
**Number of Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces
on a Finite Set**

In Chapter 2, the formula to find the number of neutrosophic crisp subsets in a nonempty finite set \mathcal{X} has been obtained, and some propositions are also explored. The present chapter aims to find formulae to compute the number of neutrosophic crisp topological spaces having 2-NCrOSs, 3-NCrOSs, and 4-NCrOSs.

Remark 5.0.1 (Salama, 2013)

Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$ be any two neutrosophic crisp sets on \mathcal{X} (Using Definition 1.9.1). To perform intersection and union on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the following operations has been taken

- (i) $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$.

Definition 5.0.1

A NCrT having k -NCrOSs on a non-empty set \mathcal{X} is said to be a NCrT of cardinality k . The number of NCrTs of cardinality k on \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, k)$.

Example 5.0.1

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{u, v, w\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{u\} \rangle$, then $\tau^{NCr} = \{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_1\}$ form a NCrT on \mathcal{X} . So, τ^{NCr} is a NCrT of cardinality 3 as it has 3-NCrOSs.

5.1 Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces with 2-NCrOSs**Proposition 5.1.1**

For a non-empty finite set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$,

- (a) $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, 2) = 1$,
- (b) $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, k) = 1$, where $k = |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})|$.

Proof:

- (a) The NCrT having 2-NCrOSs is the indiscrete NCrT which is $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}\}$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}})$ is the only NCrTS having 2-NCrOSs as $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ contains only two members $\phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Hence, the number of neutrosophic crisp topological spaces (NCrTSs) having 2-NCrOSs is 1 i.e., $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, 2) = 1$.
- (b) The NCrT of cardinality $k = |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})|$ is the discrete NCrT only. Hence, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, k) = 1$, for $k = |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})|$.

Example 5.1.1

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{u, v\}$, then, $|\mathcal{X}| = n = 2$. Here, the neutrosophic crisp subsets on \mathcal{X} are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_1 &= \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{u\} \rangle, \mathcal{A}_2 = \langle \emptyset, \{u\}, \emptyset \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_3 &= \langle \{u\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, \\ \mathcal{A}_4 &= \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{v\} \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_5 &= \langle \emptyset, \{v\}, \emptyset \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_6 &= \langle \{v\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, \\ \mathcal{A}_7 &= \langle \emptyset, \{u\}, \{v\} \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_8 &= \langle \{u\}, \emptyset, \{v\} \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_9 &= \langle \{u\}, \{v\}, \emptyset \rangle, \\ \mathcal{A}_{10} &= \langle \emptyset, \{v\}, \{u\} \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_{11} &= \langle \{v\}, \emptyset, \{u\} \rangle, & \mathcal{A}_{12} &= \langle \{v\}, \{u\}, \emptyset \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the only NCrT having 2-NCrOSs is $\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ and hence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, 2) = 1$.

Also, the NCrT having $k = |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| = 14$ -NCrOSs is $\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_5, \mathcal{A}_6, \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{A}_8, \mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{A}_{10}, \mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{A}_{12}\}$ and hence, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, k) = 1$, for $k = |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| = 14$.

5.2 Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces with 3-NCrOSs

Proposition 5.2.1

The number of NCrTs of cardinality 3 on a non-empty finite set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, 3) &= |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| - 2 \\ &= 3(2^n - 2) + 3! \left[\sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

The NCrTs having 3-NCrOSs necessarily consists of a chain containing $\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and any other neutrosophic crisp subset $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ of \mathcal{X} other than $\phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Clearly, $\phi_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$. It is observed that the number of such $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is equal to $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| - 2$. Since the set $\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ form a NCrT and the total number of such NCrTs is $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| - 2$.

Now, $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| = (3 \cdot 2^n - 4) + 3! \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right\}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| - 2 &= \left[(3 \cdot 2^n - 4) + 3! \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right\} \right] \\ &\quad - 2 \\ &= (3 \cdot 2^n - 6) + 3! \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right\} \\ &= 3(2^n - 2) + 3! \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(n, 3) &= |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}r}(\mathcal{X})| - 2 \\ &= 3(2^n - 2) + 3! \left[\sum_{i=2}^n \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^n \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{n}{j} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.2.1

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{u, v\}$, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}r}(2, 3) = 3(2^2 - 2) + 3! \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^2 \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \binom{2}{i} + \sum_{j=3}^2 \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{2}{j} \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\sum_{j=3}^2 \mathcal{S}(j, 3) \binom{2}{j} = 0$.

So, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}_r}(2, 3) = 6 + 6 \{ \mathcal{S}(2, 2) \binom{2}{2} + 0 \} = 12$.

Consequently, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}_r}(2, 3) = 12$ and these NCrTs having 3-NCrOSs are listed below

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \\ & \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_5, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_6, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_8, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \\ & \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{10}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}, \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{12}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

5.3 Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces with 4-NCrOSs

The NCrT having 4-NCrOSs must have the form $\mathcal{T} = \{ \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}} \}$, where $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{T}$. To compute the number of NCrTs with exactly 4-NCrOSs, we need to compute formulae for following cases:

Case 1: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$

Case 2: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$

Case 3: $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \text{ or } \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}})$ or

$$(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \text{ or } \mathcal{B})$$

Case 4: $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A})$ or $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B})$

Case 5: $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B})$ or $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 5.3.1

For a non-empty finite set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying the condition in case 1 is obtained by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}(n, 2)(2^n + 1).$$

Proof:

In general, the number of partitions of a non-empty set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$ into two blocks is given by $\mathcal{S}(n, 2)$. To obtain $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$, clearly \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} must have the following two forms:

$$(i) \mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle \ \& \ \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle,$$

(ii) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$.

Let us count the ways that they can be chosen.

(i) We have, $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$. Now, to get $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$, we must have, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1$ is a partition of \mathcal{X} and so, $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1$ can be chosen in $\mathcal{S}(n, 2)$ ways. Now, if $|\mathcal{A}_1| = i$ then $|\mathcal{B}_1| = n - i$. Since $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$, then the neutrosophic crisp subset \mathcal{A}_2 can be chosen out of $n - i$ elements in $\binom{n-i}{k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - i$ ways with $k = 0$ representing the empty set. Therefore, \mathcal{A}_2 can be chosen in $\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{k} = 2^{n-i}$ ways. Similarly, \mathcal{B}_2 can be chosen out of $n - (n - i) = i$ elements in $\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} = 2^i$ ways. Hence, the total number of ways is $\mathcal{S}(n, 2).2^{n-i}.2^i = \mathcal{S}(n, 2).2^n$.

(ii) We have, $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$, and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$. Now, to get $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$, we must have, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_3 = \emptyset$ simultaneously. This shows that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 is a partition of \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_1^C = \mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{B}_1^C = \mathcal{A}_1$. Therefore, we can take \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 or \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{B}_3 in $\mathcal{S}(n, 2)$ ways.

From (i) and (ii), the total number of ways is $\mathcal{S}(n, 2)(2^n + 1)$.

Hence, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying the condition in case 1 is obtained by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}(n, 2)(2^n + 1).$$

Proposition 5.3.2

The number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs on a non-empty set \mathcal{X} satisfying the condition in case 2 is obtained by the formula

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \{\mathcal{S}(n, 2) \times 2^n\} + \sum_{i=3}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \right\}$$

where $|\mathcal{X}| = n$.

Proof:

To obtain $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$, clearly, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} must have the following two forms

(i) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_3 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$.

(ii) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$.

From (i), $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$, and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$. Since, $\mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_3 = \emptyset$, which implies that \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{B}_3 is a partition of \mathcal{X} and say $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{A}_3$. Therefore, \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{B}_3 can be chosen in $\mathcal{S}(n, 2)$ ways. Now, if $|\mathcal{A}_3| = i$, $|\mathcal{B}_3| = n - i$, $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{A}_2 can be chosen in $\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{k} = 2^{n-i}$ ways, and similarly, \mathcal{B}_2 can be chosen out of $n - (n - i) = i$ elements in $\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} = 2^i$ ways.

Therefore, the total number of ways is $\mathcal{S}(n, 2) \times 2^{n-i} \times 2^i$ i.e., $\mathcal{S}(n, 2) \times 2^n$.

From (ii), $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, and $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$. If $|\mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_2| = i$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, then $\mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ is chosen in $\binom{n}{i}$ different ways and then it is partitioned into two disjoint blocks: this is done in $\mathcal{S}(i, 2)$ different ways. Therefore, the number of ways for form (ii) is $\sum_{i=2}^n \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2)$.

Hence, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying condition in case 2 is obtained by the formula

$$\{\mathcal{S}(n, 2) \times 2^n\} + \sum_{i=2}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \right\}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \{\mathcal{S}(n, 2) \times 2^n\} + \sum_{i=3}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2) \right\}.$$

Proposition 5.3.3

For a non-empty finite set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying conditions in case 3 is obtained by the formula $2(2^n - 2)^2$.

Proof:

There are two forms

- (i) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$.

Let us count the ways that they can be chosen.

Clearly, these two forms agree with the conditions in case 3, i.e., for the first kind, we have, $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$, and for the second kind $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle = \mathcal{A}$. Now, since $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{A}_3 \subset \mathcal{X}$, $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that $|\mathcal{A}_3| = |\mathcal{B}_2| = i, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ so, \mathcal{A}_3 and \mathcal{B}_2 are chosen in $\binom{n}{i}$ different ways. This implies that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are chosen in $\binom{n}{i}$ different ways. Therefore, the number of ways in this kind is $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \right\} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \right)^2 = (2^n - 2)^2$.

Similarly, the second kind is computed and is equal to $(2^n - 2)^2$.

Finally, the desired number of ways is $2(2^n - 2)^2$.

Proposition 5.3.4

For a non-empty set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying condition in case 4 is obtained by the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 2) \right\} + 2\mathcal{T}_1 + 6(\mathcal{T}_2 + \mathcal{T}_3),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_k = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, k) (2^{n-i} - 1) \right\}, k = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof:

Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$. Then to satisfy the condition $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A})$ or $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B})$, we must have, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{B}_3$ or $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{B}_3$ respectively. Then, we obtain four forms

- (i) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ or $\mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$; exactly one of $\mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is \emptyset and $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ such that all $\mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are non-empty and $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$.

Let us count the ways that they can be chosen.

- (i) Let $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$ and if $|\mathcal{A}_2| = i, 1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ then $i < |\mathcal{B}_2| = k \leq n - 1$. Therefore, \mathcal{A}_2 is chosen in $\binom{n}{i}$ ways and \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen in $\sum_{j=1}^{(n-i)-1} \binom{n-i}{j} = 2^{n-i} - 2$ different ways. Since, i varies from 1 to $n - 2$, \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{B}_2 are chosen in $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 2) \right\}$ different ways. Hence, the neutrosophic crisp subsets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are chosen in $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 2) \right\}$ different ways.
- (ii) Let $|\mathcal{A}_3| = i, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ then \mathcal{A}_3 is chosen in $\binom{n}{i}$ different ways then it is partitioned into one block: this is done in $\mathcal{S}(i, 1)$ different ways and hence \mathcal{A} . Next, in \mathcal{B} , $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$ and so, \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen from $n - i$ elements in $\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} = 2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways and hence \mathcal{B} . Since i varies from 1 to $n - 1$, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 1) (2^{n-i} - 1)$ different ways for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

Similarly, for $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ & $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, we have

$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 1) (2^{n-i} - 1)$ different ways.

(iii) We have, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_3 = \emptyset$. If $|\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_3| = i, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$ then $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_3$ is chosen in $\binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2)$ different ways. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$, so, \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen in $\binom{n-i}{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-i$ different ways. Therefore, \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen in $\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} = 2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways. Together \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is chosen in $\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2)(2^{n-i} - 1)$ different ways. It is known that we can arrange three element into three places in six different ways, so, \mathcal{A} has six forms, as three components of \mathcal{A} are the neutrosophic crisp subsets $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_3$ and \emptyset .

Hence, the total number of ways to choose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is

$$6 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2)(2^{n-i} - 1).$$

(iv) We have, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}_3 = \emptyset$. If $|\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3| = i, 3 \leq i \leq n-1$ then $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ are chosen in $\binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 3)$ different ways. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{A}_3 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$, so, \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen in $\binom{n-i}{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-i$ different ways. Therefore, \mathcal{B}_2 is chosen in $\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} = 2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways. Together \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are chosen in $\sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 3)2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways. It is known that we can arrange three elements into the three places in six different ways, so, \mathcal{A} has 6 forms, as three components of \mathcal{A} are different neutrosophic crisp subsets $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and \mathcal{A}_3 .

Hence, the total number of ways to choose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is

$$6 \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 3)(2^{n-i} - 1).$$

Hence, we have the total

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 2) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 1)(2^{n-i} - 1) +$$

$$6 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 2)(2^{n-i} - 1) + 6 \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, 3)(2^{n-i} - 1).$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 2) \right\} + 2\mathcal{T}_1 + 6(\mathcal{T}_2 + \mathcal{T}_3),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_k = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{S}(i, k) (2^{n-i} - 1) \right\}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. This formula gives the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying condition in case 4.

Proposition 5.3.5

For a non-empty set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying condition in case 5 is obtained by the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[(2^n - 2) + 2 \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} 2^{n-j} \right) + (2^{n-i} - 1) \right\} \right] +$$

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)^2 + 2\mathcal{T}_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{T}_{n-i},$$

where $\mathcal{T}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-(i+1)} \binom{n-i}{k} (2^{n-(i+k)} - 1) \right\} +$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^j \binom{j}{k} (2^{n-(i+j)} - 1) \right\} \right]$

or

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-(i+1)} \binom{n-i}{k} (2^{n-(i+k)} - 1) \right\} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \left\{ \sum_{k=j}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} \binom{j}{k} \right\} (2^{n-(i+j)} - 1) \right].$$

Proof:

Here, the second component must always match to satisfy the conditions in case 5.

For $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$ we can choose \mathcal{B} in two forms which are $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{B}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_3$. For this kind of \mathcal{A} we have $\binom{n}{i}$ different ways. For each \mathcal{A} , we can choose $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ in $2^n - 2$ different ways. Next if $\mathcal{B}_3 \subset \mathcal{A}_3$, say $|\mathcal{B}_3| = j < i = |\mathcal{A}_3|$,

we can choose \mathcal{B} in $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} 2^{n-j}$ different ways and if $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{A}_3$, say $|\mathcal{B}_3| = |\mathcal{A}_3| = i$, then \mathcal{B} can be chosen in $2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways. Similarly, for $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$, we have same number of choices for \mathcal{B} satisfying conditions in case 5.

Therefore, in this part we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[(2^n - 2) + 2 \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} 2^{n-j} \right) + (2^{n-i} - 1) \right\} \right]$$

different ways.

For $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, we can choose $\mathcal{B} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$. Since \mathcal{A}_2 can be chosen in $\binom{n}{i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ different ways then \mathcal{B}_3 can be chosen in $2^{n-i} - 1$ different ways for each i and therefore, \mathcal{B} . As we have two forms of \mathcal{B} and are symmetric, and i varies from 1 to $n-1$, we have the total $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)$.

For $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$, we can choose $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle, \mathcal{A}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_3$ and \mathcal{B}_1 is any subset of \mathcal{X} different from \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{B}_3 . Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} can be chosen in $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-(i+1)} \binom{n-i}{k} (2^{n-(i+k)} - 1) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^j \binom{j}{k} (2^{n-(i+j)} - 1) \right\} \right]$ different ways. Let us take $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-(i+1)} \binom{n-i}{k} (2^{n-(i+k)} - 1) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \binom{n-i}{j} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^j \binom{j}{k} (2^{n-(i+j)} - 1) \right\} \right] = \mathcal{T}_n$ for further use. Also, for $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$, we have equal number of choices as it is symmetric to $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$. Hence, a total of $2\mathcal{T}_n$ different ways.

For $\mathcal{A} = \langle \emptyset, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$, we can also choose $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \emptyset \rangle$ which can be done in $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)^2$ different ways.

For $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$, we can choose $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_3$ and $|\mathcal{A}_2| = i, 0 \leq i \leq n-2$. If $|\mathcal{A}_2| = 0$ i.e., $\mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ then \mathcal{B} can be chosen in $\binom{n}{0} \mathcal{T}_n$ different ways. Further, if $|\mathcal{A}_2| = 1$ then \mathcal{B} can be chosen in $\binom{n}{1} \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ different ways. Continuing in the similar way for $|\mathcal{A}_2| = n-2$, we have $\binom{n}{n-2} \mathcal{T}_{n-(n-2)}$ i.e., $\binom{n}{n-2} \mathcal{T}_2$ different

ways. Thus, for $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 \rangle$, we can choose \mathcal{B} in $\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{T}_{n-i}$ different ways.

Hence, the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs satisfying conditions in case 5 is obtained by the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} \left[(2^n - 2) + 2 \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{j} 2^{n-j} \right) + (2^{n-i} - 1) \right\} \right] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)^2 + 2\mathcal{T}_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{T}_{n-i}.$$

Example 5.3.1

The following table gives the number of NCrTs having 4-NCrOSs for $\mathcal{X} \leq 5$.

Table 5.1: Number of NCrTSs having 4-NCrOSs on \mathcal{X}

$\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$	Number of NCrTSs having 4-NCrOSs on \mathcal{X}				
	$ \mathcal{X} = 1$	$ \mathcal{X} = 2$	$ \mathcal{X} = 3$	$ \mathcal{X} = 4$	$ \mathcal{X} = 5$
Case 1: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}},$ $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}$	0	5	27	119	495
Case 2: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}},$ $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$	0	5	30	137	570
Case 3: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A},$ $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$	0	8	72	392	1800
Case 4: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A},$ $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$	0	4	48	340	2040
Case 5: $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A},$ $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$	0	14	216	1958	15240
The total number of NCrTSs having 4-NCrOSs on \mathcal{X}	0	36	393	2946	20145

Suppose, $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b\}$ i.e., $|\mathcal{X}| = 2$, then from Table 5.1, we have, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}_r}(2, 4) = 36$. These are

For Case 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_3 = \langle \{a\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, A_6 = \langle \{b\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, \\ & \{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_3 = \langle \{a\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, A_{12} = \langle \{b\}, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle\}, \\ & \{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_6 = \langle \{b\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, A_9 = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_9 = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle, A_5 = \langle \emptyset, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_9 = \langle \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle, A_{10} = \langle \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a\} \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_{10} = \langle \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a\} \rangle, A_5 = \langle \emptyset, \{b\}, \emptyset \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_8 = \langle \{a\}, \emptyset, \{b\} \rangle, A_3 = \langle \{a\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_8 = \langle \{a\}, \emptyset, \{b\} \rangle, A_4 = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{b\} \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_{11} = \langle \{b\}, \emptyset, \{a\} \rangle, A_1 = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{a\} \rangle\}, \\
&\{\phi_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}, A_{11} = \langle \{b\}, \emptyset, \{a\} \rangle, A_6 = \langle \{b\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have the total $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}_r}(2, 4) = 36$.