

MEAT QUALITY AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION
OF DOMESTICATED SWINE BREEDS OF ASSAM, INDIA



A Thesis submitted to Bodoland University for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in Biotechnology in the Faculty of Science and Technology, 2025

Submitted by,

Miss Rijumoni Daimari

Registration no. – FINAL/03BIO0023 OF 2017-18

Department of Biotechnology

Bodoland University, Kokrajhar- 783370

Assam, India.

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled “**Meat Quality and Molecular Characterization of Domesticated Swine Breeds of Assam, India**”, submitted to Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam, was carried out by me under the direct guidance and supervision of Prof. (Dr.) Jatin Sarmah, Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Technology and Rector, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam, India.

The research work presented in this thesis is original and has not been submitted previously in whole or in part for any degree or diploma at any university.

Date: 27 - 03 - 2025

Place: Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam

Rijumoni Daimari

(RIJUMONI DAIMARI)

Department of Biotechnology
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Bodoland University
Kokrajhar, Assam, India

BODOLAND UNIVERSITY, KOKRAJHAR

(State Govt. University)

Debargaon, P.O. Rangalikhata
Kokrajhar - 783370, BTR, Assam.

Ph. No. - 9707175220
Email.- jatinsarmahindia@gmail.com

Prof. (Dr.) Jatin Sarmah
Rector



From:
Prof. (Dr.) Jatin Sarmah, M. Sc., Ph.D.
Professor & Rector, Bodoland University
Tele: 0091-97071-75220 (M)
Email : jatinsarmahindia@gmail.com

Date: 01/04/2025

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Meat Quality and Molecular Characterization of Domesticated Swine Breeds of Assam, India**”, submitted by **Miss Rijumoni Daimari** for the award of Ph.D. degree in Biotechnology to the Bodoland University is a record of original research works carried out by her under my direct guidance and supervision. She has fulfilled all the requirements for submitting the thesis.

The results or conclusion drawn in the thesis have not been submitted to any other University or Institution for the award of any degree or diploma.

PROF. (DR.) JATIN SARMAH
Professor
Department of Biotechnology
Bodoland University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis would not have been possible without the invaluable support and encouragement of many individuals to whom I am deeply grateful.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my respected supervisor, Prof. (Dr.) Jatin Sarmah, Professor and Rector, Department of Biotechnology, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam, for his valuable guidance, support, and expertise throughout my research journey.

I am also deeply grateful to the Chairman and members of Departmental Research Committee (DRC), Prof. Sandeep Das, Dr. Silistina Narzari, Dr. Arvind Kr. Goyal, Dr. Manoranjan Boro, for their constructive feedback and encouragement, which have greatly enriched my research. In addition to this, I also forward my deepest acknowledgement to the Faculty of Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Sharmistha Brahma Kaur and madam Jonali Owary, for their encouraging and supporting words. Being a woman, watching them flourishing in their respective fields inspires me. My sincere acknowledgement to the Head of the Department (HOD) and laboratory assistant of Department of Zoology, Botany and Chemistry, Bodoland University, Assam, for giving me permission and assisting me with their instruments during my research period. My gratitude to the HOD and laboratory assistant of Department of Food Engineering and Technology, Central Institute of Technology (CIT), Kokrajhar, Assam. My sincere gratitude to the non-teaching staff of Department of Biotechnology, Mr. Chandan Brahma, Mr. Hirok Moni Borgoyary and Mr. Paban Ray.

My deepest gratitude to Dr. Santanu Banik, Principal Scientist, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, NRC-ICAR, Rani, Guwahati, Assam, for assisting me with the morphological and taxonomic identification of the pig breeds of this study; Dr. Rajendran Thomas, Principal Scientist, Livestock Products Technology, ICAR-National Research Centre on Pig, Rani, Guwahati, Assam, for helping me with verification of pig breeds by introducing to Dr. Banik; Dr. Manab Deka, Associate Prof., Department of Statistics, Arya Vidyapeeth College, Guwahati, Assam, for helping me with the Statistics.

My sincere acknowledgement to Sophisticated Analytical Instrumentation Centre (SAIC), Tezpur University, Napaam, Assam; Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility (SAIF), North-Eastern Hill University (NEHU), Shillong, Meghalaya; Sandor Speciality Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, Telangana, India; Dr. Ajay Gaur, Senior Principal

Scientist (Conservation Genetics) & In-Charge (Wildlife Forensics), Laboratory for Conservation of Endangered Species (LaCONES), CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB), Hyderabad, India, for assisting me with sequencing of my samples. Dr. Rajiv Chandra Dev Goswami, Research Associate, Guwahati Biotech Park, Department of Science and Technology, Government of Assam, Guwahati, for helping with the results of GC-MS analysis and its calculation. My special thanks to the Advanced Level Institutional Biotech-Hub, Bioinformatics Infrastructure Facility, Technology Incubation Centre (TIC), sponsored by Government of India and DST-FIST sponsored Laboratory, Bodoland University, Kokrajhar, Assam, for providing me the facility and equipment for my research work.

Finally, I am profoundly grateful to my family. Their unwavering love, patience and understanding have been my constant source of strength and motivation. To my parents, Mr. Chiran Daimari and Mrs. Bilandi Daimari, I owe my deepest appreciation for their belief in me and supporting me financially in this research journey. My grandmother Srimati Samitri Daimari for her unending prayers and my sisters, Miss Nicky Daimari and Miss Pankty Daimari. My gratitude to my husband, Mr. Isahak Daimari, for his unending support and encouragement throughout the research journey. My mother-in-law and father-in-law, Mrs. Renubala Daimari and Mr. Govinda Daimari, their love and support gave me inspiration, including my other family members. I am grateful to my uncle, aunts and my special regard to Tridip Daimari, Bimal Daimari, Tony Daimari, Hangma Daimari and Mr. Michael Daimari for accompanying me in survey, including Mr. Niranjan Swargiary, Mr. Divakar Basumatary and Mr. Brownly Daimari. My acknowledgement also goes to Dr. Tufan Ch. Basumatary, Veterinary Officer, Kokrajhar and Dr. Rupu Saha, Veterinary Officer, Panbari for helping me during the survey.

Last and not least, my hearty thanks to my friends, Mrs. Noymi Basumatary, Mrs. Manita Daimari, Mrs. Birina Bhuyan, Mrs. Suparna Biswas, Mrs. Trisha Sonowal, Miss Ilora Narzari, Mr. Nerswn Basumatary, Miss Emily Mree, Miss Barasha Moni Sarania and Miss Himashri Dutta.

My deepest gratitude to God Almighty for His guidance, wisdom, and strength throughout this journey.

Rijumoni Daimari
(RIJUMONI DAIMARI)

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Chapter and Title of the Table	Page No.
Chapter 1: Introduction		
Table 1.1.	Traditionally prepared processed pork meat products by ethnic communities of Assam.	8
Table 1.2.	Registered Indigenous pig breeds of India.	12 – 13
Table 1.3.	Major morphological characters of Doom and Ghungroo pigs	15 - 16
Chapter 3: Materials and Methods		
Table 3.1.	Stages of growth observed in pigs and their age	60
Table 3.2.	Allocation of pigs for experimental diets.	60
Table 3.3.	Ingredients of feed and its composition for growing stage (3 to 5 months).	62
Table 3.4.	Ingredients of feed and its composition for finishing stage (6 to 8 months).	62
Table 3.5.	Universal primers for the amplification of ' <i>cytochrome b</i> ' gene	65
Table 3.6.	Duration and Temperature conditions maintained during PCR sequencing.	66
Table 3.7.	Weight of six dissected muscles and viscera	69
Chapter 4: Results		
Table 4.1.	Morphological differences between Doom and Ghungroo pigs	78
Table 4.2.	Accession number of Doom and Ghungroo pig breeds.	80
Table 4.3.	Nucleotide variable positions/sites of 437 bp of <i>cytochrome b</i> .	83
Table 4.4.	Matrix output of Tamura & Nei genetic distance.	85

Table 4.5.	Growth parameters of Doom and Ghungroo pigs at pre-weaned stage	87
Table 4.6.	Growth parameters of Doom and Ghungroo pigs taken at 8 th day grower stage	98
Table 4.7.	Growth parameters of Doom and Ghungroo pig taken at 154 th day of grower stage	88
Table 4.8.	Growth parameters of Doom and Ghungroo pig taken at 244 th days of finisher stage	89
Table 4.9.	Proximate composition of kitchen waste	90
Table 4.10.	Amino acid content of kitchen waste	91
Table 4.11.	Fatty acid content of kitchen waste	91
Table 4.12.	Mineral composition of kitchen waste	92
Table 4.13.	Measurement of pH (pH ₄₅ and pH _μ) levels in muscles of Doom pig	93
Table 4.14.	Measurement of pH (pH ₄₅ and pH _μ) levels in muscles of Ghungroo pig	94
Table 4.15.	Measurement of pH (pH ₄₅ and pH _μ) levels in viscera of Doom pig	95
Table 4.16.	Measurement of pH (pH ₄₅ and pH _μ) levels in viscera of Ghungroo pig	95
Table 4.17.	Proximate composition in muscles of Doom pig	97
Table 4.18.	Statistical comparison (P-values) of proximate composition between control and trial diets in muscles of Doom pigs.	98
Table 4.19.	Proximate composition in viscera of Doom pig	99
Table 4.20.	Statistical comparison (P-values) of proximate composition between control and trial diets in viscera of Doom pigs.	100
Table 4.21.	Proximate composition in muscles of Ghungroo pig	101
Table 4.22.	Statistical comparison (P-values) of proximate composition between control and trial diets in muscles of Ghungroo pigs.	102

Table 4.23.	Proximate composition in viscera of Ghungroo pig	103
Table 4.24.	Statistical comparison (P-values) of proximate composition between control and trial diets in viscera of Ghungroo pigs.	104
Table 4.25.	Amino acid content (g/100g) in six muscles of Doom pig.	107
Table 4.26.	Amino acid content (g/100g) in six viscera of Doom pig.	108
Table 4.27.	Amino acid content (g/100g) in six muscles of Ghungroo pig.	109
Table 4.28.	Amino acid content (g/100g) in six viscera of Ghungroo pig.	110
Table 4.29.	Essential amino acids content of muscles of Doom and its requirements for adults	111
Table 4.30.	Essential amino acids content of muscles of Ghungroo and its requirements for adults.	111
Table 4.31.	Essential amino acids content of viscera of Doom and its requirements for adults.	112
Table 4.32.	Essential amino acids content of viscera of Ghungroo and its requirements for adults.	112
Table 4.33.	Fatty acid composition (%) in muscles of Doom pig.	115
Table 4.34.	Fatty acid composition (%) in viscera of Doom pig.	116
Table 4.35.	Fatty acid composition (%) in muscles of Ghungroo pig.	117
Table 4.36.	Fatty acid composition (%) in viscera of Ghungroo pig.	118
Table 4.37.	Fatty acid content of muscles of Doom and its requirements for adults	119
Table 4.38.	Fatty acid content of muscles of Ghungroo and its requirements for adults	119
Table 4.39.	Fatty acid content of viscera of Doom pig and its requirements for adults	120

Table 4.40.	Fatty acid content of viscera of Ghungroo pig and its requirements for adults	120
Table 4.41.	Concentration of minerals (mg/kg) in drinking water and soil.	124
Table 4.42.	Concentration of minerals (mg/kg) in muscles of Doom pig.	125
Table 4.43.	Concentration of minerals (mg/kg) in viscera of Doom pig.	126
Table 4.44.	Concentration of minerals (mg/kg) in muscles of Ghungroo pig.	128
Table 4.45.	Concentration of minerals (mg/kg) in viscera of Ghungroo pig.	129
Table 4.46.	Mineral contents of muscles of Doom and its requirements for adults	131 - 132
Table 4.47.	Mineral contents of muscles of Ghungroo and its requirements for adults	132 – 133
Table 4.48.	Mineral contents of viscera of Doom and its requirements for adults.	133 – 134
Table 4.49.	Mineral contents of viscera of Ghungroo and its requirements for adults.	134 - 135
Table 4.50.	Correlation matrix of minerals composition between muscles of Doom and Ghungroo pig with feed, drinking water and soil.	140
Table 4.51.	Correlation matrix of minerals composition between viscera of Doom and Ghungroo pig with feed, drinking water and soil.	141

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Chapter and Title of the Figure	Page No.
Chapter 1: Introduction		
Figure 1.a.	Production of pork in northeastern states of India (Source: Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics, 2023).	7
Figure 1.b.	Adult Doom pig (sow- female pig)	14
Figure 1.c.	Adult Ghungroo pig (sow- female pig)	14
Figure 1.d.	Map showing original breeding tract of Doom and Ghungroo pig breeds and their rearing site (Belguri).	17
Figure 1.e.	Showing the different types of fat observed in pork.	22
Figure 1.f.	Regions of pig carcass from where the six muscles have been dissected	22
Chapter 4: Results		
Figure 4.a.	Agarose gel image showing PCR products. L1 = 100 bp DNA ladder, L2 = negative control, L3 = positive control, L4 and L5 = 437 bp PCR products.	78
Figure 4.b.	BLAST results of 437 bp of Doom pig	81
Figure 4.c.	BLAST results of 437 bp of Ghungroo pig	81
Figure 4.d.	Phylogenetic tree construction on the basis of partial fragment of <i>Cytochrome b</i> gene underlining the phylogenetic relationship of Doom (DB-S1) and Ghungroo pig (GB-S2) using Neighbour-Joining (NJ) tree model. The abbreviated name of the wild and indigenous pig breeds generated from NCBI is given after the GenBank (NCBI) accession number.	86
Figure 4.e.	Standard graph of alcohol (ethanol v/v %)	90
Figure 4.f.	Comparison of Doom and Ghungroo pig's proximate content with goat, sheep and beef based on shoulder regions.	105

Figure 4.g.	Comparison of Doom and Ghungroo pig's proximate content with goat, sheep and beef in viscera. (Note: Proximate content of large intestine of goat was not available, including small intestine and large intestine of sheep and beef).	106
Figure 4.h.	Essential amino acid (EAA's) of shoulder region of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef.	113
Figure 4.i.	Essential amino acid (EAA's) of viscera of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. (Note: EAA value of large intestine of goat was not available, including small intestine and large intestine of sheep and beef).	114
Figure 4.j.	SFA's, MUFA's and PUFA's of shoulder region of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef.	121
Figure 4.k.	SFA's, MUFA's and PUFA's of viscera of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. (Note: SFA's, MFA's and PUFA's value of large intestine of goat was not available, including small intestine and large intestine of sheep and beef).	122
Figure 4.l.	PLS-DA (partial least squares - discriminant analysis) score ($R_2X = 0.86$, $R_2Y = 0.364$ and $Q_2 = 0.98$) and loading plots of fourteen elements in the muscles and viscera of Doom pigs. Green represents the investigated elements and orange represent the tissues (six muscles and six viscera). t1 and t2 are the first and second latent variables (also called components) extracted by the model.	127
Figure 4.m.	Hierarchical clustering of concentration of minerals in six muscles and six viscera of Doom pig.	130
Figure 4.n.	PLS-DA (partial least squares - discriminant analysis) score ($R_2X = 0.407$, $R_2Y = 0.207$ and $Q_2 = 0.45$) and loading plots of fourteen elements in the muscles and viscera of Ghungroo	132

pig. Dark blue represents the investigated elements and orange represent the tissues (six muscles and six viscera). t1 and t2 are the first and second latent variables (also called components) extracted by the model.

- | | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.o. | Hierarchical clustering of concentration of minerals in six muscles and six viscera of Ghungroo pig. | 130 |
| Figure 4.p. | Macro- and micro-elements of shoulder region of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. | 136 |
| Figure 4.q. | Trace and potentially toxic trace elements of shoulder region of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. | 136 |
| Figure 4.r. | Macro- and micro-elements of viscera of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. | 138 |
| Figure 4.s. | Trace and potentially toxic trace elements of viscera of Doom and Ghungroo pig compared with goat, sheep and beef. | 139 |