

CONTENTS

<i>Contents</i>	<i>Pages</i>
Chapter 1: Introduction	1-32
1.1. Introduction	1-2
1.2. Biodiesel background	2-4
1.3. Transesterification reaction in the synthesis of biodiesel	5-7
1.4. Catalysts used in the synthesis of biodiesel	7-10
1.5. Literature review	10-30
1.5.1. Agro-waste derived catalysts and their chemical composition	10-17
1.5.2. Catalysts derived from agro-waste materials for biodiesel synthesis	18-26
1.5.3. Fuel properties of biodiesel	27-30
1.6. Significance and scope of the present research work	31-32
1.7. Objectives of the current work	32
Chapter 2: Heterogeneous base catalyst derived from post-harvest <i>Musa paradisiaca</i> plant for production of biodiesel	33-88
2.1. Introduction	33
2.2. Materials and Methods	34-38
2.2.1. Materials	34
2.2.2. Methods	34-38
2.2.2.1. Catalyst preparation	34-35
2.2.2.2. Characterization of catalysts	35-36
2.2.2.3. Transesterification and characterization of biodiesel	36-38
2.2.2.4. Activation energy determination	38
2.3. Results and Discussion	39-87
2.3.1. Catalyst characterization	39-60
2.3.1.1. Powder XRD analysis	39-40
2.3.1.2. FT-IR analysis	40-41
2.3.1.3. BET analysis	42-44
2.3.1.4. FESEM analysis	45-48

2.3.1.5.	Elemental composition of the catalyst	49-56
2.3.1.6.	HRTEM analysis	56-57
2.3.1.7.	Determination of pH value of the catalysts	57-59
2.3.1.8.	Soluble alkalinity of catalyst	59-60
2.3.1.9.	Basicity of catalyst	60
2.3.2.	Catalytic activity of the catalyst	60-71
2.3.2.1.	Effect of catalyst loading	60-61
2.3.2.2.	Effect of MTOMR	61-63
2.3.2.3.	Effect of temperature on the reaction	64-65
2.3.2.4.	Effect of different alcohols	65-67
2.3.2.5.	Investigation of catalyst reusability	67-70
2.3.2.6.	Activation energy of the reaction	70-71
2.3.3.	Comparative catalytic activity with reported heterogeneous catalysts	72-77
2.3.4.	Characterization of jatropha biodiesel	77-84
2.3.4.1.	FT-IR and NMR analyses	77-82
2.3.4.2.	GC-MS analysis	83-84
2.3.5.	Jatropha biodiesel properties	84-87
2.4.	Conclusions	88
Chapter 3: Heterogeneous base catalyst derived from <i>Musa champa</i> plant for production of biodiesel		89-142
3.1.	Introduction	89
3.2.	Experimental Methods	90-92
3.2.1.	Chemicals and materials	90
3.2.2.	Methods	90-92
3.2.2.1.	Preparation of the catalysts	90-91
3.2.2.2.	Characterization of the catalysts	91
3.2.2.3.	Biodiesel preparation, characterization and property determination	92
3.3.	Results and Discussion	92-142
3.3.1.	Characterization of catalysts	92-120
3.3.1.1.	Thermogravimetric analysis	92-93
3.3.1.2.	XRD analyses of <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	93-94

3.3.1.3.	FT-IR studies	95-96
3.3.1.4.	BET studies	96-98
3.3.1.5.	FESEM studies	99-102
3.3.1.6.	EDX studies	102-109
3.3.1.7.	XPS studies	110-114
3.3.1.8.	HRTEM studies	115-118
3.3.1.9.	Determination of pH values	118-119
3.3.1.10.	Soluble alkalinity of the catalysts	119-120
3.3.1.11.	Basicity measurement	120
3.3.2.	Role of <i>M. champa</i> catalysts in <i>J. curcas</i> oil to biodiesel synthesis	120-129
3.3.2.1.	Effect of catalyst concentration	120-121
3.3.2.2.	Effect of MTOMR	122-123
3.3.2.3.	Effect of temperature on the reaction	123-126
3.3.2.4.	Reusability studies of <i>M. champa</i> catalyst	126-128
3.3.2.5.	Activation energy of the reaction	128-129
3.3.3.	Comparison of <i>M. champa</i> catalysts with reported catalysts derived from waste biomasses	129-134
3.3.4.	FTIR, NMR and GC-MS studies of biodiesel	135-139
3.3.5.	Biodiesel properties	139-142
3.4.	Conclusion	143
Chapter 4: Heterogeneous base catalyst derived from waste sugarcane bagasse for production of biodiesel		144-175
4.1.	Introduction	144-145
4.2.	Experimental	145-146
4.2.1.	Materials	145
4.2.2.	Preparation of sugarcane bagasse calcined catalyst (SBCC)	146
4.2.3.	Characterization of SBCC	146
4.2.4.	Reaction procedures of transesterification and product characterization	146
4.3.	Results and Discussion	147-174
4.3.1.	Characterization of the calcined catalyst	147-156

4.3.1.1.	Powder XRD analysis	147-148
4.3.1.2.	FT-IR analysis	148-149
4.3.1.3.	BET analysis	149-150
4.3.1.4.	FESEM and HRTEM analyses	151-152
4.3.1.5.	EDX analysis	152-153
4.3.1.6.	XPS analysis	153-155
4.3.1.7.	pH value determination	155-156
4.3.1.8.	Determination of basicity of catalyst and turnover frequency	156
4.3.2.	Study of catalytic activity in the conversion of jatropha oil to biodiesel	157-161
4.3.2.1.	Effect of catalyst loading	157
4.3.2.2.	Effect of MTOMR	158
4.3.2.3.	Effect of temperature on synthesis of biodiesel	159-160
4.3.2.4.	Reusability of catalyst	160-161
4.3.3.	Kinetic study of the reaction	161-165
4.3.4.	Thermodynamic study of the reaction	165-166
4.3.5.	Transesterification reaction mechanism	166-168
4.3.6.	Characterization of jatropha biodiesel	169-172
4.3.7.	Fuel properties of jatropha biodiesel	173-174
4.4.	Conclusion	175
Chapter 5: Heterogeneous base catalyst derived from waste <i>Musa</i> AAA plant for production of biodiesel		176-220
5.1.	Introduction	176-177
5.2.	Experimental	177-179
5.2.1.	Chemicals and materials	177
5.2.2.	Preparation of catalysts	177-178
5.2.3.	Catalyst characterization	178-179
5.2.4.	Transesterification reaction for the biodiesel synthesis	179
5.2.5.	Analysis of produced biodiesel	179
5.3.	Results and Discussion	179-218

5.3.1. Characterization of Bharatmoni catalysts	179-196
5.3.1.1. Powder XRD analyses of catalysts	179-181
5.3.1.2. FT-IR analyses	181-182
5.3.1.3. BET study	182-183
5.3.1.4. FESEM and HR-TEM analyses	183-187
5.3.1.5. EDX analyses of the catalysts	188-190
5.3.1.6. XPS study	191-194
5.3.1.7. Determination of pH values	194-195
5.3.1.8. Soluble alkalinity, basicity and turnover frequency (TOF) studies	195-196
5.3.1. Role of Bharatmoni catalysts in the biodiesel synthesis	196-202
5.3.2.1. Effect of catalyst concentration	196-197
5.3.2.2. Effect of MTOMR	197-198
5.3.2.3. Effect of temperature	199-201
5.3.2.4. Reusability of Bharatmoni catalyst	201-202
5.3.3. Kinetics study	203-208
5.3.4. Study of thermodynamic properties of the reaction	208-209
5.3.5. Comparison of Bharatmoni catalysts with other biomass-based catalysts	210-212
5.3.6. FTIR, NMR and GC-MS analyses	213-216
5.3.7. Physicochemical properties of biodiesel	217-219
5.4. Conclusion	220
Chapter 6: Summary and Conclusion	221-231
6.1. Summary	221-229
6.2. Conclusion	230
6.3. Future scope of the current study	231
Declaration of Supervisor	232
Publication Declaration by the Candidate	233
List of Publications	234-235
Paper Presentations in Conference/Seminar	236
References	237-258

<i>List of tables</i>	<i>Pages</i>
Table 1.1 : Composition of various solid base catalysts derived from waste biomasses	13-17
Table 1.2 : Comparisons of the catalysis of transesterification reaction by various catalysts from waste biomasses in the production of biodiesel from diverse oil feedstocks	22-26
Table 1.3 : The fuel properties of synthesized biodiesel using agro-waste based heterogeneous catalysts and comparison with international standard	28-30
Table 2.1 : Physical properties of agro-wastes derived ash-based catalysts utilized in biodiesel production	43-44
Table 2.2 : FESEM-EDX analyses of calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> catalyst.	50
Table 2.3 : Elemental composition of various agro-wastes derived ash-based catalysts.	51-53
Table 2.4 : FESEM-EDX analyses of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> burnt materials.	54
Table 2.5 : XPS analyses of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> catalysts calcined at 550 °C	56
Table 2.6 : Elemental analysis of liquid samples of the catalysts at 1:5 w/v	59
Table 2.7 : Catalytic activity comparison of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> catalysts in biodiesel synthesis with reported agro-wastes derived ash-based catalysts	74-76
Table 2.8 : NMR spectra analyses of jatropha oil and biodiesel	82
Table 2.9 : Composition of jatropha biodiesel	84
Table 2.10 : Properties of jatropha biodiesel and comparison with standards and reported biodiesels	86-87
Table 3.1 : Surface area, pore volume and pore diameter of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalyst	97
Table 3.2 : FESEM-EDX analyses of burnt <i>M. champa</i> materials	103-104
Table 3.3 : FESEM-EDX analyses of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	104
Table 3.4 : Comparison of composition of <i>M. champa</i> catalyst with other reported solid catalysts derived from waste biomasses	105-109

Table 3.5	: XPS analyses of burnt <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	114
Table 3.6	: XPS analyses of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	114
Table 3.7	: Comparison of <i>M. champa</i> catalysts in biodiesel synthesis with other reported waste biomass based solid catalysts	132-134
Table 3.8	: NMR spectral analyses of jatropha oil and biodiesel	137
Table 3.9	: Composition of jatropha biodiesel	139
Table 3.10	: Properties of jatropha biodiesel and comparison with standards and reported biodiesels	141-142
Table 4.1	: FESEM-EDX analysis of sugarcane bagasse calcined catalyst (SBCC)	153
Table 4.2	: XPS analysis of SBCC	155
Table 4.3	: Rate constant (k), correlation coefficient (R^2), activation energy (E_a) and pre-exponential factor (A) of various kinetic models	163
Table 4.4	: Thermodynamic parameters of the reaction	166
Table 4.5	: NMR spectra analyses of jatropha oil and biodiesel	171
Table 4.6	: GC-MS analysis of jatropha biodiesel	172
Table 4.7	: Properties of jatropha biodiesel	174
Table 5.1	: FESEM-EDX analyses of burnt Bharatmoni materials	189
Table 5.2	: FESEM-EDX analyses of calcined Bharatmoni catalysts	189
Table 5.3	: Elemental composition of Bharatmoni catalyst and its comparison with other reported solid catalysts derived from waste biomasses	190
Table 5.4	: XPS analyses of burnt and calcined Bharatmoni catalysts	194
Table 5.5	: Rate constant (k), correlation coefficient (R^2), activation energy (E_a) and pre-exponential factor (A) of various kinetic models of the reaction catalyzed by CBP-550 catalyst	206-207
Table 5.6	: Rate constant (k), correlation coefficient (R^2), activation energy (E_a) and pre-exponential factor (A) of various kinetic models of the reaction catalyzed by CBS-550 catalyst	207

Table 5.7	: Rate constant (k), correlation coefficient (R^2), activation energy (E_a) and pre-exponential factor (A) of various kinetic models of the reaction catalyzed by CBR-550 catalyst	207-208
Table 5.8	: Thermodynamic properties of the reaction catalyzed by CBP-550, CBS-550 and CBR-550 catalysts	209
Table 5.9	: Catalytic activities of Bharatmoni catalysts and their comparison in biodiesel syntheses with other reported solid catalysts derived from waste biomass	210-212
Table 5.10	: Chemical compositions of <i>J. curcas</i> biodiesel from GC-MS analysis	216
Table 5.11	: Physicochemical properties of <i>J. curcas</i> biodiesel and comparison with standards and reported biodiesel	218-219
Table 6.1	: Comparison of elemental compositions of solid catalysts derived from waste biomasses	223-224
Table 6.2	: XPS analysis of the prepared waste plant derived catalysts	224-226
Table 6.3	: BET surface area, pore diameter, pore volume, type of isotherm, porous type, pH value and basicity of catalysts	226
Table 6.4	: Comparison of catalytic activity of ash-based catalysts from agricultural waste in biodiesel synthesis	227-228
Table 6.5	: Catalysts reusability in biodiesel synthesis under optimal circumstances	228
Table 6.6	: Physicochemical properties of <i>Jatropha curcas</i> biodiesel of this study	229

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>Pages</i>
Fig. 1.1 : Types of the catalysts applicable for the production of biodiesel	9
Fig. 1.2 : Flow chart of different steps involved in catalysts preparation from agro-wastes	12
Fig. 2.1 : <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel (A–C), trunk (D–F) and rhizome (G–I) used in the preparation of catalyst	35
Fig. 2.2 : XRD patterns of calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> catalysts	40
Fig. 2.3 : FT-IR spectra of calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> catalysts	41
Fig. 2.4 : N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm (A–C) and adsorption pore size distribution (D–F) of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel (A, D), trunk (B, E) and rhizome (C, F) catalysts	44
Fig. 2.5 : FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel (A, B), trunk (C, D) and rhizome (E, F) catalysts	46
Fig. 2.6 : FESEM images (A–C) and EDX spectrum (D) of 3 rd recycled catalyst of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> trunk	47
Fig. 2.7 : FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of burnt materials of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel (A, B), trunk (C, D) and rhizome (E, F) catalysts	48
Fig. 2.8 : XPS survey spectra (A) of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel, trunk and rhizome catalysts calcined at 550 °C; XPS spectra of O 1s (B), C 1s (C), K 2p (D), Ca 2p (E) and Si 2p (F)	55
Fig. 2.9 : TEM images and SAED patterns (D, H, L) of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel (A–D), trunk (E–H) and rhizome (I–L) catalysts	57
Fig. 2.10 : pH value variation of calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel, trunk and rhizome catalysts (1 g) with different volume of water	58
Fig. 2.11 : Effect of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> trunk catalyst loading on biodiesel synthesis via transesterification reaction (Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR= 9:1)	61
Fig. 2.12 : Effect of MTOMR on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, calcined <i>M. paradisiaca</i> trunk catalyst loading = 5 wt.%)	62

Fig. 2.13	: Effect of temperature on biodiesel synthesis. Reaction conditions: MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading (<i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel, trunk and rhizome) = 5 wt.%	65
Fig. 2.14	: Effect of different alcohols on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, <i>M. paradisiaca</i> trunk catalyst loading = 5 wt.%)	67
Fig. 2.15	: Reusability of <i>M. paradisiaca</i> trunk catalyst calcined at 550 °C (Reaction temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading = 5 wt.%)	70
Fig. 2.16	: Arrhenius plot of ln k versus 1/T (Reaction temperatures = 32, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	71
Fig. 2.17	: Catalytic activities of the <i>M. paradisiaca</i> burnt materials in biodiesel production. Reaction conditions: Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading (<i>M. paradisiaca</i> peel, trunk and rhizome) = 5 wt.%	77
Fig. 2.18	: FT-IR spectra of jatropha oil and biodiesel	78
Fig. 2.19	: ¹ H NMR spectrum of jatropha oil	79
Fig. 2.20	: ¹ H NMR spectrum of jatropha biodiesel	80
Fig. 2.21	: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of jatropha oil	80
Fig. 2.22	: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of jatropha biodiesel	81
Fig. 2.23	: ¹ H NMR spectrum of jatropha biodiesel synthesized using ethanol (FAEE, fatty acid ethyl esters)	81
Fig. 2.24	: GC chromatogram of jatropha biodiesel	83
Fig. 3.1	: <i>M. champa</i> peel (A–C), stem (D–F) and rhizome (G–I) dried materials for catalyst preparation	91
Fig. 3.2	: TGA thermograms of BMCP (A), BMCS (B) and BMCR (C) catalysts	93
Fig. 3.3	: XRD patterns of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	94
Fig. 3.4	: FT-IR spectra of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalysts	96
Fig. 3.5	: N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms (A–C) and adsorption pore size distribution of CMCP-550 (D), CMCS-550 (E) and CMCR-550 (F) catalysts	98
Fig. 3.6	: FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of BMCP peel (A, B), BMCS (C, D) and BMCR (E, F) catalysts	100

Fig. 3.7	: FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of CMCP-550 (A, B), CMCS-550 (C, D) and CMCR-550 (E, F) catalysts	101
Fig. 3.8	: FESEM images (A–C) and EDX spectrum (D) of 3 rd recycled catalyst of calcined <i>M. champa</i> peel (CMCP-550)	102
Fig. 3.9	: XPS survey spectra (A) of BMCP, BMCS, BMCR catalysts; XPS patterns of C 1s (B), O 1s (C), K 2p (D), Ca 2p (E) and Si 2p (F)	112
Fig. 3.10	: XPS survey spectra (A) of CMCP-550, CMCS-550, CMCR-550 and 3 rd recycled catalysts; XPS patterns of C 1s (B), O 1s (C), K 2p (D), Ca 2p (E) and Si 2p (F)	113
Fig. 3.11	: TEM images (A–C) and SAED pattern (D) of CMCP-550 catalyst	116
Fig. 3.12	: TEM images (A–C) and SAED pattern (D) of CMCS-550 catalyst	117
Fig. 3.13	: TEM images (A–C) and SAED pattern (D) of CMCR-550 catalyst	118
Fig. 3.14	: Variation of pH values of calcined <i>M. champa</i> catalysts (1 g) with different volume of water	119
Fig. 3.15	: Effect of catalyst loading of calcined <i>M. champa</i> peel (CMCP-550) on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, MRMO= 9:1)	121
Fig. 3.16	: Effect of MTOMR on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, CMCP-550 catalyst = 5 wt.%)	123
Fig. 3.17	: Effect of temperatures on biodiesel synthesis. Reaction conditions (MTOMR = 9:1, CMCP-550, CMCS-550 and CMCR-550 catalysts = 5 wt.%)	125
Fig. 3.18	: Catalytic activities of the BMCP, BMCS and BMCR catalysts in biodiesel production. Reaction conditions (Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalysts = 5 wt.%)	126
Fig. 3.19	: Reusability of calcined <i>M. champa</i> peel (CMCP-550) catalyst (Reaction temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading = 5 wt.%)	128

Fig. 3.20	: Arrhenius plot ($\ln k$ versus $1/T \times 10^3$) employing <i>M. champa</i> catalysts (Reaction temperatures = 35, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	129
Fig. 3.21	: FT-IR spectra of jatropha oil and its biodiesel	135
Fig. 3.22	: ^1H NMR spectrum of jatropha oil	136
Fig. 3.23	: ^1H NMR spectrum of jatropha biodiesel	137
Fig. 3.24	: GC chromatogram of jatropha biodiesel	138
Fig. 4.1	: XRD pattern of sugarcane bagasse calcined catalyst (SBCC)	148
Fig. 4.2	: FT-IR spectrum of SBCC	149
Fig. 4.3	: Adsorption-desorption (N_2) isotherm of SBCC	150
Fig. 4.4	: Pore size distribution of SBCC	150
Fig. 4.5	: FESEM (A–C) and EDX (D) images of SBCC	151
Fig. 4.6	: HRTEM images (A–E) and SAED pattern (F) of SBCC	152
Fig. 4.7	: XPS spectra of SBCC (A–Survey plot, B–O 1s, C–C 1s, D–K 2p, E–Ca 2p, and F–Si 2p)	154
Fig. 4.8	: pH value of 1 g SBCC dissolved in different volumes of distilled water (w/v)	156
Fig. 4.9	: Effect of SBCC loading on production of biodiesel (Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1)	157
Fig. 4.10	: Effect of MTOMR on production of biodiesel (Temperature = 65 °C, catalyst dose = 10 wt.%)	158
Fig. 4.11	: Effect of temperature on production of biodiesel (MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst dose = 10 wt.%)	160
Fig. 4.12	: Reusability of SBCC on production of biodiesel (Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst dose = 10 wt.%)	161
Fig. 4.13	: Arrhenius plot ($\ln k$ versus $1/T$) for zero order reaction	163
Fig. 4.14	: Arrhenius plot ($\ln k$ versus $1/T$) for first order reaction	164
Fig. 4.15	: Arrhenius plot ($\ln k$ versus $1/T$) for pseudo-first order reaction	164
Fig. 4.16	: Arrhenius plot ($\ln k$ versus $1/T$) for second order reaction	165
Fig. 4.17	: Eyring-Polanyi plot ($\ln k/T$ versus $1/T$)	166
Fig. 4.18	: ^1H NMR spectra of (A) jatropha seed oil and (B) biodiesel	170
Fig. 4.19	: Gas chromatogram of jatropha biodiesel	172

Fig. 5.1	: Pictorial representation of raw materials of Bharatmoni banana peel (A-C), stem (D-F) and rhizome (G-I) for catalyst preparation	178
Fig. 5.2	: XRD patterns of calcined Bharatmoni catalysts	181
Fig. 5.3	: FT-IR spectra of calcined Bharatmoni catalysts	182
Fig. 5.4	: N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms (A–C) and adsorption pore size distribution of CBP-550 (D), CBS-550 (E) and CBR-550 (F) catalysts	183
Fig. 5.5	: FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of BBP (A, B), BBS (C, D) and BBR (E, F) catalysts	184
Fig. 5.6	: FESEM images (A, C, E) and EDX spectra (B, D, F) of CBP-550 (A, B), CBS-550 (C, D) and CBR-550 (E, F) catalysts	185
Fig. 5.7	: FESEM images (A–C) and EDX spectrum (D) of 3 rd recycled catalyst of CBS-550	186
Fig. 5.8	: TEM images of CBP-550 (A, B), CBS-550 (C, D) and CBR-550 (E, F) catalysts	187
Fig. 5.9	: XPS survey spectra (A) of BBP, BBS, BBR catalysts; XPS patterns of C 1s (B), O 1s (C), K 2p (D), Ca 2p (E) and Si 2p (F)	192
Fig. 5.10	: XPS survey spectra (A) of CBP-550, CBS-550, CBR-550 and 3 rd recycled catalysts; XPS patterns of C 1s (B), O 1s (C), K 2p (D), Ca 2p (E) and Si 2p (F)	193
Fig. 5.11	: Variation of pH values of calcined Bharatmoni catalysts (1 g) dissolved with different volume of water	195
Fig. 5.12	: Effect of catalyst loading of calcined Bharatmoni stem (CBS-550) on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR= 9:1)	197
Fig. 5.13	: Effect of MTOMR on biodiesel synthesis (Temperature = 65 °C, CBS-550 catalyst = 5 wt. %)	198
Fig. 5.14	: Effect of temperature on biodiesel synthesis. Reaction conditions: MTOMR	200

	= 9:1, catalyst loading (CBP-550, CBS-550, CBR-550) = 5 wt. %	
Fig. 5.15	: Catalytic activities of the uncalcined Bharatmoni catalysts in biodiesel production. Reaction conditions: Temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading (BBP, BBS, BBR) = 5 wt. %	201
Fig. 5.16	: Reusability of CBS-550 catalyst (Reaction temperature = 65 °C, MTOMR = 9:1, catalyst loading = 5 wt.%)	202
Fig. 5.17	: Arrhenius plot (ln k versus $1/T \times 10^3$) for the reaction of zero-order rate model employing Bharatmoni catalysts (Reaction temperatures = 35, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	204
Fig. 5.18	: Arrhenius plot (ln k versus $1/T \times 10^3$) for the reaction of pseudo-first order rate model employing Bharatmoni catalysts (Reaction temperatures = 35, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	205
Fig. 5.19	: Arrhenius plot (ln k versus $1/T \times 10^3$) for the reaction of first order rate model employing Bharatmoni catalysts (Reaction temperatures = 35, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	205
Fig. 5.20	: Arrhenius plot (ln k versus $1/T \times 10^3$) for the reaction of second order rate model employing Bharatmoni catalysts (Reaction temperatures = 35, 45, 55, 65 and 75 °C)	206
Fig. 5.21	: Eyring-Polanyi plot (ln k/T versus $1/T \times 10^3$) for the study of thermodynamic properties of the reaction employing the Bharatmoni catalysts	209
Fig. 5.22	: FT-IR spectra of <i>J. curcas</i> oil and its biodiesel	214
Fig. 5.23	: ^1H NMR spectrum of <i>J. curcas</i> biodiesel	215
Fig. 5.24	: GC-MS of <i>J. curcas</i> biodiesel	216