1_{X_N} \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) \times 1_{X_N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \inf \{ (\mathcal{P}^c \times 1_{X_N}) \cup (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{Q}^c \supseteq \mathcal{B} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\supseteq \inf \{ (1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{Q}^c) | \mathcal{Q}^c \supseteq \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= 1_{X_N} \times \inf \{ \mathcal{Q}^c | \mathcal{Q}^c \supseteq \mathcal{B} \} \\ &= 1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) &\supseteq \min \{ \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) \times 1_{X_N}, 1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B}) \} \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{B}) \}. \text{ Hence the result.} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.0.3

A NS \mathcal{A} of NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) is called a NROS of X if $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.0.4

A NS \mathcal{A} of NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) is called a NRCoS of X if $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.0.4

A NS \mathcal{A} of NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) is a NROS iff \mathcal{A}^c is NRCoS.

The proof follows from Lemma 2.0.6.

Remark 2.0.2

It is obvious that every NROS (NRCoS) is NOS (NCoS). The converse need not be true. For this we cite an example-

Example 2.0.2

From Example 2.0.1, it is clear that \mathcal{A} is NOS. Now $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}) = 1_{X_N}$ and $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})) = 1_{X_N}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})) \neq \mathcal{A}$, hence \mathcal{A} is not NROS.

Remark 2.0.3

The union (intersection) of any two NROSs (NRCoS) need not be a NROS (NRCoS).

Example 2.0.3

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\top_{X_N} = \{0_{X_N}, 1_{X_N}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ be NTS on X , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.4, 0.5, 0.6)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.7, 0.5, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{c}{(0.5, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.6, 0.5, 0.4)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.3, 0.5, 0.7)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{c}{(0.5, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.6, 0.5, 0.4)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.7, 0.5, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{c}{(0.5, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}^c, \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{B}^c) = \mathcal{A}$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(Cl(\mathcal{B})) = \mathcal{B}$.

Now, $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$.

But $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) = 1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$ and $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(Cl(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})) = 1_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}$.

Hence, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two NROSs but $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is not NROS.

Theorem 2.0.5

(i) The intersection of any two NROSs is a NROS, and

(ii) The union of any two NRCoSs is a NRCoS.

Proof

(i) Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be any two NROSs of NTS $(X, \nabla_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is NOS [from Remark 2.0.2], we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2))$.

Now, $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_2)) = \mathcal{A}_2$ implies that $\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$. Hence the theorem.

(ii) Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be any two NROSs of NTS $(X, \nabla_{X_{\mathcal{N}}})$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is NOS [from Remark 2.0.2], we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2))$.

Now, $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2)) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2)) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_2)) = \mathcal{A}_2$ implies that $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2))$. Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2.0.6

(i) The closure of a NOS is NRCoS, and

(ii) *The interior of a NCoS is NROS.*

Proof

(i) Let \mathcal{A} be a NOS of NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , clearly, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Now, \mathcal{A} is NOS implies that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))$ and hence $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})))$. Thus, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A})$ is NRCoS.

(ii) Let \mathcal{A} be a NCoS of a NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) , clearly, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}))) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})$.

Now, \mathcal{A} is NCoS implies that $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}))$ and hence $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})))$. Thus, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})$ is NROS.

Definition 2.0.5

Let $\phi : (X, \tau_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, τ_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is called a NCM, if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \tau_{X_N}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \tau_{Y_N}$; or equivalently $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ is a NCoS of X for each NCoS \mathcal{B} of Y .

Definition 2.0.6

Let $\phi : (X, \tau_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, τ_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is said to be a NOM, if $\phi(\mathcal{A}) \in \tau_{Y_N}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \tau_{X_N}$.

Definition 2.0.7

Let $\phi : (X, \tau_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, τ_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is said to be a NCoM, if $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is a NCoS of Y for each NCoS \mathcal{B} of X .

Definition 2.0.8

Let $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, \top_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is said to be a NSCM, if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a NSOS of X , for each $\mathcal{A} \in \top_{Y_N}$.

Definition 2.0.9

Let $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, \top_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is said to be a NSOM, if $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ is a NSOS for each $\mathcal{A} \in \top_{X_N}$.

Definition 2.0.10

Let $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS (X, \top_{X_N}) to another NTS (Y, \top_{Y_N}) , then ϕ is said to be a NSCoM, if $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is a NSCoS for each NCoS \mathcal{B} of X .

Remark 2.0.4

From Remark 2.0.1, a NCM (NOM, NCoM) is also a NSCM (NSOM, NSCoM). But the converse is not true.

Example 2.0.4

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{x, y\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.6, 0.3, 0.2)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.5, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{x}{(0.5, 0.4, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{y}{(0.4, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{x}{(0.8, 0.2, 0.1)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{y}{(0.7, 0.2, 0.3)} \right\rangle \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\top_{X_N} = \{0_{X_N}, 1_{X_N}, \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\top_{Y_N} = \{0_{Y_N}, 1_{Y_N}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$ are NTSs on X and Y .

Let $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ be a mapping defined as $\phi(a) = y$, $\phi(b) = x$. Then $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ is NSCM but not NCM.

Theorem 2.0.7

Let X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2 be NTSs such that X_1 is product related to X_2 .

Then, the product $\phi_1 \times \phi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ of NSCMs $\phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $\phi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is NSCM.

Proof

Let $\mathcal{A} \equiv \cup(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta)$, where \mathcal{A}_α 's and \mathcal{B}_β 's are NOSs of Y_1 and Y_2 respectively, be a NOS of $Y_1 \times Y_2$.

By using Lemma 2.0.1 (i) and Lemma 2.0.3, we have

$$(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \cup[\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \times \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\beta)].$$

That $(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a NSOS follows from Theorem 2.0.3 and Theorem 2.0.2 (i).

Theorem 2.0.8

Let X, X_1 and X_2 be NTSs and $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the projection of $X_1 \times X_2$ onto X_i . Then, if $\phi : X \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is a NSCM, $p_i\phi$ is also NSCM.

Proof

For a NOS \mathcal{A} of X_i , we have $(p_i\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \phi^{-1}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$. That p_i is a NCM and ϕ is a NSCM imply that $(p_i\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a NSOS of X .

Theorem 2.0.9

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping from NTS X to another NTS Y . Then if the graph $\psi : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ of ϕ is NSCM, then ϕ is also NSCM.

Proof

From Lemma 2.0.4, we have $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = 1_{X_N} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \psi^{-1}(1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{A})$, for each NOS \mathcal{A} of Y . Since ψ is a NSCM and $1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{A}$ is a NOS $X \times Y$, $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a NSOS of X and hence ϕ is a NSCM.

Remark 2.0.5

The converse of Theorem 2.0.9 is not true.

Definition 2.0.11

A mapping $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ from NTS X to another NTS Y is said to be a NACM, if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \top_{X_N}$ for each NROS \mathcal{A} of Y .

Theorem 2.0.10

Let $\phi : (X, \top_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \top_{Y_N})$ be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) ϕ is a NACM,
- (b) $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is a NCoS, for each NRCoS \mathcal{F} of Y ,
- (c) $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right)$, for each NOS \mathcal{A} of Y ,
- (d) $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N}))\right)\right) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$, for each NCoS \mathcal{F} of Y .

Proof

Consider that $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^c) = (\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))^c$, for any NS \mathcal{A} of Y , (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from Theorem 2.0.4.

(a) \Rightarrow (c). Since \mathcal{A} is a NOS of Y , $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))$ and hence $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right)$. From Theorem 2.0.6 (ii), $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a NROS of Y , hence $\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right)$ is a NOS of X . Thus, $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right) = \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Let \mathcal{A} be a NROS of Y , then we have $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right) = \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$. Thus, $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$. This shows that $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a NOS of X .

(b) \Leftrightarrow (d) similarly can be proved.

Remark 2.0.6

Clearly, a NCM is NACM. But the converse needs not be true.

Example 2.0.5

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{x, y\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.6, 0.5, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.4, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{a}{(0.2, 0.5, 0.7)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.4, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{x}{(0.6, 0.5, 0.3)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{y}{(0.4, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{x}{(0.2, 0.5, 0.7)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{y}{(0.4, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E} &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{x}{(0.2, 0.5, 0.5)} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{b}{(0.3, 0.5, 0.7)} \right\rangle \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\tau_{X_N} = \{0_{X_N}, 1_{X_N}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ and $\tau_{Y_N} = \{0_{X_N}, 1_{X_N}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}\}$ are NTSs on X and Y .

Now, let $\phi : (X, \tau_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{Y_N})$ be a mapping defined as $\phi(a) = y$, $\phi(b) = x$ and clearly, ϕ is NACM.

Hence, $0_{X_N}, 1_{X_N}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are NOSs in τ_{Y_N} but $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ is not NOS in τ_{X_N} and hence NACM is not NCM.

Theorem 2.0.11

Neutrosophic semi-continuity and neutrosophic almost continuity are independent notions.

The proof is straightforward.

Definition 2.0.12

A NTS (X, τ_{X_N}) is said to be a NSRS iff the collection of all NROSs of X forms a base for NT τ_{X_N} .

Theorem 2.0.12

Let $\phi : (X, \tau_{X_N}) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_{Y_N})$ be a mapping from NTS X to a NSRS Y . Then ϕ is NACM iff ϕ is NCM.

Proof

From Remark 2.0.6, it suffices to prove that if ϕ is NACM then it is NCM. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{T}_{Y_N}$, then $\mathcal{A} = \cup \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, where \mathcal{A}_α 's are NROSs of Y . Now, from Lemma 2.0.1(i), 2.0.5 and Theorem 2.0.10 (c), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) &= \cup \phi^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\alpha \\
&\subseteq \cup \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha))\right) \\
&= \cup \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)) \\
&\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \cup (\phi^{-1})(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \\
&= \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{T}_{X_N}$.

Theorem 2.0.13

Let X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2 be the NTSs such that Y_1 is product related to Y_2 . Then the product $\phi_1 \times \phi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ of NACMs $\phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $\phi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is NACM.

Proof

Let $\mathcal{A} = \cup(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta)$, where \mathcal{A}_α 's and \mathcal{B}_β 's are NOSs of Y_1 and Y_2 respectively, be a NOS of $Y_1 \times Y_2$. Following Lemma 2.0.3, for $(p_1, p_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A})(p_1, p_2) &= (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}\left\{\cup(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta)\right\}(p_1, p_2) \\
&= \cup\left\{(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta)(\phi_1(p_1), \phi_2(p_2))\right\} \\
&= \cup\left[\min\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha\phi_1(p_1), \mathcal{B}_\beta\phi_2(p_2)\}\right] \\
&= \cup\left[\min\{\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)(p_1), \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\beta)(p_2)\}\right] \\
&= \cup\left[(\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \times \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\beta))\right](p_1, p_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$i.e., (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \cup\{\phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)\phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\beta)\}$$

Now, $(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \cup \{ \phi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \times \phi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\beta) \} \\
&\subseteq \cup \left[\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\phi_1^{-1} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \right) \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\phi_2^{-1} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\beta) \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
&\subseteq \cup \left[\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left\{ \phi_1^{-1} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \right) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \phi_2^{-1} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\beta) \right) \right) \right\} \right] \\
&\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left[\cup \left(\phi_1 \times \phi_2 \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\beta) \right) \right\} \right] \\
&= \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left[\cup \left(\phi_1 \times \phi_2 \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta) \right) \right\} \right] \\
&\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left[\left(\phi_1 \times \phi_2 \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl} \left(\cup \left(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}_\beta \right) \right) \right) \right\} \right] \\
&= \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left[\left(\phi_1 \times \phi_2 \right)^{-1} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int} \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}) \right) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.0.10 (c), $\phi_1 \times \phi_2$ is NACM.

Theorem 2.0.14

Let X, X_1 and X_2 be NTSs and $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the projection of $X_1 \times X_2$ onto X_i . Then if $\phi : X \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is a NACM, $p_i\phi$ is also a NACM.

Proof

Since p_i is NCM Definition 2.0.5, for any NS \mathcal{A} of X_i , we have (i) $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}))$ and (ii) $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \supseteq p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A}))$. Again, since (i) each p_i is a NOM, and (ii) for any NS \mathcal{A} of X_i (a) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq p_i^{-1}p_i(\mathcal{A})$, and (b) $p_i^{-1}p_i(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we have $p_i \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \right) \subseteq p_i p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and hence $p_i \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \right) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus, $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq p_i^{-1}p_i \left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \right) \subseteq (p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{A})))$ establishes that $\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$

$$(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{A})).$$

Now, for any NOS \mathcal{A} of X_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} (p_i\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) &= \phi^{-1}(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left\{\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right)\right\} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left\{\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(p_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right)\right\} \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left\{\phi^{-1}\left(p_i^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right)\right\} \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Int((p_i\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.0.15

Let X and Y be NTSs such that X is product related to Y and let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then, the graph $\psi : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ of ϕ is NACM iff ϕ is NACM.

Proof

Consider that ψ is a NACM and \mathcal{A} is a NOS of Y . Then using Lemma 2.0.4 and Theorem 2.0.10 (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) &= 1_{X_N} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \\ &= \psi^{-1}(1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{A}) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{A}))\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(\psi^{-1}\left(1_{X_N} \times \mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(1 \times \mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim Int\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim Int(\mathcal{N} \sim Cl(\mathcal{A}))\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.0.10 (c), ϕ is NACM.

Conversely, let ϕ be a NACM and $\mathcal{B} = \cup(\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{A}_\beta)$, where \mathcal{B}_α 's and \mathcal{A}_β 's are NOSs of X and Y respectively, be a NOS of $X \times Y$.

Since $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right)$ is a NOSs of X contained in

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\alpha)) \cap \phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right), \\ & \mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right) \\ & \subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left[\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\alpha)) \cap \phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and hence using Lemmas 2.0.1 (i), 2.0.4 and 2.0.5 and Theorem 2.0.10(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) &= \phi^{-1}\left(\cup\left(\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{A}_\beta\right)\right) \\ &= \cup\left[\mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\beta)\right] \\ &\subseteq \cup\left[\mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right)\right] \\ &\subseteq \cup\left[\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\alpha))\right) \cap \phi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right] \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left[\cup\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\alpha))\right) \times \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{A}_\beta))\right] \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left[\psi^{-1}\left(\cup\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{A}_\beta))\right)\right)\right] \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left[\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}\left(\cup\left(\mathcal{B}_\alpha \times \mathcal{A}_\beta\right)\right)\right)\right)\right] \\ &= \mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}\left[\psi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Int}(\mathcal{N} \sim \text{Cl}(\mathcal{B}))\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.0.10 (c), ψ is NACM.