CHAPTER 5

CHAPTER 5

PLITHOGENIC NEUTROSOPHIC HYPERSOFT ALMOST TOPOLOGICAL GROUP

In this chapter, the concept of the neutrosophic hypersoft topological group is studied. Moreover, some definitions related to the NHTG are introduced, and the PNHATG and its related propositions are studied.

Definition 5.0.1

Let NHS $(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}, E) = \mathcal{N}$ be the family of all NHS over $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ via attributes in E and $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}} \subseteq NHS(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}, E)$. Then $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ is said to be NHT on \mathcal{N} if the following conditions are hold:

- (a) $\phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}, 1_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}} \in \mathbb{k}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}.$
- (b) The intersection of any finite number of members of $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ also belongs to $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$.
- (c) The union of any collection of members of $\exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ belongs to $\exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$.

The results discussed in this chapter has published in the journal,

Basumatary, B., & Wary, N., et al. (2021). On some properties of plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft almost topological group. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 43, 169-179.

Then $(N, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}})$ is said to be NHTS. Every member of $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ is called $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ - open neutrosophic hypersoft set. An NHS is called $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ - closed if and only if its complement is $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ - open.

Definition 5.0.2

Let the pair $(F, E_{\alpha}) = H$ be a NHG of a crisp group \mathcal{U} . Let $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$ be the NHT on H then $(H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is said to be NHTG if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) The mapping ψ : $(H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \times (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \rightarrow (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\psi(x, y) = xy$, for all $x, y \in H = (F, E_{\alpha})$, is relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous.
- (b) The mapping $\mu : (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\mu(x) = x^{-1}$, for all $x \in H = (F, E_{\alpha})$, is relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous.

where $x = (b_1, r_1)$ and $y = (b_2, r_2)$. Then the pair (H, \neg_{U_G}) is known as NHTG.

Definition 5.0.3

Let the pair $(F, E_{\alpha}) = H$ be a NHG of a crisp group \mathcal{U} . Let $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$ be the NHTG on H. Then for fixed $\sigma = (a_1, a_2) \in H$, the left translation $l_{\sigma} : (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is defined by $l_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma x, \forall x \in H$,

$$\sigma x = \left\{ \langle \sigma, T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma x), I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma x), F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma x) \rangle : x \in H = (F, E_\alpha) \right\}.$$

Similarly, the right translation $r_{\sigma} : (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is defined by $r_{\sigma}(x) = x\sigma, \forall x \in H$,

$$x\sigma = \left\{ \langle \sigma, T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x\sigma), I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x\sigma), F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x\sigma) \rangle : x \in H = (F, E_\alpha) \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.0.1

Suppose $(F, E_{\alpha}) = H$ be a NHG of a crisp group \mathcal{U} . Let $\exists_{\mathcal{U}_G}$ be an

NHTG in H. Then for each $\sigma = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{G}_e$, the translations l_σ and r_σ respectively neutrosophic hypersoft homomorphism of $(H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ into itself.

Proof

From the Proposition 3.11 [67], we have $l_{\sigma}[H] = \mathcal{G}$ and $r_{\sigma}[H] = H$, for all $\sigma \in H_e$ and let $\pi : (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \times (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ defined by $\pi(x) = (\sigma, x)$ for each $x \in H$. Then $r_{\sigma} : \beta \circ \pi$. Since $\sigma \in H_e, T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma)$ $= T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(e), I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma) = I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(e)$ and $F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma) = F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(e)$. Thus, $T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma) \supseteq$ $T_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x), I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma) \supseteq I_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x)$ and $F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(\sigma) \subseteq F_{\mathcal{U}_G}(x)$, for each $x \in H$. It follows from Proposition 3.34 [68] that $\pi : (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \times$ $(H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous. By the hypothesis β is relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous. So, r_{σ} is relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous. So, $r_{\sigma}^{-1} = r_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Similarly, we have shown the relatively neutrosophic hypersoft continuous of $l_{\sigma}^{-1} = l_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Definition 5.0.4

Let PNHS $(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}, E) = P$ be the family of all PNHS over $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ via attributes in E and $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}} \subseteq PNHS(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}, E)$. Then $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ is said to be PNHT on P if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $\phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}, 1_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}.$
- (b) The intersection of any two NHSs in $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ belongs to $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$.
- (c) The union of NHSs in $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ belongs to $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$.

Then $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ is said to be PNHTS.

Definition 5.0.5

The complement \mathcal{A}^c of a PNHOS in a NHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ is said to be PNHCoS in $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$.

Definition 5.0.6

Let the pair $(F, E_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{M}$ be a PNHS of a crisp group \mathcal{U} . Let $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$ [from definition 5.0.2] be the PNHT on \mathcal{M} then $(\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is said to be PNHTG if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) The mapping ψ : $(\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \times (\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\psi(x, y) = xy$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M} = (F, E_{\alpha})$, is relatively plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft continuous.
- (b) The mapping $\mu : (\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\mu(x) = x^{-1}$, for all $x \in \mathcal{M} = (F, E_{\alpha})$, is relatively plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft continuous.

where $x = (b_1, r_1)$ and $y = (b_2, r_2)$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is called a PNHTG.

Definition 5.0.7

Let the pair (F, E_{α}) be a PNHS of a crisp group \mathcal{U} , where $E_{\alpha} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_i, i = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ are crisp groups. Let U, V be two PNHS in (F, E_{α}) . We define the product of UV PNHS U, V and V^{-1} of V as follows:

$$UV(z) = \left\{ \langle z, T_{UV}(z), I_{UV}(z), F_{UV}(z) \rangle : z = (b, r) \in (F, E_{\alpha}) \right\}$$

where

$$T_{UV}(z) = \sup\{\min\{T_U(x), T_V(y)\}\},\$$

$$I_{UV}(z) = \sup\{\min\{I_U(x), I_V(y)\}\},\$$

$$F_{UV}(z) = \sup\{\min\{F_U(x), F_V(y)\}\},\$$

where z = x.y and $x = (b_1, r_1); y = (b_2, r_2)$ and for $V = \{ \langle z, T_V(z), I_V(z), F_V(z) \rangle : z = (b, r) \in (F, E_\alpha) \}$,

we have $V^{-1} = \{ \langle z, T_V(z^{-1}), I_V(z^{-1}), F_V(z^{-1}) \rangle : z = (b, r) \in (F, E_\alpha) \}.$

Definition 5.0.8

Let the ordered pair (F, E_{α}) be a PNHS, where $E_{\alpha} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$. Let $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ be a PNHTS and $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \langle x, T_{\mathcal{A}}(x), I_{\mathcal{A}}(x), F_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in (F, E_{\alpha}) \right\}$ be a PNHS in $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, then the plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft interior of \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$PNH - int(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is } PNHOS \text{ and } G \subseteq \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Definition 5.0.9

Let the ordered pair (F, E_{α}) be a PNHS, where $E_{\alpha} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$. Let $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ be a PNHTS and $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \langle x, T_{\mathcal{A}}(x), I_{\mathcal{A}}(x), F_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle : x \in (F, E_{\alpha}) \right\}$ be a PNHS in $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, then the plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft closure of \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$PNH - cl(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap \Big\{ K : K \text{ is } PNHCoS \text{ and } K \supseteq \mathcal{A} \Big\}.$$

Definition 5.0.10

A mapping $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ is a plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft continuous if the pre-image of each PNHOS in $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ is PNHOS in $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$.

Definition 5.0.11

Let \mathcal{A} be a PNHS of a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, then \mathcal{A} is called a PNHSOS of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ if there exists a $\mathcal{B} \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq PNH - cl(\mathcal{B})$.

Definition 5.0.12

Let \mathcal{A} be a PNHS of a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, then \mathcal{A} is called a PNHSCoS of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ if there exists a $\mathcal{B}^c \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ such that $PNH - Int(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 5.0.13

A PNHS \mathcal{A} of a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ is said to be a PNHROS of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ if PNH $- int(PNH - cl(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 5.0.14

A PNHS \mathcal{A} of a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ is said to be a PNHRCoS of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ if PNH $- cl(PNH - int(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 5.0.1

- (i) The intersection of any two PNHROSs is a PNHROS, and
- (ii) The union of any two PNHRCoSs is a PNHRCoS.

Proof

- (i) Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be any two PNHROSs of a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is PNHOS, we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \text{PNH} - int(\text{PNH} - cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2))$. Now, PNH $-int(\text{PNH}-cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)) \subseteq \text{PNH} - int(\text{PNH}-cl(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \mathcal{A}_1$ and PNH $-int(\text{PNH}-cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2))$ $\subseteq \text{PNH}-int(\text{PNH}-cl(\mathcal{A}_2)) = \mathcal{A}_2$ implies that PNH $-int(\text{PNH}-cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2))$ $cl(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$. Hence the theorem.
- (ii) Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be any two PNHROSs of a PNHTS $(P, \tau_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}})$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2$ is PNHOS, we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2 \supseteq \text{PNH} - cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2)))$. Now, PNH $-cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2)) \supseteq \text{PNH} - cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \mathcal{A}_1$ and PNH $-cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2))$ $\supseteq \text{PNH} - cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_2)) = \mathcal{A}_2$ implies that $\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq$ PNH $-cl(\text{PNH} - int(\mathcal{A}_1 \bigcup \mathcal{A}_2))$. Hence the theorem.

Definition 5.0.15

Let $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}})$

to another PNHTS $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHCM, if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}}$; or equivalently $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ is a PNHCoS of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}})$ for each PNHCoS \mathcal{B} of $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$.

Definition 5.0.16

Let $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$ to another PNHTS $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHOM if $\phi(\mathcal{A}) \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}$.

Definition 5.0.17

Let $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$ to another PNHTS $(K, \tau_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHCoM if $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is a PNHCoS of $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ for each PNHCoS \mathcal{B} of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$.

Definition 5.0.18

Let $\phi : (H, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}}) \to (K, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(H, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}})$ to another PNHTS $(K, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHSCM if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a PNHSOS of $(H, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}})$, for each $\mathcal{A} \in \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}}$.

Definition 5.0.19

Let $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$ to another PNHTS $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHSOM if $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ is a PNHSOS for each $\mathcal{A} \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}$.

Definition 5.0.20

Let $\phi : (P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}}) \to (K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$ be a mapping from a PNHTS $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$ to another PNHTS $(K, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_2}})$, then ϕ is called a PNHSCoM if $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is a PNHSCoS for each PNHCoS \mathcal{B} of $(P, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_{P_1}})$.

Definition 5.0.21

A mapping $\phi : (M, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}}) \to (K, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$ is said to be a PNHACM if $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \in (M, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_1}})$ for each PNHROS \mathcal{A} of $(K, \exists_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}_2}})$.

Definition 5.0.22

Let the pair $(F, E_{\alpha}) = M$ be a PNHS of a crisp group \mathcal{U} . Let $\neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$ [from definition 5.0.15] be the PNHT on M then $(M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is said to be PNHATG if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The mapping $\psi : (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \times (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\psi(x, y) = xy$, for all $x, y \in M = (F, E_\alpha)$, is relatively plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft almost continuous.
- (ii) The mapping $\mu : (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $\mu(x) = x^{-1}$, for all $x \in M = (F, E_{\alpha})$, is relatively plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft almost continuous.

where $x = (b_1, r_1)$ and $y = (b_2, r_2)$. Then the pair $(M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is known as PNHATG.

Theorem 5.0.2

Let $(M, \neg_{\mathcal{P}_G})$ be a PNHATG and let $\sigma = (a_1, a_2) \in M$ be any element. Then

- (i) A mapping $g_{\sigma} : (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (H, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $g_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma x$, for all $x \in M$, is PNHACM;
- (ii) A mapping $h_{\sigma} : (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ such that $h_{\sigma}(x) = x\sigma$, for all $x \in M$, is PNHACM.

Proof

(i) Let δ = (a₃, a₄) ∈ M and let W be a PNHROS containing σδ in M. From Definition 5.0.22, ∃ plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft open nbds U, V of σ, δ in M so that UV ⊆ W. Especially, σV ⊆ W that is g_σ(V) ⊆ W. This shows that g_σ is PNHACM at δ and therefore g_σ is PNHACM.

(ii) Suppose δ = (a₃, a₄) ∈ M and W ∈ PNHROS(M) containing δσ. Then ∃ PNHOSs δ ∈ U and σ ∈ V in M so that UV ⊆ W. This shows U_σ ⊆ W, i.e., h_σ(U) ⊆ W. This implies h_σ is PNHACM at δ. As arbitrary element δ is in M, therefore h_σ is PNHACM.

Theorem 5.0.3

Let U be PNHROS in a PNHATG $(M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$. Then the following conditions are hold good, where $\sigma = (a_1, a_2)$

- (i) $\sigma U \in PNHROS(M)$, for all $\sigma \in M$.
- (ii) $U\sigma \in PNHROS(M)$, for all $\sigma \in M$.
- (iii) $U^{-1} \in PNHROS(M)$.

Proof

(i) First, we have to prove that $\sigma U \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$. Let $\delta = (a_3, a_4) \in \sigma U$. Then from Definition 5.0.22 of PNHATGs, \exists PNHOSs $\sigma^{-1} \in W_1$ and $\delta \in W_2$ in M so that $W_1 W_2 \subseteq U$. Especially, $\sigma^{-1} W_2 \subseteq U$. i.e., equivalently $W_2 \subseteq \sigma U$. This shows that $\delta \in \text{PNH} - int(\sigma U)$ and thus, PNH $- int(\sigma U) = \sigma U$. i.e., $\sigma U \in \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}$. Consequently, $\sigma U \subseteq \text{PNH} - int(\text{PNH} - cl(\sigma U))$.

Now, we have to prove that $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U)PNH) \subseteq \sigma U$. Since U is PNHOS, $PNH - cl(U) \in PNHRCoS(M)$. From Theorem 5.0.2, $g_{\sigma^{-1}} : (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is PNHACM and therefore, $\sigma PNH - cl(U)$ is PNHCoS. Thus, $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U)) \subseteq PNH - cl(\sigma U) \subseteq \sigma PNH - cl(U)$. i.e., $\sigma^{-1}PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U)) \subseteq PNH - cl(U)$. Since $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U))$ $\subseteq PNH - cl(\sigma U) \subseteq PNH - cl(U)$. Since $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U))$ $\subseteq PNH - int(PNH - cl(U)) = U$, i.e., $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U))$ $\subseteq PNH - int(PNH - cl(U)) = U$, i.e., $PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U))$ $\subseteq routher cl(\sigma U) \subseteq \sigma U$. Thus, $\sigma U = PNH - int(PNH - cl(\sigma U))$. This shows that $\sigma U \in PNHROS(M)$.

- (ii) Following Theorem 5.0.3 (i), the proof is straightforward.
- (iii) Let $x \in U^{-1}$, then \exists PNHOS $\delta \in W$ in H so that $W^{-1} \subseteq U \Rightarrow W \subseteq U^{-1}$. Therefore U^{-1} has interior point δ . Thus, U^{-1} is PNHOS. i.e., $U^{-1} \subseteq$ PNH $int(PNH cl(U^{-1}))$. Now we have to prove that PNH $int(PNH cl(U^{-1})) \subseteq U^{-1}$. Since U is PNHOS, PNH cl(U) is PNHRCoS and hence PNH $cl(U)^{-1}$ is PNHCoS in M. Therefore, PNH $-int(PNH cl(U^{-1})) \subseteq PNH cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq PNH cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq PNH cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq PNH cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq U^{-1}$. Thus, $U^{-1} = PNH int(PNH cl(U^{-1})) \subseteq (PNH cl(U))^{-1} \subseteq U^{-1}$. Thus, $U^{-1} = PNH int(PNH cl(U^{-1}))$. This shows that $U^{-1} \in PNHROS(H)$.

Corollary 5.0.1

Let Q be any PNHRCoS in a PNHATG in M. Then

- (i) $\sigma Q \in PNHRCoS(M)$, for each $\sigma \in M$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{Q}^{-1} \in PNHRCoS(M)$.

Theorem 5.0.4

Let U be any PNHROS in a PNHATG M. Then

- (a) $PNH cl(U\sigma) = PNH cl(U)\sigma$, for each $\sigma \in M$, where $\sigma = (a_1, a_2)$.
- (b) $PNH cl(\sigma U) = \sigma PNH cl(U)$, for each $\sigma \in M$.
- (c) $PNH cl(U^{-1}) = PNH cl(U)^{-1}$.

Proof

(a) Taking $\delta = (a_3, a_4) \in PNH - cl(U\sigma)$ and consider $q = \delta \sigma^{-1}$. Let $q \in W$ be PNHOS in M. Then \exists PNHOSs $\sigma^{-1} \in V_1$ and $\delta \in V_2$ in M, so that $V_1V_2 \subseteq PNH - int(PNH - cl(W))$. By assumption, there is $g \in U\sigma \bigcap V_2 \Rightarrow g\sigma^{-1} \in U \bigcap V_1V_2 \subseteq U \bigcap PNH - cl(W)$

 $int(PNH - cl(W)) \Rightarrow U \cap PNH - int(PNH - cl(W)) \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ $\Rightarrow U \cap (PNH - cl(W)) \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$. Since U is PNHOS, $U \cap W \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$. i.e., $x \in PNH - cl(U)\sigma$.

Conversely, let $q \in PNH - cl(U)\sigma$. Then $q = \delta g$ for some $\delta \in PNH - cl(U)$. To prove $PNH - cl(U)a \subseteq PNH - cl(Ua)$. Let $\delta g \in W$ be an PNHOS in M. Then \exists PNHOSs $\sigma \in V_1$ in M and $\delta \in V_2$ in M so that $V_1V_2 \subseteq PNH - int(PNH - cl(W))$. Since $\delta \in PNH - cl(U), U \cap V_2 \neq \phi_{U_p}$. There is $g \in U \cap V_2$. This gives $g\sigma \in (U\sigma) \cap PNH - int(PNH - cl(W))$ $\Rightarrow (U\sigma) \cap (PNH - cl(W)) \neq \phi_{U_p}$. From Theorem 5.0.2, $U\sigma$ is PNHOS and thus $(U\sigma) \cap W \neq \phi_{U_p}$, therefore $q \in PNH - cl(U\sigma)$. Therefore PNH $- cl(U\sigma) = PNH - cl(U)\sigma$.

- (b) Following Theorem 5.0.4 (a), proof is straightforward.
- (c) Since PNH cl(U) is PNHRCoS, PNH $cl(U)^{-1}$ is PNHCoS in M. So, $U^{-1} \subseteq$ PNH – $cl(U)^{-1}$ this implies PNH – $cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq$ PNH – $cl(U)^{-1}$. Next, let $q \in$ PNH – $cl(U)^{-1}$. Then $q = \delta^{-1}$, for some $\delta \in$ PNH – cl(U). Let $q \in V$ be any PNHOS in M. Then \exists PNHOS U in M so that $\delta \in U$ with $U^{-1} \subseteq$ PNH – int(PNH - cl(V)). Also, there is $\sigma \in \mathcal{A} \cap U$ which implies $\sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cap \text{PNH} - int(\text{PNH} - cl(V))$. That is, $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \cap \text{PNH}$ $int(\text{PNH} - cl(V)) \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}} \Rightarrow U^{-1} \cap \text{PNH} - cl(V) \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}} \Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \cap V \neq \phi_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}}$, since U^{-1} is PNHOS. Therefore, $q \in$ PNH – $cl(U)^{-1}$. Hence PNH – $cl(U^{-1}) \subseteq$ PNH – $cl(U)^{-1}$.

Theorem 5.0.5

Let Q be plithogenic neutrosophic hypersoft regularly closed subset in a PNHATG M. Then the following statements are satisfied:

(a) $PNH - int(\sigma Q) = \sigma PNH - int(Q)$, for all $\sigma \in M$, where $\sigma = (a_1, a_2)$.

(b) $PNH - int(Q\sigma) = PNH - int(Q)\sigma$, for all $\sigma \in M$. (c) $PNH - int(Q^{-1}) = PNH - int(Q)^{-1}$.

Proof

- (a) Since Q is PNHRCoS, PNH int(Q) is PNHROS in M. Consequently, σPNH int(Q) ⊆ PNH int(σQ). Conversely, let q be an arbitrary element of PNH int(σQ). Assume that q = σδ, for some δ = (a₃, a₄) ∈ Q. By assumption, this shows σQ is PN-HCoS and that is PNH-int(σQ) is PNHROS in M. Suppose σ ∈ U and δ ∈ V be PNHOSs in M, so that UV ⊆ PNH int(σQ). Then σV ⊆ σQ, which follows that σV ⊆ σPNH int(Q). Thus, PNH int(σQ) ⊆ σPNH int(Q). Hence the statement follows.
- (b) Following Theorem 5.0.5 (a), the proof is straightforward.
- (c) Since PNH int(Q) is PNHROS, so $PNH int(Q)^{-1}$ is PNHOSin M. Therefore, $Q^{-1} \subseteq PNH - int(Q)^{-1}$ implies that $PNH - int(Q^{-1}) \subseteq PNH - int(Q)^{-1}$. Next, let q be an arbitrary element of $PNH - int(Q)^{-1}$. Then $q = \delta^{-1}$, for some $\delta \in PNH - int(Q)$. Let $q \in V$ be PNHOS in M. Then \exists PNHOS U is in M so that $\delta \in U$ with $U^{-1} \subseteq PNH - cl(PNH - int(V))$. Also, there is $g \in$ $Q \cap U$ which implies $g^{-1} \in Q^{-1} \cap PNH - cl(PNH - int(V))$. That is $Q^{-1} \cap PNH - cl(PNH - int(V)) \neq \phi_{U_P} \Rightarrow Q^{-1} \cap PNH - int(V) \neq \phi_{U_P} \Rightarrow Q^{-1} \cap V \neq \phi_{U_P}$, since Q^{-1} is PNHCoS. Hence $PNH - int(Q^{-1}) = PNH - int(Q)^{-1}$.

Theorem 5.0.6

Let A be any PNHSOS in a PNHATG M. Then

(a) $PNH - cl(\sigma A) \subseteq \sigma PNH - cl(A)$, for all $\sigma \in M$, where $\sigma = (a_1, a_2)$.

(b) $PNH - cl(\mathcal{A}\sigma) \subseteq PNH - cl(\mathcal{A})\sigma$, for all $\sigma \in M$. (c) $PNH - cl(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) \subseteq PNH - cl(\mathcal{A})^{-1}$.

Proof

- (a) As \mathcal{A} is PNHSOS, PNH $cl(\mathcal{A})$ is PNHRCoS. From Theorem 5.0.2, $g_{\sigma^{-1}} : (M, \tau_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \to (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is PNHACM. So, σ PNH $- cl(\mathcal{A})$ is PNHCoS. Hence PNH $- cl(\sigma \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \sigma$ PNH $- cl(\mathcal{A})$.
- (b) As \mathcal{A} is PNHSOS, PNH $cl(\mathcal{A})$ is PNHRCoS. From Theorem 5.0.2, $h_{\sigma^{-1}}$: $(M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G}) \rightarrow (M, \neg_{\mathcal{U}_G})$ is PNHACM. So, PNH $- cl(\mathcal{A})\sigma$ is PNHCoS. Thus, PNH $- cl(\mathcal{A}\sigma) \subseteq$ PNH $- cl(\mathcal{A})\sigma$.
- (c) Since \mathcal{A} is PNHSOS, so, PNH $cl(\mathcal{A})$ is PNHRCoS and hence PNH $-cl(\mathcal{A})^{-1}$ is PNHCoS. Consequently, PNH $-cl(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq$ PNH $-cl(\mathcal{A})^{-1}$.