
Bibliography

- [1] Acikgoz, A., & Esenbel, F. (2021). A look on separation axioms in neutrosophic topological spaces. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2334, 020002.
- [2] Agboola, A. A. A., Ibrahim, M. A., & Adeleke, E. O. (2020). Elementary examination of NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the classical number system. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **4**(1), 16-19.
- [3] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to NeutroGroups. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **6**(1), 41-47.
- [4] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to NeutroRings. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **7**(2), 62-73.
- [5] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). On finite NeutroGroups of type NG [1, 2, 4]. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **10**(2), 84-95.
- [6] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). On finite and infinite NeutroRings of type NR. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **11**(2), 87-99.
- [7] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to AntiGroups. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **12**(2), 71-80.
- [8] Agboola, A. A. A., & Ibrahim, M. A. (2020). Introduction to AntiRings. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **36**(1), 292-307.
- [9] Al-Hamido, R. K. (2018). Neutrosophic crisp bi-topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **21**, 66-73.
- [10] Al-Odhari, A. M. (2015). On infra topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **6**(11), 179-184.

- [11] Al-Omeri, W. (2016) Neutrosophic crisp sets via neutrosophic crisp topological spaces NCTS. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **13**, 96-105.
- [12] Al-Omeri, W., & Smarandache, F. (2016). New neutrosophic sets via neutrosophic topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Operational Research*, **1**, 189-209.
- [13] Al-Shami, T. M., Ameen, Z. A., Abu-Gdairi, R., & Mhemdi, A. (2023). Continuity and separation axioms via infra-topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, **30**, 213-225.
- [14] Al-Shami, T. M., & El-Shafei, M. E. (2019). On supra soft topological ordered spaces. *Arab Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, **26**(1), 433-445.
- [15] Al-Shami, T. M., Rawshdeh, A., Al-Jarrah, H. H., & Mhemdi, A. (2023). Connectedness and covering properties via infra topologies with application to Fixed Point Theorem. *AIMS Mathematics*, **8**(4), 8928-8948.
- [16] Al-Zoubi, K. Y. (2006). S-paracompact spaces. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **110**(1-2), 165-174/
- [17] Al-Tahan, M., Davvaz, B., Smarandache, F., & Anis, O. (2021). On Some NeutroHyperStructures. *Symmetry*, **13**(4), 535.
- [18] Al-Tahan, M., Smarandache, F., & Davvaz, B. (2021). NeutroOrderedAlgebra: applications to semigroups. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **39**, 133-147.
- [19] Arya, S. P., & Nour, T. M. (1988). Separation axioms for bitopological spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **19**(1), 42-50.
- [20] Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20**(1), 87-96.
- [21] Aull, C. E., & Thron, W. J. (1962). Separation axioms between T_0 and T_1 , *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **24**, 26-37.
- [22] Aull, C. E. (1968). A certain class of topological spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae*, **11**(1), 49-53.

- [23] Azad, K. K. (1981). On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 82, 14-32.
- [24] Babitha, K.V., & John, S.J. (2013). On soft multi sets. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, **5** (1), 35-44.
- [25] Baker, C. W. (1984). Properties of subweakly continuous functions. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, **32**, 39-43.
- [26] Basumatary, B. (2015). A Note on Fuzzy Closure of a Fuzzy Set. *Journal of Process Management – New Technologies, International*, **3**(4), 35-39.
- [27] Basumatary, B. (2016). Fuzzy interior and fuzzy closure of a fuzzy set with extended definition of fuzzy set. *International Journal of Computational Systems Engineering*, **2**(2), 94-97.
- [28] Basumatary, B., Basumatary, J., & Wary, N. (2023). Formulae for finding the number of NeutroTopological spaces. In *NeutroGeometry, NeutroAlgebra, and SuperHyperAlgebra in Today's World*, 202-220. IGI Global.
- [29] Basumatary, B., & Talukdar, A. (2023). A Study on neutro-topological neighbourhood and neutro-topological base. In *NeutroGeometry, NeutroAlgebra, and SuperHyperAlgebra in Today's World*, 187-201. IGI Global.
- [30] Basumatary, B., & Tripathy, B. C. (2022). Properties of group neutro-topological space. *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, **3**(2), 34-38.
- [31] Basumatary, B., Wary, N., & Said, B. (2021). On some properties of interior, closure, boundary and interior of neutrosophic multi topological space. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **17**(1), 30-40.
- [32] Basumatary, B., Wary, N., Mwchahary, M., Brahma, A. K. (2021). A study on some properties of neutrosophic multi topological group. *Symmetry* 2021, **13**, 1689.

- [33] Bayhan, S., & Coker, D. (2001). On separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **27**, 621-630.
- [34] Bayhan, S., & Coker, D. (2005). Pairwise separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics & Statistics*, **34**, 101-114.
- [35] Belnap Jr, N. D. (1977). A useful four-valued logic. In *Modern uses of multiple-valued logic* (pp. 5-37). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- [36] Bing, R. H. (1951). Metrization of topological spaces. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, **3**, 175-186.
- [37] Blizard, W. D. (1989). Multiset theory. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, **30**(1), 36-66.
- [38] Blizard, W. D. (1989). Real-valued multisets and fuzzy Sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **33**(1), 77-97.
- [39] Blizard, W. D. (1990). Negative membership. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, **31**(3), 346-368.
- [40] Brown, J. G. (1971). A note on fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, **18**, 32-39.
- [41] Bustince, H., & Burillo, P. (1996). Vague sets are intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, **79**(3), 403-405.
- [42] Caldas, M., & Jafari, S. (2003). On some low separation axioms in topological spaces. *Houston J. Math*, **29**(1), 93-104.
- [43] Caldas, M., Jafari, S., Ponmani, S. A., & Thivagar, M. L. (2006). On some low separation axioms in bitopological spaces. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, **24**(1-2), 69-78.
- [44] Chang, C.L. (1968). Fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **24**(1), 182-190.

- [45] Coker, D. (1997). An Introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **88**(1), 81-89.
- [46] Çoker, D., Eş, A. H., & Turanli, N. (2004). A Tychonoff Theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **70**, 3829-3837.
- [47] Conrad, F. (1980). Fuzzy topological concepts. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **74**, 432-440.
- [48] Cooke, I. E., & Reilly, I. L. (1975). On bitopological compactness. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **2**(4), 518-522.
- [49] Das, D., & Mahanta, J. (2016). Boundary and exterior of a multiset topology. *Journal of New Theory*, **12**, 75-84.
- [50] Das, R., & Tripathy, B. C. (2020). Neutrosophic multiset topological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **35**, 142-152.
- [51] Das, S., Das, R., & Pramanik, S. (2022). Neutrosophic separation axioms. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **49**, 103-110.
- [52] Datta, M. C. (1972). Projective bitopological spaces. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **13**(3), 327-334.
- [53] Deak, J. (1990). On bitopological spaces I. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, **25**, 457-481.
- [54] De Luca, A., & Termini, S. (1972). Algebraic properties of fuzzy sets. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **40**, 373-386.
- [55] Devi, R., Dhavaseelan, R., & Jafari, S. (2017). On separation axioms in an ordered neutrosophic bitopological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **18**(1), 4.
- [56] Dorsett, C. (1981). Semi separation axioms and hyperspaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **4**, 445-450.

- [57] Dorsett, C. (1990). Semi-continuous and semi-weakly continuous functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **30**(1), 95-100.
- [58] Dube, K. K. (1982). A note on R_1 -topological spaces. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, **13**, 267-271.
- [59] Dugundji, J. (1966). *Topology*. (12th Edition, 1978). Allyn and Bacon, Inc. Boston. Massachusetts, USA.
- [60] El-Sheikh, S., Omar, R., & Raafat, M. (2015). Separation axioms on multiset topological space. *Journal of New Theory*, **7**, 11-21.
- [61] El-Sheikh, S. A., OMAR, R. A-K, & Raafat, M. (2015). Multiset bitopological spaces. *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, **8**(2), 103-115.
- [62] Espelie, M. S., & Joseph, J. E. (1982). Remarks on two weak forms of continuity. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, **25**(1), 59-63.
- [63] Fora, A. A. (1989). Separation axioms for fuzzy spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **33**, 59-75.
- [64] Gaal, S. A. (1964). *Point Set Topology*. Dover Publications, Inc. Mineola. New York.
- [65] Garner, B. R. (1971). *Bi-topological spaces*. Master's thesis. University of North Carolina at Greensboro. Link: [345090964.pdf \(core.ac.uk\)](https://core.ac.uk/doi/pdf/10.31233/osf.io/34509)
- [66] Gau, W. L., & Buehrer, D. J. (1993). Vague sets. *IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics*, **23**(2), 610-614.
- [67] Gentry, K. R., Hughes, H. B., III. (1971). Somewhat continuous functions. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **21**(1), 5-12.
- [68] Ghanim, M. H., Kerre, E. E., & Mashour, A. S. (1984). Separation axioms, subspaces and sums in fuzzy topology. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **102**, 189-202.

- [69] Girish, K. P., & John, S. J. (2009). Relations and functions in multiset context. *Information Sciences*, **179**(6), 758-768.
- [70] Girish, K. P., & John, S. J. (2012). Multiset topologies induced by multiset relations. *Information Sciences*, **188**(6), 298-313.
- [71] Girish, K. P., & John, S. J. (2012). On multiset topologies. *Theory and Applications of Mathematics and Computer Science*, **2**(1), 37-52.
- [72] Goguen J. A. (1967). L-fuzzy set. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **18**(1), 145-174.
- [73] Gündüz, C., Öztürk, T. Y., & Bayranov, S. (2019). Separation axioms on neutrosophic soft topological spaces. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, **43**, 498-510.
- [74] Halfar, E. (1960). Conditions implying continuity of functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **11**(5), 688-691.
- [75] Hanafy, I. M. (2003). Intuitionistic fuzzy functions. *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, **3**(2), 200-205.
- [76] Hanafy, I. M. (2003). Completely continuous functions in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **53**, 793-803.
- [77] Hussain, T. (1966). Almost continuous mappings. *Prace Matematyczne*. **10**, 1-7.
- [78] Hutton, B., & Reilly, I. (1980). Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **3**, 93-104.
- [79] Ibrahim, A. M., Singh, D., & Singh, J. N. (2011). An outline of multiset space algebra. *International Journal of Algebra*, **5**(31), 1515-1525.
- [80] Ibrahim, M. A., & Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). NeutroVectorspaces I. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **36** (1), 328-350.
- [81] Ibrahim, M. A., & Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to NeutroHyperGroups. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **38**, 15-32.

- [82] Iseki, K., & Tanaka, S. (1978). An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras. *Mathematicae Japonicae*, **23**(1), 1-26.
- [83] Kalaivani, N., Rahman, K. F. U., Chandrasekaran, E. (2024). On neutrosophic-continuous functions, neutrosophic-open (closed) functions in neutrosophic topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **64**, 185-211.
- [84] Kandil, A., Nouh, A. A., & El-Sheikh, S. A. (1995). On fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **74**(3), 353-363.
- [85] Karatas, S., & Kuru, C. (2016) Neutrosophic topology. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **13**, 90-95.
- [86] Kelley, J. L. (1955). *General Topology* (4th Edition). D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc.
- [87] Kelly, J. C. (1963). Bitopological spaces. *Proceedings of London Mathematical Society*, **3**(1), 71-89.
- [88] Khattak, A. M., Hanif, N., Nadeem, F., Zamir, M., Park, C., Nordo, G., & Jabeen, S. (2019). Soft b-separation axioms in neutrosophic soft topological structures. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Information*, **18**(1), 93-105.
- [89] Kilibarda, G. (1984). Some separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces. *Matematicki Vesnik*, **36**, 271-284.
- [90] Kim, H. S., & Kim, Y. H. (2006). On BE-algebras. *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **66**(1), 1299-1302.
- [91] Kumar, P., R., & John, S. J. (2020). On redundance, separation and connectedness in multiset topological spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, **5**(3), 2484-2499.
- [92] Lake, J. (1976). Sets, fuzzy sets, multisets and functions. *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, **2**(3), 323-326.
- [93] Latif, R. M. (2023). Neutrosophic semi-alpha continuous mappings in neutrosophic topological spaces. *Advances in Computer Science Research*, **98**, 57-66.

- [94] Lee, S. J., & Kim, J. T. (2012). Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological spaces. In “*The 6th International Conference on Soft Computing and Intelligent Systems*”, and “*The 13th International Symposium on Advanced Intelligence Systems*”, *IEEE*, 1040-1045.
- [95] Levine, N. (1961). A decomposition of continuity in topological space. *American Mathematical Monthly*, **68**, 44-46.
- [96] Levine, N. (1963). Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *American Mathematical Monthly*, **70**, 36-41.
- [97] Li, B. (1990). Fuzzy bags and applications. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 34 (1), 61-71.
- [98] Lin, S-Y, T. (1968). Almost continuity of mappings. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, **11**(3), 453-455.
- [99] Long, P. E., & McGehee, E. E. (1970). Properties of almost continuous functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **24**(1), 175-180.
- [100] Long, P. E., & Carnahan, D. A. (1973). Comparing almost continuous functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **38**(2), 413-418.
- [101] Lupianez, F. G. (2004). Hausdorffness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, **12**(3), 521-526.
- [102] Lupianez, F. G. (2004). Separation in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **17**(1), 29-34.
- [103] Lupianez, F. G. (2006). Nets and filters in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Information Sciences*, **176**(16), 2396-2404.
- [104] Maheshwari, S. N. & Prasad, R. (1975). Some new separations axioms. *Annales de la Societe Scientifique de Bruxelles*, **89**, 395-402.
- [105] Mashour, A. S., Abd El-Monsef, M. E., & El-Deeb, S. N. (1982). On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, *Proceedings in the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt*, 53, 47-53.

- [106] Mashhour, A. S., Allam, A. A., Mahmoud, F. S., & Kheder, F.H. (1983). On supra topological spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **14**, 502-510.
- [107] Mashhour, A. S., Hasanein, I. A., & El-Deeb, S. N. (1983). α -Continuous and α -open mappings. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **41**, 213-218.
- [108] Mashhour, A. S., Khedr, F. H., & El-Deeb, S. N. (1982). Five separation axioms in bitopological spaces. *Bulletin of Faculty of Science Assiut University*, **11**(1), 53-67.
- [109] Mehmood, A., Nadeem, F., Nardo, G., Zamir, M., Park, C., Kalsoom, H., Jabeen, S., & Khan, M. I. (2020). Generalized neutrosophic separation axioms in neutrosophic soft topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **32**, 38-51.
- [110] Ming, H. C. (1985). Fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **110**, 141-178.
- [111] Mizumota, M., & Tanaka, K. (1976). Some properties of fuzzy sets of Type 2. *Information and Control*, **31**, 312-340.
- [112] Mohammadzadeh, E., & Rezaei, A. (2020). On NeutroNilpotentGroups. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **38**, 33-40.
- [113] Munkres, J. (1974). *Topology*. (2nd Edition). Pearson Education Limited. Essex, UK.
- [114] Mwchahary, D. D., & Basumatary, B. (2020). A note on neutrosophic bitopological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **33**, 134-144.
- [115] Nazaroff, G. J. (1973). Fuzzy topological polysystems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **41**(2), 478-485.
- [116] Noiri, T. (1973). On semi-continuous mappings. *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti*, **54**(8), 210-214.

- [117] Noiri, T. (1973). A note on semi-continuous mappings. *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti*, **55**(8), 400-403.
- [118] Noiri, T. (1974). On weakly continuous mappings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **46**, 120-124.
- [119] Noiri, T. (1980). On δ -continuous functions. *Journal of Korean Mathematical Society*, **16**, 161-166.
- [120] Noiri, T. (1981). Semi-continuity and weak-continuity. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **31**(106), 314-321.
- [121] Noiri, T. (1984). On α -continuous functions. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, **109**(2), 118-126.
- [122] Noiri, T. (1988). Almost α -continuous functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **28**(1), 71-77.
- [123] Noiri, T., & Popa, V. (1990). Weak forms of faint continuity. *Bulletin mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, **34**(82), 263-270.
- [124] Nour, T. M. (1995). A note on five separation axioms in bitopological spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **26**, 669-674.
- [125] Ozturk, T. Y., & Ozkan, A. (2019). Neutrosophic bitopological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **30**(1), 88-97.
- [126] Pao-Ming, P., & Ying-Ming, L. (1980). Fuzzy topology. I. neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **76**(2), 571-599.
- [127] Patty, C. W. (1967). Bitopological spaces. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **38**, 387-391.
- [128] Pervin, W. J. (1967). Connectedness in bitopological spaces. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **29**, 369-372.

- [129] Petrovsky, A. B. (1994). An axiomatic approach to metrization of multiset space. In *Multiple Criteria Decision Making: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference: Expand and Enrich the Domains of Thinking and Application* (pp. 129-140). Springer New York.
- [130] Polvan, K. (2023). Neutro open set-based strong neutro metric space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **60**, 357-365.
- [131] Rajesh, N., Ekici, E., & Jafari, S. (2011). On new separation axioms in bitopological spaces. *Facsiculi Mathematici*, **47**, 71-79.
- [132] Reena, C. & Yaamini, K. S. (2024). A new notion of neighbourhood and continuity in neutrosophic topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **60**(1), 74-87.
- [133] Reilly, I. L. (1973). Zero dimensional bitopological spaces. *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, **76**(2), 127-131.
- [134] Reilly, I. L., & Vamanamurthy, M. K. (1985). On α -continuity in topological spaces. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **45**, 27-32.
- [135] Rezaei, A., Smarandache, F., & Mirvakili, S. (2021). Applications of (Neutro/Anti) Sophications to Semihypergroups. *Journal of Mathematics*, **2021**, 1-7.
- [136] Rose, D. A. (1978). On Levine's decomposition of continuity. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, **21**(4), 477-481.
- [137] Rose, D. A. (1984). Weak continuity and almost continuity. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **7**, 311-318.
- [138] Safiya, A. A., Fora, A. A., & Warner, M. W. (1994). Fuzzy separation axioms and fuzzy continuity in fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, **62**(3), 367-373.
- [139] Sahin, M., Kargin, A., & Yucel, M. (2021). Neutro-Topological space and Anti-Topological space. *NeutroAlgebra Theory*, **1**, 16-30.

- [140] Sahin, M., Kargin, A., & Altun, A. (2021). Neutro-Metric spaces. *NeutroAlgebra Theory*, **1**, 71-84.
- [141] Sahin, M. (2022). The neutro-Sigma algebras and anti-Sigma algebras. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **51**, 908-922.
- [142] Salama, A. A., & Alblowi, S. A. (2012). Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, **3**(4), 31-35.
- [143] Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F., & Kromov, V. (2014). Neutrosophic closed set and neutrosophic continuous functions. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **4**, 4-9.
- [144] Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F., & Kromov, V. (2014). Neutrosophic crisp sets & neutrosophic crisp topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2**, 25-31.
- [145] Sarkar, M. (1981). On fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **79**, 384-394.
- [146] Sayed, O. R., & Noiri, T. (2010). On supra b-open sets and supra b-continuity on topological spaces. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **3**, 302-310.
- [147] Sayed, O. R. (2012). Supra β -connectedness on topological spaces. *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences*, **49**, 19-23.
- [148] Sebastian, S. (2010). Multi-fuzzy sets. *International Mathematical Forum*, **5**(20), 2471-2476.
- [149] Sebastian, S., & Ramakrishnan, T. V. (2011). Multi-fuzzy sets: An extension of fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, **3**(1), 35-43.
- [150] Sheikh, S. A., Omar, R. A-K., & Raafat, M. (2016). Operators on multiset bitopological spaces. *South Asian Journal of Mathematics*, **6**(1), 1-9.
- [151] Shravan, K., & Tripathy, B. C. (2018). Generalized closed sets in multiset topological space. *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics (Antofagasta)*, **37**(2), 223-237.

- [152] Shravan, K., & Tripathy, B. C. (2020). Metrizability of multiset topological spaces. *Bulletin of the Transylvania University of Brasov. Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science*, **13**(62), 683-696.
- [153] Simmons, G. F. (1963). *Topology and Modern Analysis*. (1983 Edition). McGraw Hill, London, UK.
- [154] Singal, A. R. (1971). Remarks on separation axioms. *General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra*, Proceedings of the Kanpur topological conference, 1968. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 265-296.
- [155] Singal, M. K., & Singal, A. R. (1968). Almost-continuous mappings. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, **16**, 63-73.
- [156] Singh, A. K., & Srivastava, R. (2012). Separation Axioms in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces. *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*, **2012**, 1-7.
- [157] Singh, D., Ibrahim, A. M., Yohanna, T., & Singh, J. N. (2007). An overview of the applications of multisets. *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, **37**(2), 73-92.
- [158] Singh, D., Ibrahim, A. M., Yohana, T., & Singh, J. N. (2011). Complementation in multiset theory. *International Mathematical Forum*, **6**(38), 1877-1884.
- [159] Sinha, S.P. (1992). Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **45**, 261-270.
- [160] Smarandache, F. (1998). Neutrosophy, neutrosophic probability, set, and logic. *ProQuest Information & Learning. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*, 105, 118-123.
- [161] Smarandache, F. (2005). Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **24**(3), 287.
- [162] Smarandache, F. (2019). Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures. *Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories, Pons Edition*, Brussels, European Union.

- [163] Smarandache, F. (2020). Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures (revisited). *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **31**, 1-16.
- [164] Smarandache, F. (2020). Generalizations and alternatives of classical algebraic structures to NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension & Applications*, **1**(2), 85.
- [165] Smarandache, F. (2020). NeutroAlgebra is a generalization of partial algebra. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **2**(1), 8-17.
- [166] Smarandache, F., & Rezaei, A. (2020). On Neutro-BE-algebras and Anti-BE-algebras. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **4**(1), 8-15.
- [167] Smarandache, F., & Hamidi, M. (2020). Neutro-BCK-algebra. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **8**(2), 110-117.
- [168] Smarandache, F. (2021). Structure, NeutroStructure, and AntiStructure in science. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **13**(1), 28-33.
- [169] Smarandache, F. (2021). NeutroGeometry & AntiGeometry are alternatives and generalizations of the non-Euclidean Geometries (revisited). *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **46**, 456-477.
- [170] Smarandache, F., & Al-Tahan, M. (2022). NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebraare generalizations of classical algebras. *Theory and Applications of NeutroAlgebras as Generalizations of Classical Algebras* (pp. 1-10). IGI Global.
- [171] Sravani, G., & Rao, G. S. (2024). Study on anti-topological ordered spaces. *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, **45**(5), 476-482.
- [172] Srivastava, R., Lal, S. N., & Srivastava, A. K. (1981). Fuzzy Hausdorff topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **81**(2), 497-506.
- [173] Srivastava, R., & Srivastava, M, (1997). On pairwise Hausdorff fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, **5**, 553-564.

- [174] Talukdar, A., Basumatary, B., & Hossain, S. (2023). A study on anti-topological neighbourhood and anti-topological base. *Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra and New Types of Topologies*, 76-96, Global Knowledge Publishing House, Florida, USA.
- [175] Tong, J. (1986). A decomposition of continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **48** (1-2), 11-15.
- [176] Tong, J. (1989). On decomposition of continuity in topological spaces. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **54**(1-2), 51-55.
- [177] Warren, R. H. (1977). Boundary of a fuzzy set. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, **26**(2), 191-197.
- [178] Warren, R. H. (1978). Neighborhoods, bases and continuity in fuzzy topological spaces. *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, **8** (3), 459-470.
- [179] Weiss, M. D. (1975). Fixed points, separation, and induced topology for fuzzy sets. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **50**, 142-150.
- [180] Witczak, T. (2022). Some remarks on anti-topological spaces. *Asia Mathematica*, **6**(1), 23-34.
- [181] Witczak, T. (2022). Infra-topologies revisited: Logic and clarification of basic notions. *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **37**, 279-292.
- [182] Witczak, T. (2023). Interior and closure in anti-minimal and anti-biminimal spaces in the frame of anti-topology. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*. **56**(1), 429-441.
- [183] Wong, C. K. (1973). Covering properties of fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **43**(3), 697-704.
- [184] Wong, C. K. (1974). Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **46**, 316-328.
- [185] Wong, C. K. (1975). Fuzzy topology. *Fuzzy Sets and their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes*, Academic Press, 1975, 171-190.

- [186] Wuyts, R. L. (1983). On separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces, fuzzy neighbourhood spaces, and fuzzy uniform spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. **93**, 27-41.
- [187] Yager, R. R. (1986). On the theory of bags. *International Journal of General Systems*, **13**(1), 23-37.
- [188] Yager, R. R. (1987). Cardinality of fuzzy sets via bags. *Mathematical Modelling*, **9**(6), 441-446.
- [189] Yalvac, H. T. (1988). Semi-interior and semi-closure of a fuzzy set*. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **132**, 356-364.
- [190] Zadeh, L.A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, **8**, 338-353.
- [191] Zadeh, L. A. (1972). A fuzzy-set-theoretic interpretation of linguistic hedges. *Journal of Cybernetics*, **2**(3), 4-34.
- [192] Zadeh, L. A. (1975). The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I. *Information Sciences*, **8**, 199-245.
- [193] Zakaria, A. (2015). Note on “on multiset topologies”. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, **10**(5), 825-827.

List of Publications and Presentations

List of Publications

1. Basumatary B., Khaklary, J.K., & Said B. (2022). On NeutroBitopological Spaces, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **18**(2), 254-261.
2. Basumatary B., Khaklary J.K., Wary N., & Smarandache F. (2022). On Neutro-Topological Spaces and their Properties. *Theory and Applications of NeutroAlgebras as Generalisations of Classical Algebras*. 180-201. *IGI Publications*.
3. Basumatary B., & Khaklary J.K. (2022). A Study on the Properties of Anti-Topological Space. 16-27. *Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*. *NSIA Publications*.
4. Basumatary B., & Khaklary J.K. (2024). A Study on Continuity functions in neutro-topological spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **78**, 341-352.

Papers presented in Conference

1. National Conference on “Science & Technology for Sustainable Development (STSD-2022)” on 9th–10th September, 2022 jointly organised by Science College Kokrajhar & Vijnana Bharati, NESM in collaboration with IASST, Guwahati and NECTAR, Shillong.
2. National Conference on “Advances in Mathematical Sciences” 22nd-23rd December, 2022 organised by Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University.


Chapter 11

On Neutro-Topological Spaces and Their Properties

Bhimraj Basumatary
Bodoland University, India

Jeevan Krishna Khaklary
Central Institute of Technology, Kokrajhar, India

Nijwm Wary
Bodoland University, India

Florentin Smarandache
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5560-5926>
University of New Mexico, USA

ABSTRACT

There is a lot of ambiguous information in the real world that crisp values can't manage. The fuzzy set theory was proposed by Zadeh. It is an age-old and excellent tool for dealing with uncertain information. As a result, intuitionistic fuzzy set theory was suggested. However, these theories are incapable of dealing with all forms of uncertainty, such as indeterminate and inconsistent data in various decision-making situations. To address this shortfall, Smarandache proposed the neutrosophic set theory by introducing a degree of indeterminacy as an independent component. In this current decade, neutrosophic environments are mainly interested by different fields of researchers. Recently, Smarandache introduced the NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebras. NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras represent a new research subject that is based on real-world examples. In this chapter, some properties of NeutroTopological space are introduced and studied with examples. Several definitions of NeutroInterior, NeutroClosure, and NeutroBoundary are defined, and the authors also studied its properties with examples.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3495-6.ch011

INTRODUCTION

The concept of a fuzzy set was first developed by Zadeh (1965), where the concept of the membership function is defined and discussed the concept of uncertainty using a fuzzy set. Atanassov (1986) proposed the intuitionistic fuzzy set by generalizing the concept of fuzzy sets and introducing the degree of non-membership as a component. Chang (1968) was the first to introduce fuzzy topology, while Coker (1997) defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Salama et al. (2014) investigated topology using neutrosophic sets. Kelly (1963) introduced the concept of bitopological space. The concept of fuzzy bitopological space was investigated by Kandil et al. (1995). Florentin Smarandache (1998) defined the idea of neutrosophic logic and the concept of neutrosophic set. After that, the concepts of the neutrosophic set have been applied in many branches of sciences and technology. The concept of neutrosophic topological space was introduced by Salama and Alblowi (2012). Devi *et al.* (2017) discussed separation axioms in ordered neutrosophic bitopological space. Mwchahary et al. (2020) did their work in neutrosophic bitopological space. After defining the neutrosophic group, Sumathi et al. (2016) defined the concept of the topological group structure of the neutrosophic set and also, Sumathi and Arockiarani (2015) studied the fuzzy neutrosophic group.

In recent years, there has been a surge in academic interest in neutrosophic set theory. The concept of neutro-structures and anti-structures was first defined by Florentin Smarandache (2019, 2020). Şahin et al. (2021) discussed the idea of neutro-topological space and anti-topological space. Smarandache (2020) studied NeutroAlgebra as a generalization of partial algebra. Agboola (2020) investigated the idea of NeutroRings, NeutroGroups, and finite NeutroGroups of type-NG. Smarandache (2020) proposed the generalizations and alternatives of Classical Algebraic Structures to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures. Al-Tahan et al. (2021) studied the NeutroOrderedAlgebra, NeutroHyper structures, and their properties.

Blizard (1989) investigated the concept of multiset theory and neutrosophic multi groups and applications were studied by Bakbak (2019). Basumatary et al. (2020) studied on interval-valued triangular neutrosophic linear programming problem also Basumatary et al. (2021) investigated some properties of the neutrosophic multi topological group. Yager (1986) introduced the concept of fuzzy multiset (fuzzy bag) to generalize the fuzzy set, and Miyamoto (2001) studied Fuzzy Multisets and Their Generalizations. A fuzzy multiset element can appear more than once, with the same or different membership values. Onasanya et al. (2018) investigated the Algebraic properties of alpha-level subsets topology of a fuzzy subset, and the authors Onasanya et al. (2019) and Al Tahan et al. (2020) studied fuzzy multi-polygroups. Al Tahan et al. (2019) studied the fuzzy multi-Hv-ideals of Hv-rings fuzzy multi-Hv-ideals and

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the product's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/on-neutro-topological-spaces-and-their-properties/302858?camid=4v1

This title is available in Advances in Computer and Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Information Technology e-Book Collection, Computer Science and IT Knowledge Solutions e-Book Collection, e-Book Collection, Science and Engineering e-Book Collection. Recommend this product to your librarian:

www.igi-global.com/e-resources/library-recommendation/?id=78

Related Content

Statistics in the Mathematics Curricula in Bulgaria

Marta Zdravkova Sugareva and Mariana Koleva Mourgova (2021). *Developing Mathematical Literacy in the Context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution* (pp. 99-123).
www.igi-global.com/chapter/statistics-in-the-mathematics-curricula-in-bulgaria/273741?camid=4v1a

Generalized Fractional Ostrowski's Type Inequalities Involving Riemann-Liouville Fractional Integration

Ather Qayyum and Muhammad Shoaib (2020). *Advanced Applications of Fractional Differential Operators to Science and Technology* (pp. 246-266).
www.igi-global.com/chapter/generalized-fractional-ostrowskis-type-inequalities-involving-riemann-liouville-fractional-integration/255477?camid=4v1a

Transmission of Water and Food Waste in Aquaponic Systems

Moksha H. Satia (2020). *Mathematical Models of Infectious Diseases and Social Issues* (pp. 216-245).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/transmission-of-water-and-food-waste-in-aquaponic-systems/255018?camid=4v1a

Reform-Based Mathematics Teaching Practices in Teacher Education Research Across the Globe

Susie Morrissey, Ozgul Kartal and Gorjana Popovic (2022). *Global Perspectives and Practices for Reform-Based Mathematics Teaching* (pp. 1-21).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/reform-based-mathematics-teaching-practices-in-teacher-education-research-across-the-globe/301348?camid=4v1a



On NeuroBitopological Space

Bhimraj Basumatary^{1,*}, Jeevan Krishna Khaklary² and Said Broumi^{3,4}

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, INDIA; brbasumatary14@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5398-6078>

² Department of Mathematics, Central Institute of Technology, Kokrajhar, INDIA; jk.khaklary@cit.ac.in; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6385-1118>

³ Laboratory of Information Processing, Faculty of Science Ben M'Sik, University Hassan II, Casablanca, MOROCCO; broumisaid78@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1334-5759>

⁴Regional Center for the Professions of Education and Training, Casablanca-Settat, Morocco; broumisaid78@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1334-5759>

* Correspondence: brbasumatary14@gmail.com

Abstract

The current study shows the study of NeuroBitopological Space. In this work, the properties of NeuroBitopological Space are discussed. It is seen that many properties do not coincide with the properties of general Bitopological space. The terms NeuroInterior, NeuroClosure, and NeuroBoundary are defined with examples also their properties are observed.

Keywords: NeuroInterior, NeuroClosure, NeuroBoundary, NeuroBitopological Space.

1.Introduction

Smarandache [1, 2] proposed the neutrosophic set (NS), and after that many researchers applied it in science & technology. In recent years, there has been a surge in academic interest in neutrosophic set theory. Florentin Smarandache first defined the idea of neutro-structures and anti-structures [3, 4]. Neutrosophication of an axiom on a given set X means dividing the set X into three regions, one in which the axiom is true (we call this the degree of truth T of the axiom), one in which the axiom is indeterminate (we call this the degree of indeterminacy I of the axiom), and one in which the axiom is false (we call this the degree of indeterminacy I of the axiom (we say the degree of falsehood F of the axiom). On the other hand Antisophication of an axiom on a given set X means to have the axiom false on the whole set X. Without using neutrosophic sets and neutrosophic numbers, the structure of neutrosophic logic has been transferred to the structure of classical algebras. MemetŞahin et al. [8] studied NeuroTopological Space (NTS) and Anti-Topological Space. Smarandache [7] studied neutroAlgebra as a generalization of partial algebra. Many researchers [11-15] studied neutroAlgebra. Basumatary et al. [21] studied some properties of NTS.

In this paper, NeutroBitopological Space is studied based on NeutroInterior, NeutroClosure, and NeutroBoundary, also its properties are learned with examples.

2. Preliminaires

Definition 2.1: [7]

TheNeutro-sophication of the Law

- (i) Let X be a non-empty set and $*$ be binary operation. For some elements $(a, b) \in (X, X)$, $(a * b) \in X$ (degree of well defined (T)) and for other elements $(x, y), (p, q) \in (X, X)$; $[x * y$ is indeterminate (degree of indeterminacy (I)), or $p * q \notin X$ (degree of outer-defined (F))], where (T, I, F) is different from $(1, 0, 0)$ that represents the Classical Law, and from $(0, 0, 1)$ that represents the AntiLaw.
- (ii) In NeutroAlgebra, the classical well-defined for $*$ binary operation is divided into three regions: degree of well-defined (T), degree of indeterminacy (I) and degree of outer-defined (F) similar to neutrosophic set and neutrosophic logic

Definition 2.2: [8]

Let X be a non-empty set, \mathfrak{S} be a collection of subsets of X . If at least one of the following conditions {i, ii, iii} is satisfied, then \mathfrak{S} is called a NeutroTopology on X and (X, \mathfrak{S}) is called a **NTS**.

- (i) $[\emptyset \in \mathfrak{S}, X \notin \mathfrak{S} \text{ or } X \in \mathfrak{S}, \emptyset \notin \mathfrak{S}] \text{ or } [\emptyset, X \in {}_1\mathfrak{S}]$
- (ii) For at least n elements $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\cap_{i=1}^n p_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ and for at least n elements $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in \mathfrak{S}$, $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathfrak{S}$; $[\cap_{i=1}^n q_i \notin \mathfrak{S} \text{ or } \cap_{i=1}^n r_i \in {}_1\mathfrak{S}]$. Where n is finite.
- (iii) For at least n elements $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\cup_{i \in I} p_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ and for at least n elements $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in \mathfrak{S}$, $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathfrak{S}$; $[\cup_{i \in I} q_i \notin \mathfrak{S} \text{ or } \cup_{i \in I} r_i \in {}_1\mathfrak{S}]$.

Definition 2.3: [21]

Let (X, τ) be a NTS over X and A is subset on X . Then, the NeutroInterior of A is the union of all NeutroOpen subsets of A . Clearly, NeutroInterior of A is the biggest NeutroOpen set over X which is contained in A .

Definition 2.4:[21]

Let (X, τ) be a NTS over X and A is subset on X . Then, the NeutroClosure of A is the intersection of all NeutroClosed super sets of A . Clearly, NeutroClosure of A is the smallest NeutroClosed set over X conatining A .

3. Results

Definition 3.1.

Let X be a non-empty set endowed with two NeutroTopologies T_1 and T_2 . Then (X, T_1, T_2) is called a NeutroBitopological space (NBS). In this entire paper, we expressed (X, T_1, T_2) with \mathfrak{S} .

Example 3.1.

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

For T_1 : i) $\emptyset \in T_1, X \notin T_1$

ii) $\{3\} \cap \{1, 2, 3\} = \{3\} \in T_1$; $\{3\} \cap \{1, 4\} = \emptyset \in T_1$ but $\{1, 4\} \cap \{1, 2, 3\} = \{1\} \notin T_1$

iii) $\{3\} \cup \{1, 2, 3\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \in T_1$ but $\{3\} \cup \{1, 4\} = \{1, 3, 4\} \notin T_1$

For T_2 : i) $\emptyset \in T_2, X \notin T_2$

ii) $\{4\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset \in T_2$; but $\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\} = \{2\} \notin T_2$

iii) $\{1, 2\} \cup \{2, 3\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \in T_2$ but $\{4\} \cup \{1, 2\} = \{1, 2, 4\} \notin T_2$.

Thus, it can be observed that T_1 and T_2 are both NeutroTopologies on X . Therefore, (X, T_1, T_2) is a NeutroBitopological space. It may be noted that a NeutroBitopological (X, T_1, T_2) is not a general bitopological

space (GBS) because T_1 and T_2 are not topologies on X . Thus, a NBS is a different thing altogether and it will be seen that a NBS can be derived from any GBS. It can be seen from the following two theorems.

Proposition 3.1.

If \mathfrak{S} be a GBS then $(X, T_1 - \emptyset, T_2 - \emptyset)$ be a NBS.

Proof: Since the empty set is excluded from the two topologies, they are no longer general topologies but NTSs.

Proposition 3.2.

If \mathfrak{S} be a GBS then $(X, T_1 - X, T_2 - X)$ be a NBS.

Proof: Since the whole set is excluded from the two topologies, they are no longer general topologies but NTSs and hence the proved.

Definition 3.2.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then the NeuroInterior of a subset A of X is defined as:

$$T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)).$$

Example 3.2.

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}\}$. Then, clearly T_1 and T_2 are NTSs on X . So, (X, T_1, T_2) is a NBS.

Let $A = \{b, c, d\}$. Then we have, $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A))$
 $= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{b, c, d\}))$
 $= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\{b\} \cup \{b, c\} \cup \{c, d\})$
 $= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}\{b, c, d\}$
 $= \{c, d\}.$

Proposition 3.3.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof: Let $x \in T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$

$$\Rightarrow x \in T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A))$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(B) \text{ where } B = T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq A$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in B \subseteq A$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in A$$

Hence, $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq A$.

But the converse is not true as shown in the example below:

Example 3.3.

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. Then, (X, T_1, T_2) is a NBS. Let, $A = \{2, 3\}$.

Then, we have $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{2, 3\})) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\{2, 3\}) = \{2\}$

This shows that $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq A$ but $A \not\subseteq T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$.

So, $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \neq A$.

Proposition 3.4.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, and $A \subseteq B$, then $T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B)$.

Proof: Let $x \in T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$

$$\Rightarrow x \in T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A))$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B)) \text{ since } A \subseteq B$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B)$$

Hence, $x \in T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \Rightarrow x \in T_1 T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B)$.

Proposition 3.5.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A \cap B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \cap T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B)$.

Proposition 3.6.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A \cup B)$

Proof: We have $A \subseteq A \cup B \Rightarrow T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A \cup B)$.

Also, $B \subseteq A \cup B \Rightarrow T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A \cup B)$

Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A \cup B)$.

Remark 3.1.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \neq T_2T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$.

Example 3.4.

Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$. Let $A = \{1,2\}$.

Then, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A))$

$$= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{1,2\})) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\{2\}) = \emptyset.$$

And, $T_2T_1 - \text{Int}(A) = T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) = T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\{1,2\}))$

$$= T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{1,2\}) = \{2\}$$

Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) \neq T_2T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$.

Remark 3.2.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_2T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$ if $T_1 = T_2$.

Remark 3.3.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) \neq T_1T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$.

Example 3.5.

Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}\}$.

Let $A = \{1,2\}$.

Then, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A))$

$$= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{1,2\})) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\{1,2\}) = \{2\}$$

Now, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) = T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{2\})$

$$= T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(\{2\})) = T_1 - \text{NeuInt}(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$$

Remark 3.4.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) = T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)$ if $T_1 = T_2$.

Definition 3.3.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. The intersection of all $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuroClosed}$ supersets of A is called the $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuroClosure}$ of A and denoted by $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ and will be evaluated as $T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A))$.

Remark 3.5.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. Then, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \neq T_2T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$.

Example 3.6.

Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,2,4\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{2,3\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$.

The $T_1 - \text{NeuroClosed}$ sets are: $X, \{2,3,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\}$

And, the $T_2 - \text{NeuroClosed}$ sets are: $X, \{1,3,4\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,2\}, \{4\}$

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.180208>

Received: November 10, 2021 Accepted: March 23, 2022

Let $A = \{3,4\}$.

Then, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) = T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)) = T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(\{3,4\})) = T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(\{1,3,4\}) = X$

And, $T_2T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(A) = T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(\{3,4\})) = T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(\{2,3,4\} \cap \{3,4\}) = T_2 -$

$\text{NeuCl}(\{3,4\}) = \{1,3,4\} \neq X$

Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \neq T_2T_1 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$.

Proposition 3.7.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. If A is $T_1T_2 - \text{NeutroClosed}$ set, then $A \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$.

Proof: From the definition of $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ it is clear that $A \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ since $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ is the intersection of all supersets of A , which will obviously contain A .

Proposition 3.8.

If $A \subset B$, then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$.

Proof: By Proposition 3.7, $B \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$ and $A \subset B$, so $A \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$ which gives $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$.

Proposition 3.9.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A, B \subset X$. Then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A \cup B) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$.

Proposition 3.10.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A, B \subset X$. Then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A \cap B) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \cap T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$.

Proof: We have: $A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \cap B \subset B$

Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A \cap B) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ and $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A \cap B) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$

Hence, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A \cap B) \subset T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \cap T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B)$.

Proposition 3.11.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. Then $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)) = T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$ if A is $T_1T_2 - \text{NeutroClosed}$.

Proof: If A is $T_1T_2 - \text{NeutroClosed}$, then A is the smallest NeutroClosed set containing A , so $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) = A$.

Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)) = T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)$.

Proposition 3.12.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$, then the NeutoInterior of A is equal to the complement of the NeutroClosure of the complement of A .

Proposition 3.13.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$, then the NeutroClosure of the complement of A is not equal to the complement of the NeutoInterior of A .

Proposition 3.14.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$, then the NeutroClosure of A is equal to the complement of the NeutoInterior of the complement of A .

Definition 3.4.

Let \mathfrak{S} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. A point and $x \in X$ is said to be $T_1T_2 - \text{NeutroExterior}$ of A if $x \in T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A^c)$.

Definition 3.5.

Let \mathfrak{J} be a NBS and $A \subset X$. A point and $x \in X$ is said to be a T_1T_2 – *NeutroBoundary* point if it is neither an T_1T_2 – *NeutroInterior* nor T_1T_2 – *NeutroExterior* point of A .

We define $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A) = T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) \cap T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A^c)$.

Proposition 3.15.

Let \mathfrak{J} be a NBS with $T_1 = T_2$ and $A, B \subset X$. Then the following results are found:

- (i) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A)$
- (ii) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A)$
- (iii) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A \cup B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B)$
- (iv) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A \cap B) \subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B)$.

Remark 3.6.

If $T_1 \neq T_2$, then the **proposition 3.15** is not true that is for $A, B \subset X$, the following results are found:

- (i) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) \not\subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A)$
- (ii) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A)) \not\subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A)$
- (iii) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A \cup B) \not\subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B)$
- (iv) $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A \cap B) \not\subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A) \cup T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B)$

For this we cite the following examples**(i) Example 3.7.**

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $T_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $T_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$

T_1 – *NeutroClosed* sets are: $X, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{c\}$ and

T_2 – *NeutroClosed* sets are: $X, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}$

Let $A = \{a, d\}$, $A^c = \{b, c\}$.

Now $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A) = \{a\} = B(\text{say})$.

Now $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B) = \{a, d\}$ and $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(B^c) = X$. Therefore, $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B) = \{a, d\}$.

Again $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A) = X$ and $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuCl}(A^c) = \{b, c, d\}$, and $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(B) = \{b, c, d\}$

Hence $T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(T_1T_2 - \text{NeuInt}(A)) \not\subseteq T_1T_2 - \text{NeuBd}(A)$.

Similarly, other properties of Remark 3.6 can be shown.

5. Conclusions

In this work, NeutroBitological space is studied. The terms *NeutroInterior*, *NeutroClosure* and *NeutroBoundary* are defined. It is seen that some properties of NBS are not the same as the properties of GBS. For this, we have cited examples. We hope, this work can lead towards the development of many properties of NeutroBitological space.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Smarandache, F. “Neutrosophy / Neutrosophic probability, set, and logic”. American Research Press, 1998.
<http://gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/NeutLog.txt>
- [2] Smarandache, F. “Neutrosophy and neutrosophic logic, first international conference on neutrosophy,
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.180208>

Received: November 10, 2021 Accepted: March 23, 2022

- neutrosophic logic, set, probability, and statistics". University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA, 2002
- [3] Smarandache, F. "Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures, in Advances of Standard and Nonstandard NeutrosophicTheories". *Pons Publishing House Brussels, Belgium*, Ch. 6, 240-265, 2019.
- [4] Smarandache, F. "Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures (revisited)". *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 31, 1-16, 2020.
- [5] Sumathi, I. R. & Arockiarani, I. "Topological Group Structure of Neutrosophic set". *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 7(1), 12-20, 2016.
- [6] Mwchahary, D. D. & Basumatary, B. "A note on Neutrosophic Bitopological Space". *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33, 134-144, 2020.
- [7] Smarandache, F. "NeutroAlgebra is a Generalization of Partial Algebra". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 2, 8-17, 2020.
- [8] Şahin, M., Kargin, A. & Yücel, M. "Neutro-Topological Space and Anti-Topological Space". *The Educational Publisher Inc.*, 1091 West 1st Ave., Grandview Heights, OH 43212, United States, 2021.
- [9] Smarandache, F. "Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures (revisited)". *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 31, 1-16, 2020.
- [10] Smarandache, F. "Generalizations and Alternatives of Classical Algebraic Structures to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures". *Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications (JFEA)*, J. Fuzzy. Ext. Appl., 1(2), 85-87, 2020.
- [11] Agboola, A. A. A., Ibrahim, M. A. & Adeleke, E. O. "Elementary Examination of NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the Classical Number Systems". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 4, 16-19, 2020.
- [12] Agboola, A. A. A. "Introduction to NeutroGroups". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 6, 41-47, 2020.
- [13] Agboola, A. A. A. "Introduction to NeutroRings". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 7, 62-73, 2020.
- [14] Rezaei, A. & Smarandache, F. "On Neutro-BE-algebras and Anti-BE-algebras". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 4, 8-15, 2020.
- [15] Hamidi, M. & Smarandache, F. "Neutro-BCK-Algebra". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 8, 110-117, 2020.
- [16] Smarandache, F., Rezaei, A. & Kim, H. S. "A New Trend to Extensions of CI-algebras". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 5(1), 8-15, 2020.
- [17] Agboola, A. A. A. "On Finite NeutroGroups of Type-NG". *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 10(2), 84-95, 2020.
- [18] Broumi, S., Deli, I. and Smarandache, F. "Relations on interval valued neutrosophic soft sets". *J. New Results Sci.*, 3(5), pp.1-20, 2014.
- [19] Broumi, S., Deli, I. and Smarandache, F. "Neutrosophic parametrized soft set theory and its decision making". *International Frontier Science Letters*, 1(1), pp.1-11, 2014.
- [20] Basumatary, B., Wary, N., Mwchahary, D.D., Brahma, A.K., Moshahary, J., Basumatary, U.R., and Basumatary, J. "A study on some properties of neutrosophic multi topological group". *Symmetry*, 13(9), pp.1689, 2021.
- [21] Basumatary, B., Khaklary, J. K., Wary, N., and Smarandache, F. "On Some properties of NeutroTopological space, Acceted for publication.
- [22] Basumatary, B. "Towards forming the field of fuzzy closure with reference to fuzzy boundary". *Journal of* DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.180208>

Process Management. New Technologies, 4(1), pp.30–40, 2016.

Chapter Two

A Study on the Properties of AntiTopological Space

Bhimraj Basumatary¹ and Jeevan Krishna Khaklary²

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, 783370, India

²Central Institute of Technology, Kokrajhar, 783370, India

E-mail: brbasumatary14@gmail.com, jk.khaklary@cit.ac.in

ABSTRACT

In the current study, the properties of Interior, Closure and Boundary points of the antiTopological studies have been observed and studied by introducing the ideas of AntiInterior, AntiClosure, and AntiBoundary. It has been found that some of the properties that are valid in general topological spaces are also valid in anti-topological spaces while some of the properties are found to be not valid, as in the case that the AntiInterior of a set is not the smallest closed set that contains the set as in the general topological spaces.

Keywords: AntiInterior, AntiClosure, AntiBoundary, AntiTopological space.

INTRODUCTION

General topology is the branch where most of the studies had been done by all the founders of topology and the various properties that the subsets of the topology have, like continuity, connectedness, compactness, etc. But, most of the properties that have been accepted to be of the topological spaces are, as put forward by the ones who defined them, without any actual testing on whether they apply to the real-world situations, whether they are true for all cases or whether there may exist some cases where those cases are not applicable in general. That is, where the proposal of a fuzzy set came in 1965 by Lofti A. Zadeh [39], and it is where elements of a set are assigned degree of membership and degree of non-membership. And, in due course of time, the case of neutrosophy had to be ushered in by Florentine Smarandache in 1998. The neutrosophic set encompasses three components, namely the truth (T), the indeterminacy (I), and the falsity (F) of a statement or a property. Many authors (Sahin *et al.* [40, 41, 56, 57], Hassan *et al.* [42], Uluçay *et al.* [43-45, 48-50], Broumi *et al.* [46]) applied the concepts of the neutrosophic set to various field [58-84]. The present study deals with the falsity component of the neutrosophic set. Anti-topological space was defined along with neutro-topological space by Sahin *et al.* [25].

In recent years, there has been a surge in academic interest in neutrosophic set theory. The concept of neutro-structures and anti-structures was first defined by Florentin Smarandache [30, 31]. Also, a lot of researchers studied neutroalgebra [51-55]. Şahin *et al.* [25] discussed the idea of neutro-topological space and anti-topological space. Smarandache [33] studied NeutroAlgebra as a generalization of partial algebra. Agboola [1-3] investigated the idea of NeutroRings, NeutroGroups, and finite NeutroGroups of type-NG.

Smarandache [34] proposed the generalizations and alternatives of Classical Algebraic Structures to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures. Al-Tahan *et al.* [6] studied the NeutroOrderedAlgebra, NeutroHyper structures, and their properties.

Smarandache [30-31] founded and studied the concept of neutro-structures and anti-structures. From the concepts of NeutroAlgebra, he showed that if a statement (theorem, lemma, consequence, property, etc.) is totally true in a classical Algebra, it does not mean that it is also totally true in a NeutroAlgebra or in an AntiAlgebra. It depends on the operations and axioms (if they are totally true, partially true, totally false, or partially or totally indeterminate) it is based upon.

For examples:

(1) Let $(A,*)$ be a NeutroAlgebra (it has NeutroOperations or NeutroAxioms while the others are classical Operations and classical Axioms, and no AntiOperation and no AntiAxiom).

Statement: If x, y in A , then $x * y$ in A .

This statement is true for classical Algebra.

But for a NeutroAlgebra we have:

- (a) The Statement is true if the operation $*$ is a classical Operation (totally true).
 - (b) The Statement is true if the operation $*$ is a NeutroAxiom, but x, y both belong to the partially true subset;
 - (c) The Statement is false if the operation $*$ is a NeutroAxiom, and at least one of x or y belongs to the partially false subset.
- (2) Similarly, for the NeutroGroup.

Let A be a NeutroGroup, and x in A . Then its inverse x^{-1} is also in A . This is true for the classical Group.

For the NeutroGroup:

- (a) This is true if the inverse element axiom is totally true;
- (b) This is true if the NeutroInverse element axiom is partially true, and x belongs to the true subset;
- (c) This is false otherwise.

By observing the above concepts, the properties of Interior, Closure and Boundary points of the AntiTopological studies have been observed.

BACKGROUND

Definition 2.1: [34] The Neutrosophication of the Law

- (i) Let X be a non-empty set and $*$ be binary operation. For some elements $(a, b) \in (X, X)$, $(a * b) \in X$ (degree of well defined (T)) and for other elements $(x, y), (p, q) \in (X, X)$; $[x * y]$ is indeterminate (degree of indeterminacy (I)), or $p * q \notin X$ (degree of outer-defined (F)), where (T, I, F) is different from $(1, 0, 0)$ that represents the Classical Law, and from $(0, 0, 1)$ that represents the AntiLaw.
- (ii) In NeutroAlgebra, the classical well-defined for $*$ binary operation is divided into three regions: degree of well-defined (T), degree of indeterminacy (I) and degree of outer-defined (F) similar to neutrosophic set and neutrosophic logic.

Definition 2.2: [25] Let X be the non-empty set and τ be a collection of subsets of X . Then τ is said to be a NeutroTopology on X and the pair (X, τ) is said to be a NeutroTopological space, if at least one of the following conditions hold good:

- (i) $[(\emptyset_N \in \tau, X_N \notin \tau) \text{ or } (X_N \in \tau, \emptyset_N \notin \tau)] \text{ or } [\emptyset_N, X_N \in \sim \tau]$.
- (ii) For some n elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \tau, \bigcap_{i=1}^n a_i \in \tau$ [degree of truth T] and for other n elements $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \tau, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \tau; [(\bigcap_{i=1}^n b_i \notin \tau)$ [degree of falsehood F] or $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n p_i$ is indeterminate (degree of indeterminacy I)], where n is finite; where (T, I, F) is different from (1,0,0) that represents the Classical Axiom, and from (0,0,1) that represents the AntiAxiom.].
- (iii) For some n elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \tau, \bigcup_{i=1}^n a_i \in \tau$ [degree of truth T] and for other n elements $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \tau, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \tau; [(\bigcup_{i=1}^n b_i \notin \tau)$ [degree of falsehood F] or $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i$ is indeterminate (degree of indeterminacy I)], where n is finite; where (T, I, F) is different from (1,0,0) that represents the Classical Axiom, and from (0,0,1) that represents the AntiAxiom.].

Remark 2.1: [25] The symbol “ $\in \sim$ ” will be used for situations where it is an unclear appurtenance (not sure if an element belongs or not to a set). For example, if it is not certain whether “a” is a member of the set P , then it is denoted by $a \in \sim P$.

Theorem 2.1: [25] Let (X, τ) be a classical topological space. Then $(X, \tau - \emptyset)$ is a NeutroTopological space.

Theorem 2.2: [25] Let (X, τ) be a classical topological space. Then $(X, \tau - X)$ is a NeutroTopological space.

Definition 2.3: [34]: **The Anti-sophication of the Law** (totally outer-defined)

Let X be a non-empty set and $*$ be a binary operation. For all double elements $(x, y) \in (X, X), x * y \notin X$ (totally outer-defined).

Definition 2.4: [25]: AntiTopological space: Let X be a non-empty set, τ be a collection of subsets of X . If the following conditions {i, ii, iii} are satisfied then, τ is called an anti-topology and (X, τ) is called an anti-topological space.

- i) $\emptyset, X \notin \tau$
- ii) For all $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n \in \tau, \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i \notin \tau$, where n is finite.
- iii) For all $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n \in \tau, \bigcup_{i \in I} q_i \notin \tau$, where I is an index set.

MAIN FOCUS OF THE CHAPTER

Proposition 3.1: In an AntiTopological space. The following conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied.

- (i) Empty set and X is not AntiOpen.
- (ii) Union of the AntiOpen sets is not AntiOpen.
- (iii) Intersection of the AntiOpen sets is not AntiOpen.

Examples 3.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is antiTopological space.

- (i) Here \emptyset and X are not AntiOpen.
- (ii) $\{a, b\} \cup \{c, d\} = \{a, b, c, d\}; \{a, b\} \cup \{b, c\} = \{a, b, c\}; \{c, d\} \cup \{b, c\} = \{b, c, d\}$ which are all not AntiOpen in (X, τ) .
- (iii) Also, $\{a, b\} \cap \{c, d\} = \emptyset; \{a, b\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{b\}; \{c, d\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{c\}$, which are all not AntiOpen in (X, τ) .

Definition 3.1: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space over X and A is subset on X . Then, the AntiInterior of A is the union of all AntiOpen subsets of A . Clearly, AntiInterior of A is the biggest AntiOpen set over X which is contained A .

That is, $AntiInt(A) = \cup \{B, \text{where } B \text{ is open and } B \subseteq A\}$

Proposition 3.2: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space over X and A is subset on X . If A is AntiOpen, then $AntiInt(A) = A$.

Proof: By definition, $AntiInt(A) = \cup \{B, \text{where } B \text{ is open and } B \subseteq A\}$.

If A is AntiOpen, and $B \subset A$ and B is AntiOpen then $A \cap B = B$ and it will violate the condition (iii) of the definition of the AntiTopological Spaces. Hence, $B \not\subset A$. So $B = A$. Hence, $AntiInt(A) = A$.

Proposition 3.3: In an AntiTopological space (X, τ) , $AntiInt(A) \notin \tau$ if A is not AntiOpen.

Proof: By definition, $AntiInt(A) = \cup \{B, \text{where } B \text{ is AntiOpen and } B \subseteq A\}$.

By **Proposition 3.2**, if A is AntiOpen, then $AntiInt(A) = A$. If A is not AntiOpen, then either $AntiInt(A) = \emptyset$ or, $AntiInt(A) = B \cup C$, where B and C are AntiOpen. And $B \cup C$ cannot be contained in τ otherwise it will violate condition (ii) of the Proposition 3.1.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\tau = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $AntiInt(A) = \{1, 2\} \cup \{2, 3\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \notin \tau$.

And, $A = \{2, 4\}$, then $AntiInt(A) = \emptyset \notin \tau$.

Observation: From Example 3.2, it is observed that $AntiInt(A)$ is equal to A even if A is not AntiOpen.

Proposition 3.4: Let (X, τ) be AntiTopological space. Then

- (i) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow AntiInt(A) \subseteq AntiInt(B)$
- (ii) $AntiInt(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiInt(A) \cap AntiInt(B)$
- (iii) $AntiInt(A) \cup AntiInt(B) \subseteq AntiInt(A \cup B)$
- (iv) $AntiInt(AntiInt(A)) = AntiInt(A)$ if A is AntiOpen.

Proof:

- (i) Both A and B cannot be AntiOpen at the same time because in that case A cannot be a subset of B . Suppose that A is AntiOpen, and B is not. Then, $AntiInt(A) = A$ and $AntiInt(B) = \{A \cup C_i\}$ since $A \subseteq B$ and A is AntiOpen, where C_i are AntiOpen. Hence, $AntiInt(A) \subseteq AntiInt(B)$ in this case. Next, suppose that B is AntiOpen while A is not, then $AntiInt(B) = B$ and $AntiInt(A) = \cup \{C, C \text{ is AntiOpen}\}$ and $C \neq B$. By Proposition 3.3, $AntiInt(A) \notin \tau$ and $A \subseteq B$. The only possibility for this is that $AntiInt(A) = \emptyset$.

- (ii) For any A and B , $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$.

So, we have: $AntiInt(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiInt(A)$ and $AntiInt(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiInt(B)$

Hence, $AntiInt(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiInt(A) \cap AntiInt(B)$

- (iii) For any A and B , $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$.

So, we have: $AntiInt(A) \subseteq AntiInt(A \cup B)$ and $AntiInt(B) \subseteq AntiInt(A \cup B)$

Hence, $AntiInt(A) \cup AntiInt(B) \subseteq AntiInt(A \cup B)$

- (iv) The proof is direct by Proposition 3.2.

Definition 3.2: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space and a subset A of X is said to be τ -AntiClosed set if and only if its complement A^c is an AntiOpen set.

Proposition 3.5: In an AntiTopological space. The conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

- (i) The intersection of AntiClosed sets is not AntiClosed.
- (ii) Union of AntiClosed sets is not AntiClosed.

Definition 3.3: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space over X and A is subset on X . Then, the AntiClosure of A is the intersection of all AntiClosed super sets of A . Clearly, AntiClosure of A is *not* the smallest AntiClosed set over X containing A , which is shown in the Proposition 3.6 (ii) below.

That is, $AntiCl(A) = \cap \{G: G \supseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is AntiClosed}\}$

Example 3.3: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $\tau = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}\}$. Then, the AntiClosed sets are: $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, then $AntiCl(A) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Proposition 3.6: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space. Then

- (i) $AntiCl(A)$ is not the smallest AntiClosed set containing A .
- (ii) If A is AntiClosed, then $A = AntiCl(A)$.

Proof:

(i) We prove it by a counter example. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $\tau = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}\}$. Then, the AntiClosed sets are: $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, then $AntiCl(A) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ which is not AntiClosed.

We may consider another example by considering A as an AntiOpen set, say $A = \{1\}$, then $AntiCl(A) = \{1, 3, 4, 5\} \cap \{1, 2, 4, 5\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 4\}$ which is also not AntiClosed.

Thus, AntiClosure of A is *not* the smallest AntiClosed set over X containing A .

(ii) Proof is obvious from the definition of anti-topology.

Proposition 3.7: Let (X, τ) be AntiTopological space and let $A, B \subseteq X$. If B is AntiClosed, then

- (i) $A \subseteq AntiCl(A)$
- (ii) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow AntiCl(A) \subseteq AntiCl(B)$
- (iii) $AntiCl(A) \cup AntiCl(B) \subseteq AntiCl(A \cup B)$
- (iv) $AntiCl(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)$
- (v) $AntiCl(AntiCl(B)) = AntiCl(B)$

Proof:

- (i) By definition, we have $AntiCl(A)$ is a set containing A . So, $A \subseteq AntiCl(A)$
- (ii) If B is closed then, $AntiCl(B) = B$. Thus, $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \subseteq AntiCl(B)$ which give, $AntiCl(A) \subseteq AntiCl(B)$.
- (iii) $A \subseteq A \cup B \Rightarrow AntiCl(A) \subseteq AntiCl(A \cup B)$ by (i) above. Also, $B \subseteq A \cup B \Rightarrow AntiCl(B) \subseteq AntiCl(A \cup B)$ by (i) above. Hence, $AntiCl(A) \cup AntiCl(B) \subseteq AntiCl(A \cup B)$
- (iv) $A \cap B \subseteq A \Rightarrow AntiCl(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiCl(A)$ by (i) above. Also, $A \cap B \subseteq B \Rightarrow AntiCl(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiCl(B)$ by (i) above. Hence, $AntiCl(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)$.
- (v) Since B is AntiClosed, we have $AntiCl(B) = B$. So, $AntiCl(AntiCl(B)) = AntiCl(B)$

Remark 3.1: In Proposition 3.7, if B is not AntiClosed then the results are not generally true. It is because the AntiClosure of every subset of X will not always exist because X is not AntiClosed.

Proposition 3.8: Let (X, τ) be AntiTopological space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then

- (i) $AntiInt(A) = (AntiCl(A^c))^c$, if A is AntiOpen.
- (ii) $AntiCl(A^c) = (AntiInt(A))^c$, if A is AntiOpen.
- (iii) $AntiCl(A) = (AntiInt(A^c))^c$, if A is AntiClosed.
- (iv) $AntiCl(AntiInt(A)) = AntiCl(A)$

Proof:

- (i) Let $x \in AntiInt(A) \Rightarrow x \in A \Rightarrow x \notin A^c \Rightarrow x \notin AntiCl(A^c) \Rightarrow x \in (AntiCl(A^c))^c$.

Hence, $AntiInt(A) \subseteq (AntiCl(A^c))^c$.

Conversely, let $x \in (AntiCl(A^c))^c \Rightarrow x \notin AntiCl(A^c) \Rightarrow x \notin A^c \Rightarrow x \in A$.

Hence, $x \in AntiInt(A)$. So, $(AntiCl(A^c))^c \subseteq AntiInt(A)$.

- (ii) Let $x \in AntiCl(A^c) \Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \Leftrightarrow x \notin A \Leftrightarrow x \notin AntiInt(A) \Leftrightarrow x \in (AntiInt(A))^c$.

Hence, $AntiCl(A^c) = (AntiInt(A))^c$.

- (iii) Let $x \in AntiCl(A) \Leftrightarrow x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \notin A^c \Leftrightarrow x \notin AntiInt(A^c) \Leftrightarrow x \in (AntiInt(A^c))^c$.

Hence, $AntiCl(A) = (AntiInt(A^c))^c$.

- (iv) Let $x \in AntiCl(AntiInt(A)) \Leftrightarrow x \in AntiInt(A) \Leftrightarrow x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in AntiCl(A)$.

Hence, $AntiCl(AntiInt(A)) = AntiCl(A)$.

Definition 3.4: Let (X, τ) be an AntiTopological space over X and A is subset on X . Then AntiBoundary of A is defined as $AntiBd(A) = AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(A^c)$.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$ and $\tau = \{\{2\}, \{3\}\}$. Then, the AntiClosed sets are: $\{1,3,4\}$ and $\{1,2,4\}$.

Let $A = \{3\}$, then $A^c = \{1,2,4\}$. Now, $AntiCl(A) = \{1,3,4\}$ and $AntiCl(A^c) = \{1,2,4\}$. So, the $AntiBd(A) = AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(A^c) = \{1,3,4\} \cap \{1,2,4\} = \{1,4\}$.

Proposition 3.9: Let (X, τ) be Anti-Topological space and let $A, B \subseteq X$. Then

- (i) $AntiCl(A) - AntiInt(A) = AntiBd(A)$
- (ii) $AntiInt(A) = A - AntiBd(A)$
- (iii) $AntiInt(A) \cup AntiInt(A^c) = [AntiBd(A)]^c$
- (iv) $AntiBd(Int(A)) = AntiBd(A)$
- (v) $AntiBd(AntiCl(A)) \subseteq AntiBd(A)$
- (vi) $AntiBd(A \cup B) \subseteq AntiBd(A) \cup AntiBd(B)$
- (vii) $Bd(A \cap B) \subseteq Bd(A) \cup Bd(B)$.

Proof:

- (i) Let $x \in AntiCl(A) - AntiInt(A)$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) - \text{AntiInt}(A) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \text{ and } x \notin \text{AntiInt}(A) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \text{ and } x \notin A \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \text{ and } x \in A^c \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \text{ and } x \in \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{AntiBd}(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{AntiCl}(A) - \text{AntiInt}(A) = \text{AntiBd}(A)$.

(ii) Let $x \in \text{AntiInt}(A)$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \in \text{AntiInt}(A) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \notin A^c \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in \text{AntiCl}(A) \text{ and } x \notin \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \notin \text{AntiBd}(A) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \in A - \text{Bd}(A)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{AntiInt}(A) = A - \text{AntiBd}(A)$.

(iii) From definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{AntiBd}(A) = \text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow [\text{AntiBd}(A)]^c = [\text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c)]^c \\
 & \Leftrightarrow [\text{AntiBd}(A)]^c = [\text{AntiCl}(A)]^c \cup [\text{AntiCl}(A^c)]^c \\
 & \Leftrightarrow [\text{AntiBd}(A)]^c = \text{AntiInt}(A^c) \cup \text{AntiInt}(A), \text{ by Proposition 3.8.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{AntiInt}(A) \cup \text{AntiInt}(A^c) = [\text{AntiBd}(A)]^c$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(iv) } \text{AntiBd}(\text{AntiInt}(A)) = \text{AntiCl}(\text{AntiInt}(A)) \cap \text{AntiCl}[(\text{AntiInt}(A))^c] \text{ [by Proposition 3.8 (i)]} \\
 & = \text{AntiCl}(\text{AntiInt}(A)) \cap \text{AntiCl}[\{(\text{AntiCl}(A^c))^c\}^c] \quad [\text{as } (\text{AntiCl}(A^c))^c = \text{AntiInt}(A)] \\
 & = \text{AntiCl}(\text{AntiInt}(A)) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c) [\text{as } (P^c)^c = P] \\
 & = P \text{ and } \text{AntiCl}(\text{AntiCl}(P)) = \text{AntiCl}(P), \text{ for any set } P \\
 & = \text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \quad [\text{by Proposition 3.8 (iv)}] \\
 & = \text{AntiBd}(A) \quad [\text{by definition}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{AntiBd}(\text{AntiInt}(A)) = \text{AntiBd}(A)$.

$$\text{(v) } \text{AntiBd}(\text{AntiCl}(A)) = \text{AntiCl}(\text{AntiCl}(A)) \cap \text{AntiCl}[(\text{AntiCl}(A))^c]$$

Now, $A \subseteq \text{AntiCl}(A) \Rightarrow (\text{AntiCl}(A))^c \subseteq A^c$

$$\Rightarrow \text{AntiCl}[(\text{AntiCl}(A))^c] \subseteq \text{AntiCl}(A^c) \quad [A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{AntiCl}(A) \subseteq \text{AntiCl}(B)]$$

Hence, $\text{AntiBd}(\text{AntiCl}(A)) \subseteq \text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c) = \text{AntiBd}(A)$

i.e., $\text{AntiBd}(\text{AntiCl}(A)) \subseteq \text{AntiBd}(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(vi) } \text{AntiBd}(A \cup B) = \text{AntiCl}(A \cup B) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A \cup B)^c \\
 & \subseteq [\text{AntiCl}(A) \cup \text{AntiCl}(B)] \cap [\text{AntiCl}(A^c) \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)] \\
 & = [\text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \{\text{AntiCl}(A^c) \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)\}] \cup [\text{AntiCl}(B) \cap \{\text{AntiCl}(A^c) \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)\}] \\
 & = [\{\text{AntiCl}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c)\} \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)] \cup [\{\text{AntiCl}(B) \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)\} \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c)] \\
 & = [\text{AntiBd}(A) \cap \text{AntiCl}(B^c)] \cup [\text{AntiBd}(B) \cap \text{AntiCl}(A^c)] \\
 & \subseteq \text{AntiBd}(A) \cup \text{AntiBd}(B)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{AntiBd}(A \cup B) \subseteq \text{AntiBd}(A) \cup \text{AntiBd}(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vii) } AntiBd(A \cap B) &= AntiCl(A \cap B) \cap AntiCl[(A \cap B)^c] \\
 &\subseteq [AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)] \cap AntiCl(A^c \cup B^c) \\
 &= [AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)] \cap [AntiCl(A^c) \cup AntiCl(B^c)] \\
 &= [\{AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)\} \cap AntiCl(A^c)] \cup [\{AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(B)\} \cap \\
 &\quad AntiCl(B^c)] \\
 &= [\{AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(A^c)\} \cap AntiCl(B)] \cup [AntiCl(A) \cap \{AntiCl(B) \cap \\
 &\quad AntiCl(B^c)\}] \\
 &= [AntiBd(A) \cap AntiCl(B)] \cup [AntiCl(A) \cap AntiBd(B)] \\
 &\subseteq AntiBd(A) \cup AntiBd(B)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $AntiBd(A \cap B) \subseteq AntiBd(A) \cup AntiBd(B)$.

Proposition 3.10: Let (X, τ) be AntiTopological space and let $A \subseteq X$. If A is AntiOpen, then $AntiCl(A) - A = AntiBd(A)$

Proof: Since A is AntiOpen, therefore $AntiInt(A) = A$ [from Proposition 3.2]

and $AntiInt(A) = (AntiCl(A^c))^c$ [from Proposition 3.8 (i)]

$$\begin{aligned}
 AntiCl(A) - A &= AntiCl(A) - Int(A) \\
 &= AntiCl(A) - (AntiCl(A^c))^c \\
 &= AntiCl(A) \cap \{(AntiCl(A^c))^c\}^c \\
 &= AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(A^c) \\
 &= AntiBd(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $AntiCl(A) - A = AntiBd(A)$.

Remark 3.2: If the subset A of X is not AntiOpen, then the equality in Proposition 3.10 may not hold. We will show it by an example:

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $\tau = \{\{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{4, 5\}\}$.

The AntiClosed sets are: $\{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Let $A = \{1, 3\}$, then $A^c = \{2, 4, 5\}$.

Now, $AntiCl(A) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $AntiCl(A^c) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$.

So, $AntiBd(A) = AntiCl(A) \cap AntiCl(A^c) = \{1, 2\}$.

Now, $AntiCl(A) - A = \{1, 2, 3\} - \{1, 3\} = \{2\} \neq AntiBd(A)$.

Conclusion

In this study, it is observed that many properties of AntiTopological space are not the same as general topological space and NeutroTopological Spaces. Then we have investigated the properties of the interior, closure, and boundary of AntiTopological spaces. Hope our work will help in further study of AntiTopological space. This may lead to a new beginning for further research on the study of Topological space.

References

- [1] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to NeutroGroups. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), 6, 41-47.
- [2] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). Introduction to NeutroRings. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), 7(2), 62-73.
- [3] Agboola, A. A. A. (2020). On Finite NeutroGroups of Type-NG. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), 10(2), 84-95.
- [4] Al Tahan, M., Hoskova-Mayerova, S., & Davvaz, B. (2019). Some results on (generalized) fuzzy multi-Hv-ideals of Hv-rings. Symmetry, 11, 1376.

- [5] Al Tahan, M., Hoskova-Mayerova, S., & Davvaz, B. (2020). Fuzzy multi-polygroups. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 38(2), 2337-2345.
- [6] Al-Tahan, M. (2021). *NeutroOrderedAlgebra: Theory and Examples*. 3rd International Workshop on Advanced Topics in Dynamical Systems, University of Kufa, Iraq.
- [7] Al-Tahan, M., Davvaz, B., Smarandache, F., & Anis, O. (2021). On Some NeutroHyperstructures. *Symmetry*, 13(535), 1-12.
- [8] Al-Tahan, M., Smarandache, F., & Davvaz, B. (2021). *NeutroOrderedAlgebra: Applications to Semigroups*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 39, 133-147.
- [9] Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 20, 87-96.
- [10] Bakkak, D., Uluçay, V., & Sahin, M. (2019). Neutrosophic Multigroups and Applications. *Mathematics*, 7, 95. DOI:10.3390/math7010095
- [11] Basumatary, B. (2016). Towards forming the field of fuzzy closure with reference to fuzzy boundary. *Journal of Process Management. New Technologies*, 4(1), 30-40.
- [12] Basumatary, B., & Broumi, S. (2020). Interval-Valued Triangular Neutrosophic Linear Programming Problem. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 10(2), 105-115.
- [13] Basumatary, B., Wary, N., Mwchahary, D. D. Brahma, A. K., Moshahary, J., Basumatary, U. R. & Basumatary, J. (2021). A Study on Some Properties of Neutrosophic Multi Topological Group. *Symmetry*, 13(9), 1689.
- [14] Blizard, W. (1989). Multiset theory. *Notre Dame J. Form. Logic*, 30, 36-66.
- [15] Chang, C. L. (1968). Fuzzy Topological Space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24, 182-190.
- [16] Coker, D. (1997). An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 88, 81-89.
- [17] Devi, R., Dhavaseelan, R., & Jafari, S. (2017). On Separation Axioms in an Ordered Neutrosophic Bitopological Space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 18, 27-36.
- [18] Dey, A., & Pal, M. (2015). Multi-fuzzy complex numbers and multi-fuzzy complex sets. *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications*, 4(2), 15-27.
- [19] Kandil, A., Nouth, A. A., & El-Sheikh, S. A. (1995). On fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 74, 353-363.
- [20] Kelly, J. C. (1963). Bitopological spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 3, 71-89.
- [21] Miyamoto, S. (2001). Fuzzy Multisets and Their Generalizations. In *Multiset Processing*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, pp. 225-235.
- [22] Mwchahary, D. D., & Basumatary, B. (2020). A note on Neutrosophic Bitopological Space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 33, 134-144.
- [23] Onasanya, B. O., & Hoskova-Mayerova, S. (2018). Some Topological and Algebraic Properties of alpha-level Subsets Topology of a Fuzzy Subset. *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta*, 26, 213-227.
- [24] Onasanya, B. O., & Hoskova-Mayerova, S. (2019). Multi-fuzzy group induced by multisets. *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math*, 41, 597-604.
- [25] Şahin, M., Kargın, A., & Yücel, M. (2021). Neutro-Topological Space and Anti-Topological Space. *NeutroAlgebra Theory*, Volume I, 16.
- [26] Salama, A. A., & Alblowi, S. A. (2012). Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 3(4), 31-35.
- [27] Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F., & Kroumov, V. (2014). Closed sets and Neutrosophic Continuous Functions. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 4, 4-8.
- [28] Sebastian, S., & Ramakrishnan, T. V. (2011). Multi-fuzzy sets: An extension of fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 3(1), 35-43.
- [29] Smarandache, F. (1998). *Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic*, ProQuest Information & Learning. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105.

- [30] Smarandache, F. (2019). Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures. *Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories*, Pons Publishing House Brussels, Belgium, Ch. 6, 240-265.
- [31] Smarandache, F. (2020). Generalizations and Alternatives of Classical Algebraic Structures to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications*, 1(2), 85-87.
- [32] Smarandache, F. (2020). Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures (revisited). *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 31, 1-16.
- [33] Smarandache, F. (2020). NeutroAlgebra is a Generalization of Partial Algebra. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 2, 8-17.
- [34] Smarandache, F. (2020). Structure, NeutroStructure, and AntiStructure in Science. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, 13(1), 28-33.
- [35] Sumathi, I. R., & Arockiarani, I. (2015). Fuzzy Neutrosophic Groups. *Advanced in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 10(2), 117-122.
- [36] Sumathi, I. R., & Arockiarani, I. (2016). Topological Group Structure of Neutrosophic set. *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 7(1), 12-20.
- [37] Yager, R. R. (1986). On the theory of bags. *Int. J. Gen Syst.*, 13, 23-37.
- [38] Yang, Y., Tan, X., & Meng, C. (2013). The multi-fuzzy soft set and its application in decision making. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(7), 4915-4923.
- [39] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control*, 8, 338-353.
- [40] Şahin M., Olgun N., Uluçay V., Kargın A. and Smarandache, F. (2017), A new similarity measure on falsity value between single valued neutrosophic sets based on the centroid points of transformed single valued neutrosophic numbers with applications to pattern recognition, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 15, 31-48, doi: [org/10.5281/zenodo570934](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo570934).
- [41] Qiuping, N., Yuanxiang, T., Broumi, S., & Uluçay, V. (2022). A parametric neutrosophic model for the solid transportation problem. *Management Decision*, (ahead-of-print).
- [42] Hassan, N., Uluçay, V., and Şahin, M. (2018), Q-neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications (IJFSA)*, 7(4), 37-61.
- [43] Uluçay, V., Şahin, M., and Olgun, N. (2018), Time-Neutrosophic Soft Expert Sets and Its Decision-Making Problem. *Matematika*, 34(2), 246-260.
- [44] Uluçay, V., Kılıç, A., Yıldız, I. and Şahin, M. (2018). A new approach for multi-attribute decision-making problems in bipolar neutrosophic sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2018, 23(1), 142-159.
- [45] Uluçay, V., Kılıç, A., Şahin, M., and Deniz, H. (2019). A New Hybrid Distance-Based Similarity Measure for Refined Neutrosophic sets and its Application in Medical Diagnosis. *MATEMATIKA: Malaysian Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 35(1), 83-94.
- [46] Broumi, S., Bakali, A., Talea, M., Smarandache, F., Singh, P. K., Uluçay, V., and Khan, M. (2019). Bipolar complex neutrosophic sets and its application in decision making problem. In *Fuzzy Multi-criteria Decision-Making Using Neutrosophic Sets* (pp. 677-710). Springer, Cham.
- [47] Bakbak, D., Uluçay, V., and Şahin, M. (2019). Neutrosophic soft expert multiset and their application to multiple criteria decision making. *Mathematics*, 7(1), 50.
- [48] Uluçay, V., and Şahin, M. (2020). Decision-Making Method based on Neutrosophic Soft Expert Graphs. In *Neutrosophic Graph Theory and Algorithms* (pp. 33-76). IGI Global.
- [49] Uluçay, V., Kılıç, A., Yıldız, İ., and Şahin, M. (2019). An Outranking Approach for MCDM-Problems with Neutrosophic Multi-Sets. *Neutrosophic Sets & Systems*, 30.
- [50] Uluçay, V., Şahin, M., and Hassan, N. (2018). Generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making. *Symmetry*, 10(10), 437.
- [51] Şahin M., Kargın A., and Smarandache, F. (2021). Neutro-G Modules and Anti-G Modules, *NeutroAlgebra Theory* 1, 4, 50-71.
- [52] Şahin M., and Kargın A. (2021). Neutro-R Modules, *NeutroAlgebra Theory* 1, 6, 85-101.
- [53] Şahin M., Kargın A., and Altun, A. (2021). Neutro-Metric Spaces, *NeutroAlgebra Theory* 1, 5, 71-85.

- [54] Şahin M., Kargın A. and Uz, M. S. (2021). Neutro-Lie Algebra, *NeutroAlgebra Theory* 1, 7, 101-120.
- [55] Kargın, A., and Şahin, N. M. (2021). Neutro-Law, *NeutroAlgebra Theory* 1, 13, 198-207.
- [56] Aslan, C. Kargın, A. and Şahin, M. (2020). Neutrosophic Modeling of Talcott Parsons's Action and Decision-Making Applications for It, *Symmetry*, 12(7), 1166.
- [57] Şahin, S., Kargın A., and Uz, M. S. (2021). Generalized Euclid Measures Based on Generalized Set Valued Neutrosophic Quadruple Numbers and Multi Criteria Decision Making Applications, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 47, 573-600.
- [58] Bakkak, D., & Uluçay, V. (2019). Chapter Eight Multiple Criteria Decision Making in Architecture Based on Q-Neutrosophic Soft Expert Multiset. *Neutrosophic Triplet Structures*, 90.
- [59] Sahin, M., Alkhazaleh, S., & Ulucay, V. (2015). Neutrosophic soft expert sets. *Applied mathematics*, 6(1), 116.
- [60] Uluçay, V., & Şahin, M. (2019). Neutrosophic multigroups and applications. *Mathematics*, 7(1), 95.
- [61] Uluçay, V. (2021). Some concepts on interval-valued refined neutrosophic sets and their applications. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 12(7), 7857-7872.
- [62] Şahin, M., Deli, I., & Uluçay, V. (2016). Jaccard vector similarity measure of bipolar neutrosophic set based on multi-criteria decision making. *Infinite Study*.
- [63] Şahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Menekşe, M. (2018). Some New Operations of (α, β, γ) Interval Cut Set of Interval Valued Neutrosophic Sets. *Journal of Mathematical & Fundamental Sciences*, 50(2).
- [64] Şahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Acioğlu, H. (2018). Some weighted arithmetic operators and geometric operators with SVN's and their application to multi-criteria decision-making problems. *Infinite Study*.
- [65] Sahin, M., Deli, I., & Ulucay, V. (2017). Extension principle based on neutrosophic multi-fuzzy sets and algebraic operations. *Infinite Study*.
- [66] Uluçay, V., Şahin, M., Olgun, N., & Kilicman, A. (2017). On neutrosophic soft lattices. *Afrika Matematika*, 28(3), 379-388.
- [67] Deli, İ., Uluçay, V., & Polat, Y. (2021). N-valued neutrosophic trapezoidal numbers with similarity measures and application to multi-criteria decision-making problems. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 1-26.
- [68] Şahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Broumi, S. (2018). Bipolar neutrosophic soft expert set theory. *Infinite Study*.
- [69] Sahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Yılmaz, F. S. (2019). Chapter twelve improved hybrid vector similarity measures and their applications on trapezoidal fuzzy multi numbers. *Neutrosophic triplet structures*, 158.
- [70] Ulucay, V., Deli, I., & Şahin, M. (2018). Similarity measures of bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application to multiple criteria decisions making. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29(3), 739-748.
- [71] BAKBAK, D., & ULUÇAY, V. (2021). Hierarchical Clustering Methods in Architecture Based On Refined Q-Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets. *NeutroAlgebra Theory Volume I*, 122.
- [72] ULUÇAY, V. (2020). Çok Kriterli Karar Verme Üzerine Dayalı Yamuksal Bulanık Çoklu Sayıların Yeni Bir Benzerlik Fonksiyonu. *Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology*, 10(2), 1233-1246.
- [73] Qiuping, N., Yuanxiang, T., Broumi, S., & Uluçay, V. (2022). A parametric neutrosophic model for the solid transportation problem. *Management Decision*, (ahead-of-print).
- [74] Sahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Deniz, H. (2019). Chapter Ten A New Approach Distance Measure of Bipolar Neutrosophic Sets and Its Application to Multiple Criteria Decision Making. *NEUTROSOPHIC TRIPLET STRUCTURES*, 125.
- [75] Şahin, M., Kargın A. Uz M. S. (2020) Neutrosophic triplet partial bipolar metric space, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, 33, 297 – 313
- [76] Şahin, M., & Kargın, A. (2020). New similarity measure between single-valued neutrosophic sets and decision-making applications in professional proficiencies. In *Neutrosophic Sets in Decision Analysis and Operations Research* (pp. 129-149). IGI Global.
- [77] Şahin, M., Kargın A. Yücel M. (2020) Neutrosophic triplet partial g – metric space, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, 33, 116 – 134
- [78] Şahin, S., Kargın A., Yücel, M. (2021) Hausdorff Measures on Generalized Set Valued Neutrosophic Quadruple Numbers and Decision Making Applications for Adequacy of Online Education, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 40, pp. 86-116

- [79] Şahin M., Kargin A. and Yıldız, İ. (2020) Neutrosophic triplet field and neutrosophic triplet vector space based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple number, *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, 4, 52-61
- [80] Şahin M., Kargin A. And Smarandache F. (2018) Generalized Single Valued Triangular Neutrosophic Numbers and Aggregation Operators for Application to Multi-attribute Group Decision Making, *New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, 2, 51-84
- [81] Kargin, A., Dayan A., Yıldız, İ., Kılıç, A. (2020) Neutrosophic Triplet m – Banach Space, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, 2020, 38, 383 – 398
- [82] Şahin N. M., Uz M. S. (2021) Multi-criteria Decision-making Applications Based on Set Valued Generalized Neutrosophic Quadruple Sets for Law, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 41-60
- [83] Şahin N. M., Dayan A. (2021) Multicriteria Decision-Making Applications Based on Generalized Hamming Measure for Law, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 08- 29
- [84] Şahin M., Kargin A. (2020) Smarandache F. Combined classic – neutrosophic sets and numbers, double neutrosophic set and number, *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, 18, 254 -266



A Study on Continuity functions in Neutro-Topological Spaces

Bhimraj Basumatary¹, Jeevan Krishna Khaklary^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam, India; brbasumatary14@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics, Central Institute of Technology, Kokrajhar, Assam, India; jk.khaklary@cit.ac.in

* Correspondence: jk.khaklary@cit.ac.in

Abstract: The specific purpose of this study is to define continuity of mappings in neutro-topological spaces using neutro-open and neutro-closed sets and analyze the properties of continuous functions that are true in classical topological spaces in the neutro-topological space. Neutro-interior and neutro-closure in neutro-topological spaces have some properties that are somewhat different from those in classical topological spaces. However, with the definition of a new form of continuity, termed as weakly neutro-continuity, much of the properties of continuous functions could be established in neutro-topological spaces. Neutro-open map and neutro-closed maps are also defined on the basis of neutro-open and neutro-closed sets. The notion of weakly neutro-continuity has been used to define neutro-homeomorphism and many of the properties of homeomorphism are analyzed and found to be true in the case of neutro-homeomorphism. A comparison of some of the properties of continuity and homeomorphism in classical topological spaces have been done vis-à-vis the neutrosophic topological spaces and neutro-topological spaces.

Keywords: Neutro-topological space, neutro-continuity, weakly neutro-continuity, neutro-open map, neutro-closed map, neutro-homeomorphism

1. Introduction

Continuity of functions in topological spaces is a well-established notion. Kelley [1] characterized continuity of functions by eight equivalent definitions and characterizations. The validity of any one of the characterizations is equivalent for a function to be continuous. Halfar [2] in 1960 studied conditions that imply continuity of functions between spaces and studied continuity of functions in terms of connectedness and compactness of the spaces. Levine [3-4] introduced the concept of weakly continuity. In the later article he introduced semi-open sets and he defined semi-continuity of functions in terms of the semi-open sets. Other studies on semi-continuity can be seen in [5-7]. Studies on weakly, sub-weakly and semi-weakly continuous functions can be seen in [9-12]. Hussain [13] defined almost continuity of functions by the openness of image of inverse image of the neighborhood of a point. Almost continuity has been studied by many other scholars [14-18]. Gentry *et al.* [19] introduced somewhat open sets and defined somewhat continuous functions and studied the properties of such continuous functions. Noiri [20] introduced δ -closed sets and its complement, the δ -open sets and subsequently defined the δ -continuous functions and proved that δ -continuity implies weakly continuity. Mashour *et al.* [21] defined pre-continuous and weakly pre-continuous functions on the basis of pre-open sets. Further, Mashour *et al.* [22] defined α -open sets, and introduced α -continuous functions and α -open maps. More studies on the α -continuous functions have been done in [23-25]. Many other scholars defined many different types of open sets and defined continuity with regard to those open sets and

the list is huge as new kinds of open sets and new kinds of closed sets have been defined over the years. Zadeh [26] defined the fuzzy set with the aim to overcome the shortfall of the Cantorian set in failing to provide a base to study ambiguity. Chang [27] defined fuzzy topological space based on the fuzzy set defined by Zadeh. Atanassov [28] refined the fuzzy set by introducing intuitionistic fuzzy set. Coker [29] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Smarandache [30] introduced the neutrosophic logic. Further, Smarandache [31] refined the fuzzy set and hence the intuitionistic fuzzy set by bringing about the neutrosophic set. The neutrosophic set has been defined to encompass three parts: “the Truth, the Indeterminacy and the Falsity” of an entity to belong to a set. The fuzzy set is based only on the grade of truth while the intuitionistic fuzzy set is based on both the grade of truth as well as the grade of falsity. Thus the third component indeterminacy refined the study of the fuzzy set. Further, Salama *et al.* [32] defined the neutrosophic topological space. Salama *et al.* [33] defined neutrosophic closed set and defined different types of neutrosophic continuity based on the neutrosophic closed sets and proposed many results on neutrosophic continuity. Al-Omeri *et al.* [34] defined different types of neutrosophic open sets in neutrosophic topological spaces and studied neutrosophic continuity on the basis of the new sets that they defined. Senyurt *et al.* [35] studied neutrosophic continuity by establishing the properties of continuous functions in classical topological spaces via neutrosophic topological spaces. Smarandache [36-39], introduced the concept of NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebra thereby laying the foundation for further studies in algebraic structures like groups, rings etc. He used the terms Neutrosophication and Antisophication to generalise the concepts in classical algebra to NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebra by defining terms like NeutroAxiom, AntiAxiom, NeutroTheorem, AntiTheorem, NeutroOperation, AntiOperation etc. Agboola *et al.* [40] realized the concept of neutro-algebra and anti-algebra [36-37] by studying them with the help of existing number systems. Further, Agboola [41-42] provided the definition of a neutro-group and neutro-rings. Smarandache *et al.* [43] studied BCK-algebra and extended the study to neutro-BCK-algebra by the application of neutrosophication of the underlying operations of the BCK-algebras. Agboola [44-45] dwelt on finite neutro-groups and finite and infinite neutro-rings. Agboola *et al.* [46-47] introduced anti-groups and anti-rings. Ibrahim *et al.* [48] introduced neutro-vector space and studied certain simple properties of only a particular type of neutro-vector space which they called type 4S. Ibrahim *et al.* [49] defined neutro-hypergroup and anti-hypergroup by neutrosophication and antisophication of the three axioms of a classical hypergroup. Mohammadzadeh *et al.* [50] introduced neutro-nilpotent groups and studied some of their properties and they found the quotient of the group in context and the intersection of two such groups are also of the same group. Al-Tahan *et al.* [51] studied the application of the new algebras to Semigroups by introducing partial order relation in the Neutro-algebras. Smarandache [52], diversified the study of the neutrosophic triplets Truth: $\langle A \rangle$; Indeterminate: $\langle \text{Neut } A \rangle$; Falsity: $\langle \text{Anti } A \rangle$ on various possible studies and analysis of space, event etc. and for any study on any structure, by neutrosophication one can always have the component neutro-structure and by antisophication, one can always have the anti-structure component. Analysis of any structure may be with anything like axiom, theorem, lemma, property, proposition etc. Smarandache [53] extended the study of the neutro and anti-algebras to neutro-geometry and anti-geometry. Rezaei *et al.* [54] extended the study of neutrosophication and antisophication to the study of Semi-hypergroups. Sahin *et al.* [55] defined a neutro-metric space and discussed the basic properties of the neutro-metric, studied various similarities between the neutro-metric and the classical metric and concluded that a neutro-metric is obtainable from every classical metric and also pointed out the variations. Further, Sahin *et al.* [56] introduced the conception of a neutro-topological space and an anti-topological space. The study compared the new topologies with the classical topology and concluded that neutro-topology has a more general structure than the classical topology. They also further concluded that a neutro-topology could be derived from any given classical topology and further that a neutro-topology could also be derived from any given anti-topology. Further, Basumatary *et al.* [57], extended the study on neutro-topological spaces by studying the traits of interior, closure and boundary in neutro-topological spaces and found many interesting results. Again, Basumatary *et al.* [58] defined neutro-bitopological spaces and studied the traits of interior, closure and boundary in

the new spaces. Basumatary *et al.* [59] studied the traits of interior, closure and boundary in anti-topological spaces and found that most of the traits that are followed for the aspects in a classical topology are also true in an anti-topology. In a contemporary study made by Witczak [60], wherein study on interior, closure, door spaces was made and two types of continuity of functions was also defined in anti-topological spaces. Basumatary *et al.* [61-62] provided the definitions of neighborhood of a point, base and sub-base in a neutro-topological space and in an anti-topological space and have compared the properties of the aspects to that of the general topological spaces. Basumatary *et al.* [63] established formulae to evaluate the number of neutro-topological spaces based on the number of members in the universal set on which a neutro-topological space is defined.

1.1 Motivation and method of study

The motivation behind this study comes from the fact that in literature, it has been observed that whenever any new set or topology is defined, the primary studies that are carried out in the new structure are studying the properties of interior, closure, boundary, exterior in the new space after which studies are done on continuity of functions in the space and other properties of the new space. After the definition of the neutro-topology has been provided in [56], the properties of interior, closure and boundary in neutro-topological spaces have been studied in [57]. The current study will utilize the notion of the neutro-open and neutro-closed sets defined and used in [57] to define continuity of functions in neutro-topological spaces. And taking advantage of the fact that a neutro-topology could be deduced from any classical topology [56], a new form of continuity called as weakly neutro continuity has also been defined and various underlying properties of continuity of functions are studied in neutro-topological spaces. Further, using the idea of weakly neutro-continuous functions, an attempt has been made to introduce neutro-homeomorphism. Also neutro-open map and neutro-closed map are introduced and they are used to further study the properties of neutro-homeomorphism. The study that has been undertaken has not yet been done by anyone as only a few studies have yet been done in neutro-topological and anti-topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [56] For a non-void universe X , with a class T of subsets of X , if one or more of {i, ii, iii} below are true, then T becomes a neutro-topology (nu-topology) over X and (X, T) will become a neutro-topological space (NTTS):

$$(i) \quad [\phi \text{ and } X \notin T \text{ simultaneously}] \text{ or } [\phi, X \in {}_I T]$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For } p_\alpha \in T, \text{ for a finite } n, \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^n p_\alpha \in T \text{ and for other } q_\alpha, r_\alpha \in T, \text{ for a finite } n,$$

$$[\bigcap_{\alpha=1}^n q_\alpha \notin T \text{ or } \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^n r_\alpha \in {}_I T]$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For } p_\alpha \in T, \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \in T, \text{ } I \text{ being an arbitrary index set, and for other}$$

$$q_\alpha, r_\alpha \in T, [\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} q_\alpha \notin T \text{ or } \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} r_\alpha \in {}_I T]$$

Remark 2.1 [56] The symbols “ $=_I$ ” and “ \in_I ” are used to denote respectively circumstances when “equal to” and “belongs to” are not sure or not properly defined.

Theorem 2.1 [56] If T , a class of subsets of X becomes a topology over X , then $T \setminus \phi$ and $T \setminus X$ are n-topologies on X .

Theorem 2.2 [56] Finite union of n -topologies is again a n -topology.

Definition 2.2 [57] If (X, T) is a *NTTS*, then the components of T are termed neutro-open (nu-open) sets and complements of these nu-open sets are termed neutro-closed (nu-closed) sets.

Definition 2.3 [57] If (X, T) is a *NTTS* over X and $O \subseteq X$, then the neutro-interior (nu-interior) of O is the join of every nu-open subsets of A and is identified by $NuInt(O)$. That is, $NuInt(O) = \bigcup \{Q_i : Q_i \subseteq O \text{ and each } Q_i \text{ is nu-open}\}$.

Theorem 2.3 [57] If A is nu-open the $NuInt(O) = O$. The result is however not always true the other way around in general.

Theorem 2.4 [57] If (X, T) is a *NTTS* over X and $O, Q \subseteq X$ then:

- (i) $NuInt(O) \subseteq O$
- (ii) $NuInt(X) \subseteq X; NuInt(\phi) = \phi$
- (iii) $NuInt(NuInt(O)) = NuInt(O)$
- (iv) $O \subseteq Q \Rightarrow NuInt(O) \subseteq NuInt(Q)$

Definition 2.4 [57] If (X, T) is a *NTTS* over X and $C \subseteq X$, then the neutro-closure (nu-closure) of C is the meet of the nu-closed sets that contains C and will be denoted by $NuCl(C)$. Thus, $NuCl(C) = \bigcap \{D_i : C \subseteq D_i \text{ and each } D_i \text{ is nu-closed}\}$.

Theorem 2.5 [57] If C is nu-closed then $NuCl(C) = C$. The result is not true the other way around in general.

Theorem 2.6 [57] If (X, T) is a *NTTS* over X and $C, D \subseteq X$ then the following are true:

- (i) $C \subseteq NuCl(C)$
- (ii) $NuCl(NuCl(C)) = NuCl(C)$
- (iii) $C \subseteq D \Rightarrow NuCl(C) \subseteq NuCl(D)$

3. Continuity in neutro-topological spaces

Definition 3.1. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , a mapping ξ defined between T_X and T_Y will be neutro-continuous (nu-continuous) if $O \in T_Y \Leftrightarrow \xi^{-1}(O) \in T_X$.

Definition 3.2. For the topological spaces (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) the spaces $(X, T_X \setminus \Delta)$ and $(Y, T_Y \setminus \Delta)$ where Δ stands for ϕ or X , are neutro-topological spaces on the sets X and Y by theorem 2.1. A function ξ which is continuous in these neutro-topologies will be termed as weakly neutro-continuous (w-nu-continuous).

Remark 3.1. Whenever w-nu-continuity is mentioned, the underlying nu-topology will be either $T \setminus \phi$ or $T \setminus X$, where T is a classical topology. We will denote the nu-topologies in the *NTTSs* $(X, T_X \setminus \Delta)$ and $(Y, T_Y \setminus \Delta)$ simply by T_Δ in some of our future discussions.

Theorem 3.1. If ξ is nu-continuous then ξ is w-nu-continuous.

Proof: Straight from their definitions.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 is not always true the other way around because a classical topology cannot be obtained from a nu-topology by the inclusion of the whole set or the null set to the nu-topology.

Theorem 3.2. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , a mapping ξ defined between T_X and T_Y will be w-nu-continuous iff for each T_Y -nu-closed C , $\xi^{-1}(C)$ is a T_X -nu-closed.

Proof: If the map f is w-nu-continuous and C is any T_Y -nu-closed set then $C^c (= Y \setminus C) \in T_Y$ and ξ being w-nu-continuous $\xi^{-1}(Y \setminus C) \in T_X$. Now, $\xi^{-1}(Y \setminus C) = X \setminus \xi^{-1}(C) \in T_X$ -nu-open set and hence, $\xi^{-1}(C)$ is nu-closed in T_X .

Conversely, if $f^{-1}(C)$ is nu-closed in T_Y for every nu-closed set C in T_X , let $D \in T_Y$. Then $Y \setminus D$ is nu-closed in T_Y and as such $\xi^{-1}(Y \setminus D)$ is nu-closed in T_X . Now, $\xi^{-1}(Y \setminus D) = X \setminus \xi^{-1}(D)$ will be a nu-closed set in T_X thereby showing that $\xi^{-1}(D) \in T_X$. Hence as per definition the map ξ is w-nu-continuous.

Theorem 3.3. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , a mapping ξ defined between T_X and T_Y will be w-nu-continuous if and only if $NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))$ for any subset B of Y .

Proof: Assume that ξ is w-nu-continuous and assume that B is any nu-closed subset of Y , then $NuCl(B)$ is nu-closed in T_Y and so by theorem 3.2, $\xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))$ is nu-closed in T_X and hence $NuCl[\xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))] = \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B)) \dots (1)$

Now, $B \subseteq NuCl(B) \Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(B) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))$

$\Rightarrow NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi^{-1}(NuCl(B)))$, by theorem 2.6 (iii)

$\Rightarrow NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))$, by (1)

Conversely, the condition is assumed to be true and let C be any nu-closed set in T_Y . Then $NuCl(C) = C$ and so by the given condition $NuCl[\xi^{-1}(C)] \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(C)) = \xi^{-1}(C)$

That is, $NuCl[\xi^{-1}(C)] \subseteq \xi^{-1}(C)$.

But, we have $\xi^{-1}(C) \subseteq NuCl[\xi^{-1}(C)]$ in general by theorem 2.6 (i).

Thus, $NuCl[\xi^{-1}(C)] = \xi^{-1}(C)$, thereby showing that $\xi^{-1}(C)$ is nu-closed in T_X and so by theorem 3.3, the function ξ is w-nu-continuous.

Remark 3.4. In theorem 3.3, the function ξ will not be nu-continuous because in a *NTTS*, the nu-closure of a set is not necessarily a nu-closed set (see theorem 2.5).

Theorem 3.4. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , a mapping ξ defined between T_X and T_Y will be w-nu-continuous iff $\xi(NuCl(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(C))$ for any subset C of X .

Proof: Consider ξ to be w-nu-continuous and $C \subseteq X$ and assume $\xi(C) = B \subseteq Y$. Then by theorem 3.4, we have $NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))$

$\Rightarrow NuCl(\xi^{-1}(\xi(C))) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(\xi(C)))$

$\Rightarrow NuCl(C) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(NuCl(\xi(C)))$, since ξ is w-nu-continuous $\xi^{-1}(\xi(C)) = C$

$\Rightarrow \xi[NuCl(C)] \subseteq \xi[\xi^{-1}(NuCl(\xi(C)))]$

$\Rightarrow \xi(NuCl(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(C))$

Conversely, if the condition is true then let B be any arbitrary nu-closed set in T_Y , then $\xi^{-1}(B) \subseteq X$ and hence by the condition, we have:

$\xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(\xi^{-1}(B))) \subseteq \xi(\xi^{-1}(NuCl(B))) = \xi(\xi^{-1}(B))$, since B is nu-closed.

$\Rightarrow NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(B)$

But $\xi^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{NuCl}(\xi^{-1}(B))$, by theorem 2.6 (i).

Hence, $\text{NuCl}(\xi^{-1}(B)) = \xi^{-1}(B)$ thereby showing that $\xi^{-1}(B)$ is nu-closed in T_X and so by theorem 3.2, the map ξ is w-nu-continuous.

Remark 3.5. In theorem 3.4, the function ξ will not be nu-continuous because in a *NTTS*, the nu-closure of a set being equal to the set need not necessarily imply that the set is nu-closed (see theorem 2.5).

Theorem 3.5. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , a mapping ξ defined between T_X and T_Y will be w-nu-continuous iff $\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A)) \subseteq \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(A))$ for any subset A of Y .

Proof: Let ξ be w-nu-continuous with $A \subseteq Y$. Then $\text{NuInt}(A) \in T_Y$ and so $\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A)) \in T_X$. Hence $\text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A))) = \xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A))$.

Now $\text{NuInt}(A) \subseteq A \Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(A)$

$\Rightarrow \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A))) \subseteq \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(A))$

$\Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A)) \subseteq \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(A))$, since $\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(A)) \in T_X$.

Conversely, assume that the condition is true and let $B \in T_2$ so that $\text{NuInt}(B) = B$, then by the given condition we have $\xi^{-1}(\text{NuInt}(B)) \subseteq \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(B))$ which gives $\xi^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(B))$. But $\text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(B)$ by theorem 2.4 (i) and as such we must have $\text{NuInt}(\xi^{-1}(B)) = \xi^{-1}(B)$ thereby showing that $\xi^{-1}(B) \in T_X$ and hence ξ is w-nu-continuous.

Remark 3.6. In theorem 3.5 the function ξ will not be nu-continuous because in a *NTTS*, the nu-interior of a set is not necessarily nu-open (see theorem 2.3).

Theorem 3.6. For three *NTTSs* (X, T_X) , (Y, T_Y) , and (Z, T_Z) , if the maps ξ from T_X to T_Y and η from T_Y to T_Z are nu-continuous, then the map from T_X to T_Z given by $\eta \circ \xi : (X, T_X) \rightarrow (Z, T_Z)$ is also nu-continuous.

Proof: Assume that C be a nu-open set in T_Z , then $\eta^{-1}(C) \in T_Y$ and $\xi^{-1}(\eta^{-1}(C)) \in T_X$. But $\xi^{-1}(\eta^{-1}(C)) = (\xi^{-1} \circ \eta^{-1})(C) = (\eta \circ \xi)^{-1}(C)$. Thus, $(\eta \circ \xi)^{-1}(C) \in T_X$ whenever $C \in T_Z$ and so the map $(\eta \circ \xi)$ is nu-continuous.

Theorem 3.7. If (X, T_1) and (Y, T_2) are two *NTTSs* and if $\{x\}$ is a singleton subset of X , then the map $\xi : (X, T_X) \rightarrow (Y, T_Y)$ is nu-continuous at $x \in X$.

Proof: Let $\xi(x) \in B \subseteq Y \Rightarrow x \in \xi^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow \{x\} \in \xi^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow \xi$ is nu-continuous at $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.8. For a *NTTS* (X, T) , the map $\xi : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $\xi(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$ is nu-continuous.

Proof: Let $B \in T \Rightarrow B \subseteq X$. Now, $\xi(x) = x \quad \forall x \in X$ and $B \subseteq X$

$\Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X : \xi(x) \in B\} \Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X : x \in B\} \Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(B) = \{x\}$

$\Rightarrow \xi$ is nu-continuous.

Definition 3.3. A map ξ between two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) is called a neutro-open (nu-open) map if ξ images of nu-open sets in T_X are nu-open sets in T_Y . The map

$\xi : (X, T_X) \rightarrow (Y, T_Y)$ will be called a neutro-closed (nu-closed) map if the ξ images of T_X nu-closed sets are T_Y nu-closed sets.

Definition 3.4. If (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) are two *NTTSs*, then a map $\xi : (X, T_X) \rightarrow (Y, T_Y)$ will be called a neutro-homeomorphism (nu-homeomorphism) iff:

- (i) ξ is one-one and onto;
- (ii) $\xi : X \rightarrow Y$ is w-nu-continuous; and
- (iii) $\xi^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is w-nu-continuous.

If such a map ξ exists between the *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , then the two *NTTSs* will be neutro-homomorphic to each other.

Theorem 3.9. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , if ξ is one-one and onto mapping of X to Y , then ξ is a nu-homeomorphism iff ξ is w-nu-continuous and a nu-open map.

Proof: Assume that ξ is a nu-homeomorphism and let $\xi^{-1} = \eta$ and $\eta^{-1} = \xi$. Since ξ is one-one and onto, so η will also be one-one and onto. Now, if $O \in T_X$, then $\eta^{-1}(O) \in T_Y$. But since $\eta^{-1} = \xi$ so $\eta^{-1}(O) = \xi(O) \in T_Y$. Thus $O \in T_1 \Rightarrow \xi(O) \in T_2$ and hence it follows that ξ is a nu-open map and since ξ is a nu-homeomorphism, so it is w-nu-continuous.

Conversely, let ξ be w-nu-continuous and a nu-open map. Also by condition ξ is one-one and onto, so it suffices to prove that $\xi^{-1} = \eta$ is w-nu-continuous. Let $O \in T_X$, then $\xi(O) \in T_Y$ since ξ is nu-open. That is $\eta^{-1}(O) \in T_Y$ thereby showing that $\eta = \xi^{-1}$ is w-nu-continuous. Hence the map ξ is a nu-homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.10. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , if ξ is one-one and onto mapping of X to Y , then ξ is a nu-homeomorphism iff ξ is w-nu-continuous and a nu-closed map.

Proof: Let ξ be a nu-homeomorphism and let C be any nu-closed set in T_X then $X \setminus C \in T_X$. Since $\eta = \xi^{-1}$ is w-nu-continuous, it follows that $\eta^{-1}(X \setminus C) \in T_Y$. Now, $\eta^{-1}(X \setminus C) = Y \setminus \eta^{-1}(C)$ and hence $\eta^{-1}(C)$ is nu-open in T_Y and as such $\eta^{-1}(C)$ is nu-closed in T_Y . That is, $\eta^{-1}(C) = \xi(C)$ is nu-closed in T_Y . Hence ξ is w-nu-continuous and a nu-closed map.

Conversely, let the conditions hold and let O be any nu-open set in T_X , then $X \setminus O$ is nu-closed set and ξ is a nu-closed map, so $\xi(X \setminus O) = \xi(X \setminus O) = Y \setminus \eta^{-1}(O)$ is a nu-closed set in T_Y which implies that $\eta^{-1}(O) \in T_Y$. Thus the image of every nu-open set in T_X under the function η is nu-open in T_Y . Thus, $\eta = \xi^{-1}$ is w-nu-continuous and hence ξ is a nu-homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.11. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , if a mapping ξ from T_X to T_Y is one-one onto and w-nu-continuous, then ξ is a nu-homeomorphism if ξ is nu-open or nu-closed.

Proof: We assume that ξ is one-one onto and w-nu-continuous and also that ξ is either nu-open or nu-closed. We have to show that ξ^{-1} is w-nu-continuous. By theorem 3.4, it suffices to verify that $\xi^{-1}(NuCl(B)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))$ for any subset B of Y .

Now, $B \subseteq Y \Rightarrow NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq X$ and is nu-closed. Also since ξ is given to be nu-closed, we have: $\xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))) = NuCl(\xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)))) \dots (1)$

Also, $\xi^{-1}(B) \subseteq NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)) \Rightarrow \xi(\xi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)))$

$$\Rightarrow NuCl(\xi(\xi^{-1}(B))) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B)))) = \xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))) \text{ by (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow NuCl(B) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))) \Rightarrow \xi^{-1}(NuCl(B)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi^{-1}(B))$$

Hence by theorem 3.3 the map ξ^{-1} is w-nu-continuous and hence ξ is a nu-homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.12. For two *NTTSs* $(X, T_{X,\Delta})$ and $(Y, T_{Y,\Delta})$, a function ξ between the two spaces is nu-open iff $\xi(NuInt(A)) \subseteq NuInt(\xi(A))$ for any $A \subseteq X$.

Proof: Assume ξ to be nu-open and $A \subseteq X$ then $\xi(NuInt(A))$ is nu-open since $NuInt(A) \in T_{X,\Delta}$. Now $NuInt(A) \subseteq A \Rightarrow \xi(NuInt(A)) \subseteq \xi(A)$.

Again $\xi(NuInt(A)) \in T_{Y,\Delta}$, so $NuInt(\xi(NuInt(A))) = \xi(NuInt(A)) \dots (1)$

Also, $\xi(NuInt(A)) \subseteq \xi(A) \Rightarrow NuInt(\xi(NuInt(A))) \subseteq NuInt(\xi(A))$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(NuInt(A)) \subseteq NuInt(\xi(A)) \text{ by (1)}$$

Conversely, let the condition be true and let $O \in T_{X,\Delta}$ so that $NuInt(O) = O$. Then $\xi(O) = \xi(NuInt(O)) \subseteq NuInt(\xi(O))$, by the given condition. But we have by theorem 2.4 (i) $NuInt(\xi(O)) \subseteq \xi(O)$. Thus, we have $NuInt(\xi(O)) = \xi(O)$ thereby showing that $\xi(O) \in T_{Y,\Delta}$. Thus ξ is nu-open.

Remark 3.7. In the above theorem T_X and T_Y are two different nu-topologies both having the property of T_Δ (see remark 3.1) and so have been denoted by $(X, T_{X,\Delta})$ and $(Y, T_{Y,\Delta})$.

Theorem 3.13. For two *NTTSs* $(X, T_{X,\Delta})$ and $(Y, T_{Y,\Delta})$, a function ξ between the two spaces is nu-closed iff $NuCl(\xi(C)) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(C))$ for any $C \subseteq X$.

Proof: Let ξ be nu-closed and $C \subseteq X$, then $NuCl(C)$ is nu-closed in $T_{X,\Delta}$ and since ξ is nu-closed $\xi(NuCl(C))$ is nu-closed in $T_{Y,\Delta}$ and thus $NuCl(\xi(NuCl(C))) = \xi(NuCl(C)) \dots (1)$

Again,

$$C \subseteq NuCl(C) \Rightarrow \xi(C) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(C)) \Rightarrow NuCl(\xi(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(NuCl(C))) = \xi(NuCl(C)) \text{ by (1). That is } NuCl(\xi(C)) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(C)).$$

Conversely, let the condition hold and suppose that D is some nu-closed set in $T_{X,\Delta}$ so that $NuCl(D) = D$. Then $\xi(NuCl(D)) = \xi(D) \dots (2)$

Now, by the given condition $NuCl(\xi(D)) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(D)) = \xi(D)$ by (2)

That is, $NuCl(\xi(D)) \subseteq \xi(D)$. But by theorem 2.6 (i) we have $\xi(D) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(D))$ as a result of which we must have $NuCl(\xi(D)) = \xi(D)$ thereby showing that $\xi(D)$ is nu-closed in $T_{Y,\Delta}$. Hence ξ is nu-closed.

Theorem 3.14. For two *NTTSs* (X, T_X) and (Y, T_Y) , if the function ξ between the two spaces is one-one onto, then ξ is a nu-homeomorphism iff $NuCl(\xi(C)) = \xi(NuCl(C))$ for every $C \subseteq X$.

Proof: Assume that ξ is a nu-homeomorphism. Then ξ is one-one, onto, and also by theorem 3.11 ξ is nu-closed and w-nu-continuous. Now, if $C \subseteq X$, then by theorem 3.4 $\xi(NuCl(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(C)) \dots (1)$

$$\text{Also, } C \subseteq NuCl(C) \Rightarrow \xi(C) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(C)) \Rightarrow NuCl(\xi(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(NuCl(C))) \dots (2)$$

Now, ξ is nu-closed and $NuCl(C)$ is nu-closed in T_X and so $\xi(NuCl(C))$ is nu-closed in T_Y and hence $NuCl(\xi(NuCl(C))) = \xi(NuCl(C)) \dots (3)$

(2) and (3) gives $NuCl(\xi(C)) \subseteq \xi(NuCl(C)) \dots (4)$

(1) and (4) gives $\xi(NuCl(C)) = NuCl(\xi(C))$

Conversely, let $\xi(NuCl(C)) = NuCl(\xi(C))$ for every $C \subseteq X$.

Then obviously $\xi(NuCl(C)) \subseteq NuCl(\xi(C))$ which from theorem 3.4 means that the function ξ is w-nu-continuous. Again, if D is any nu-closed set in T_X so that $NuCl(D) = D$ which implies $\xi(NuCl(D)) = \xi(D) \Rightarrow \xi(D) = NuCl(\xi(D))$ by the given condition, thereby showing that $\xi(D)$ is nu-closed in T_Y whenever D is closed in T_X thereby showing that ξ is nu-closed. Thus ξ is nu-closed as well as one-one onto and w-nu-continuous, so ξ is a nu-homeomorphism.

4. Comparative view of neutro-continuity, neutrosophic continuity vis-à-vis classical continuity

In a neutro-topological space the interior of a set is not open in general and the closure of a set is also not generally closed. However, if we choose the neutro-topological space (X, T_Δ) , where T_Δ is the neutro-topology obtained by either the exclusion of the whole set or the null set from the topology T , then we can have the interior of any subset open and the closure of any subset closed by choosing the exclusion of the null set or the whole set in the neutro-topology appropriately. Below we list some basic properties that are true in the three topological spaces:

Classical Continuity	Neutrosophic Continuity	Nu-continuity and w-nu-continuity
If $(X, T_X), (Y, T_Y)$, and (Z, T_Z) are three topological spaces and $\xi: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\eta: Y \rightarrow Z$ are continuous functions then $\eta \circ \xi: X \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous function.	True. Theorem 3.1 [35].	True. Theorem 3.6.
A mapping ξ from a space X into another space Y is continuous if and only if $\xi(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{\xi(A)}$ for every $A \subset X$.	True. Theorem 3.3 [35].	True only for w-nu-continuity. Theorem 3.4 and remark 3.5.
A mapping ξ from a space X into another space Y is continuous if and only if $\overline{\xi^{-1}(B)} \subset \xi^{-1}(\overline{B})$ for every $B \subset Y$.	True. Theorem 3.4 [35].	True only for w-nu-continuity. Theorem 3.3 and remark 3.4.
A mapping from a space X into another space Y is continuous if and only if $\xi^{-1}[B^\circ] \subset [\xi^{-1}(B)]^\circ$ for every $B \subset Y$.	True. Theorem 3.6 [35].	True only for w-nu-continuity. Theorem 3.5 and remark 3.6.
If a mapping $\xi: X \rightarrow Y$ between the spaces X and Y is one-one onto then ξ is a homeomorphism if and only if ξ is continuous and closed.	True. Theorem 3.12 [35]	True for w-nu-homeomorphism. Theorem 3.10.

4. Conclusions

In this article, we have introduced the definition of neutro-continuous functions in neutro-topological spaces with the use of the open sets called neutro-open sets. Taking advantage of the fact that a neutro-topology could be deduced from any classical topology with the exclusion of the empty set or the whole set, we have defined a new form of continuity of functions in such neutro-topological spaces and named it weakly neutro-continuity. In a general neutro-topology the union and intersection of the neutro-open sets may or may not be neutro-open. However, in the

neutro-topology which is obtained by the simple exclusion of the empty set from a topology, the unions of the neutro-open sets are neutro-open and in the neutro-topology obtained from a topology by the exclusion of the whole set, the intersection of the neutro-open sets are neutro-open. It has been assumed that whenever properties of interior of neutro-open sets are involved, the exclusion of the whole set is considered in the neutro-topology and whenever closure properties are involved the exclusion of the empty set should be considered. Thus, we have found the results involving the interior and closure of subsets valid via the weakly neutro-continuity of the functions. It has also been observed that whenever a function is weakly neutro-continuous, it is not always neutro-continuous because in a neutro-topology the union and intersection of the open and closed sets involved in the very definition of the neutro-topology may not be included in the collection that forms the neutro-topology.

4.1 Limitations

In our analysis we have found that most of the properties of continuous functions are true only in the case of weakly neutro-continuity, which has been defined in a special type of a neutro-topology. Neutro-homeomorphism has also been defined on the basis of weakly neutro-continuity and will not be generally meaningful if defined with the help of neutro-continuity as most of the properties that have been established might not be true in a general neutro-topological space.

4.2 Scope for further studies in the field

Further, one could investigate the continuity of functions with regard to the neutro-neighborhood, neutro-base, neutro-sub-base, neutro-relative topology and via other aspects of study of continuity of functions. The current study can be extended to study uniform continuity that will help study connectedness and compactness in neutro-topological spaces.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Kelley, J. L. *General Topology*, 4th Edition. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, USA, **1955**.
2. Halfar, E. Conditions implying continuity of functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1960**, 11(5), 688-691.
3. Levine, N. A decomposition of continuity in topological space. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **1961**, 68, 44-46.
4. Levine, N. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **1963**, 70, 36-41.
5. Noiri, T. On semi-continuous mappings. *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti* **1973**, 54(2), 210-214.
6. Noiri, T. A note on semi-continuous mappings. *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti* **1973**, 55(5), 400-403.
7. Rose, D. A. On Levine's decomposition of continuity. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **1978**, 21(4), 477-481.
8. Espelie, M. S., & Joseph, J. E. Remarks on two weak forms of continuity. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **1982**, 25(1), 59-63.
9. Baker, C. W. Properties of subweakly continuous functions. *Yokohama Math. J.* **1984**, 32, 39-43.
10. Dorsett, C. Semi-continuous and semi-weakly continuous functions. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **1990**, 30(1), 95-100.
11. Noiri, T. On weakly continuous mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1974**, 46, 120-124.

12. Noiri, T., & Popa, V. Weak forms of faint continuity. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie* **1990**, 34(82), 263-270.
13. Hussain, T. Almost continuous mappings. *Prac. Mat.* **1966**, 10, 1-7.
14. Lin, S-Y, T. Almost continuity of mappings. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **1968**, 11(3), 453-455.
15. Singal, M. K., & Singal, A. R. Almost-continuous mappings. *Yokohama Math. J.* **1968**, 16, 63-73.
16. Long, P. E., & McGehee, E. E. Properties of almost continuous functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1970**, 24(1), 175-180.
17. Long, P. E., & Carnahan, D. A. Comparing almost continuous functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1973**, 38(2), 413-418.
18. Rose, D. A. Weak continuity and almost continuity. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sc.* **1984**, 7, 311-318.
19. Gentry, K. R., Hughes, H. B., III. Somewhat continuous functions. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **1971**, 21(1), 5-12.
20. Noiri, T. On δ -continuous functions. *J. of Korean Math. Soc.* **1980**, 16, 161-166.
21. Mashhour, A. S., Abd El-Monsef, M. E., & El-Deeb, S. N. On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt* **1982**, 53, 47-53.
22. Mashhour, A. S., Hasanein, I. A., & El-Deeb, S. N. (1983). α -Continuous and α -open mappings. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **1983**, 41, 213-218.
23. Noiri, T. On α -continuous functions. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky* **1984**, 109(2), 118-126.
24. Tong, J. A decomposition of continuity. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **1986**, 48 (1-2), 11-15.
25. Tong, J. On decomposition of continuity in topological spaces. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **1989**, 54(1-2), 51-55.
26. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **1965**, 8, 338-353.
27. Chang, C.L. Fuzzy topological spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1968**, 24(1), 182-190.
28. Atanassov, K. T. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1986**, 20(1), 87-96.
29. Coker, D. An Introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1997**, 88(1), 81-89.
30. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophy, neutrosophic probability, set, and logic. *Proquest Information & Learning*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, **1998**; 105, 118-123.
31. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set-a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2005**, 24(3), 287.
32. Salama, A. A., & Alblowi, S. A. Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces. *IOSR J. Math.* **2012**, 3(4), 31-35.
33. Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F., & Kromov, V. Neutrosophic closed sets and neutrosophic continuous functions. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2014**, 4, 4-8.
34. Al-Omeri, W. & Smarandache, F. New neutrosophic sets via neutrosophic topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Operational Research, Volume 1*, Pons, Brussels, **2017**, 189-209.
35. Senyurt, S., & Kaya, G. On neutrosophic continuity. *Ordu University Journal of Science and Technology*, **2017**, 7(2), 330-339.
36. Smarandache, F. *Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories*, Brussels, Pons Edition, **2019**.
37. Smarandache, F. Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures (revisited). *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2020**, 31, 1-16.
38. Smarandache, F. Generalizations and alternatives of classical algebraic structures to NeutroAlgebraic structures and AntiAlgebraic structures. *J. Fuzzy Ext. Appl.* **2020**, 1(2), 85.
39. Smarandache, F. NeutroAlgebra is a generalization of partial algebra. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 2(1), 8-17.

40. Agboola, A. A. A., Ibrahim, M. A., & Adeleke, E. O. Elementary examination of NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the classical number system. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 4(1), 16-19.
41. Agboola, A. A. A. Introduction to NeutroGroups. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 6(1), 41-47.
42. Agboola, A. A. A. Introduction to NeutroRings. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 7(2), 62-73.
43. Smarandache, F., & Hamidi, M. Neutro-BCK-algebra. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 8(2), 110-117.
44. Agboola, A. A. A. On finite NeutroGroups of type NG [1, 2, 4]. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 10(2), 84-95.
45. Agboola, A. A. A. On finite and infinite NeutroRings of type NR. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 11(2), 87-99.
46. Agboola, A. A. A. Introduction to AntiGroups. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2020**, 12(2), 71-80.
47. Agboola, A. A. A., & Ibrahim, M. A. Introduction to AntiRings. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2020**, 36(1), 292-307.
48. Ibrahim, M. A., & Agboola, A. A. A. NeutroVector spaces I. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2020**, 36(1), 328-350.
49. Ibrahim, M. A., & Agboola, A. A. A. Introduction to NeutroHyperGroups. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2020**, 38, 15-32.
50. Mohammadzadeh, E., & Rezaei, A. On NeutroNilpotentGroups. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2020**, 38, 33-40.
51. Al-Tahan, M., Davvaz, B., Smarandache, F., & Anis, O. On Some NeutroHyperStructures. *Symmetry* **2021**, 13(4), 535.
52. Smarandache, F. Structure, NeutroStructure, and AntiStructure in science. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2021**, 13(1), 28-33.
53. Smarandache, F. NeutroGeometry & AntiGeometry are alternatives and generalizations of the non-Euclidean Geometries (revisited). *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2021**, 46, 456-477.
54. Rezaei, A., Smarandache, F., & Mirvakili, S. Applications of (Neutro/Anti) Sophications to Semihypergroups. *J. Math.* **2021**, 1-7.
55. Sahin, M., Kargin, A., & Altun, A. Neutro-Metric spaces. *NeutroAlgebra Theory*, 2021; 1, 71-84.
56. Sahin, M., Kargin, A., & Yucel, M. Neutro-Topological space and Anti-Topological space. *NeutroAlgebra Theory*, **2021**; 1, 16-30.
57. Basumatary, B., Khaklary, J.K., Wary, N., & Smarandache, F. On Neutro-Topological Spaces and Their Properties. *Theory and Applications of NeutroAlgebras as Generalisations of Classical Algebras*, IGI Global, **2022**; 180-201.
58. Basumatary, B., Khaklary, J.K., & Said, B. On NeutroBitopological Spaces. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sc.* **2022**, 18(2), 254-261.
59. Basumatary, B., & Khaklary, J.K. A study on the properties of anti-topological spaces. *Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and their Applications*, IGI Global, **2022**; 16-27.
60. Witczak, T. Some remarks on anti-topological spaces. *Asia Mathematica* **2022**, 6(1), 23-34.
61. Basumatary, B., & Talukdar, A. A Study on neutro-topological neighbourhood and neutro-topological base. In *NeutroGeometry, NeutroAlgebra, and SuperHyperAlgebra in Today's World*, IGI Global, **2023**; 187-201.
62. Talukdar, A., Basumatary, B., & Hossain, S. A study on anti-topological neighbourhood and anti-topological base. In *Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra and New Types of Topologies*, Global Knowledge Publishing House, Florida, USA, **2023**; 76-96.
63. Basumatary, B., Basumatary, J., & Wary, N. Formulae for finding the number of NeutroTopological apaces. In *NeutroGeometry, NeutroAlgebra, and SuperHyperAlgebra in Today's World*, IGI Global, **2023**; 202-220.

Received: Sep 1, 2024. Accepted: Dec 1, 2024